Enquires on the contents of the Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices (HKAN) should be addressed to:

Civil Aviation Department
Flight Standards and Airworthiness Division
Airworthiness Office
1 Tung Fai Road
Hong Kong International Airport
Lantau
Hong Kong

Tel : (852) 2910 6198
Fax : (852) 2362 4250
E-mail : awo@cad.gov.hk

Please note that the HKAN is available at CAD website:

Hardcopies will not be published.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notice No.</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Foreword</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Terminologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15 July 2020</td>
<td>Definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 July 2017</td>
<td>Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Personnel - Certification Responsibilities of Type Rated/Authorised Personnel in relation to Articles 9 and 11 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 and Hong Kong Aviation Requirements HKAR 145.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Aviation Legislation of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31 January 2010</td>
<td>Aircraft Maintenance Licence - Application Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 December 2012</td>
<td>HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence Examination Centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 October 2001</td>
<td>Tyre Wear Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31 October 2016</td>
<td>Airworthiness Publications - General Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Other Airworthiness Publications – General Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*#</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 July 2020</td>
<td>Placards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7A*#</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 July 2017</td>
<td>Symbolic Exit Signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7B*#</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Exterior Markings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30 September 2007</td>
<td>Renewal of Aircraft Maintenance Licence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 April 2015</td>
<td>Registration of Aircraft in Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 October 2016</td>
<td>Measurements of the Nationality &amp; Registration Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30 November 2011</td>
<td>HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licences - Type Ratings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 July 2018</td>
<td>Aircraft for which Maintenance is carried out and certified under Company Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 December 2014</td>
<td>Noise Certificates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31 October 2016</td>
<td>Experience from Incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 16*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 October 2016</td>
<td>Emergency Escape Provisions – Doors and Escape Slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 September 2004</td>
<td>Functional Check and Control System Check on Fly-by-wire Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 July 2011</td>
<td>Mode ‘S’ Transponder ICAO 24 Bit Aircraft Addresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 September 2006</td>
<td>Foreign Object Damage to Aircraft and Engines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 69</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 July 2013</td>
<td>ATC Transponders and Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance Systems (TCAS) Ground Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 July 2013</td>
<td>Aircraft Washing and Rinsing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Approval of Organisations for Maintenance of Aircraft and Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Authorisation of Non HKAR-145 Aircraft Maintenance Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 May 2008</td>
<td>Approval of Organisations Holding European JAR-145 or EASA Part 145 Maintenance Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Limited Authorisation – Guidance Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Accident and Mandatory Occurrence Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>The Process for Acceptance of Used Engines, Engine Modules, Auxiliary Power Units (APUs) and Propellers for Use on Aircraft Requiring A Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>The Acceptance of Aircraft Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>Acceptable organisations for aircraft components when certifying work within the scope of their approval or authorisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>Special guidance on particular aircraft component sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 January 2010</td>
<td>Acceptance of Aeronautical Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 July 2018</td>
<td>Implementation of HKAR-21 and HKAR-183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15 February 2009</td>
<td>The Problem of Bogus Parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20**</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Cotton, Linen and Synthetic Fabric-covered Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 June 1990</td>
<td>Microbiological Contamination of Fuel Tanks of Turbine Engined Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Ground Proximity Warning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Emergency Locator Transmitter (ELT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 December 2012</td>
<td>Coding and Registration of Hong Kong 406 MHz ELTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2017</td>
<td>False Alerts of Emergency Locator Transmitters (ELT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10 December 2012</td>
<td>Approval of Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 July 2017</td>
<td>HPMA Organisations and Parts Approved under HKAR-21 Subpart K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10 December 2012</td>
<td>Civil Aviation Department Airworthiness Office and Personnel Licensing Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 January 2014</td>
<td>Cooperation Arrangement on Mutual Acceptance of Approval of Aircraft Maintenance Organisations between Civil Aviation Administration of China, Civil Aviation Department, Hong Kong, China and Civil Aviation Authority – Macao, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 September 2008</td>
<td>Technical Arrangement on Aviation Maintenance between the Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department and the Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 September 2006</td>
<td>Technical Arrangement on Aircraft Maintenance between the Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong and the Transport Canada Civil Aviation Directorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 May 2014</td>
<td>Cooperation Arrangement on Mutual Acceptance of Approval of Aircraft Maintenance Training Organisations between Civil Aviation Administration of China, Civil Aviation Department, Hong Kong, China and Civil Aviation Authority – Macao, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 May 2014</td>
<td>Samples of CCAR-147 and MAR-147 Training Certificates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Approval of Aircraft Radio Stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 May 2005</td>
<td>Light Aircraft Piston Engine Overhaul Periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 May 2005</td>
<td>(Supplementary information for AN No. 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 May 2005</td>
<td>Reference Table: Light Aircraft Piston Engine Overhaul Periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 May 2005</td>
<td>Light Aircraft Piston Engine Maintenance Requirements for Operation Beyond Manufacturers’ Recommended Overhaul Periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28 February 2011</td>
<td>Mandatory Modifications, Inspections and Changes to Approved Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Hong Kong Mandatory Airworthiness Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36B*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 September 2003</td>
<td>Cargo Compartment Class Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36E*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Cockpit Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36F*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Serviceability Checks of Flight Recorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36G*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23 February 2009</td>
<td>Operations of Single-engine Turbine-powered Aeroplanes at Night and/or in Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC) and Helicopters in Performance Class 3 in IMC Except Special Visual Flight Rules (VFR) Flights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31 January 2005</td>
<td>Painting of Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>The Selection and Procurement of Electronic Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 March 2012</td>
<td>Maintenance of Cockpit and Cabin Combustion Heaters and Their Associated Exhaust Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Aircraft Field Loadable Software (FLS) and Database Field Loadable Data (DFLD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 September 2005</td>
<td>FLS and DFLD Control, Tooling and Loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44*#</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Gas Turbine Engine Parts Subject to Retirement or Ultimate (Scrap) Lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Software Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 June 1987</td>
<td>Definition of European Criticality Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2003</td>
<td>Relationship between Function Criticality Category and Software Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 January 2003</td>
<td>Software Accomplishment Summary of DO-178B/ED-12B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30 September 2006</td>
<td>Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineers - Personal Responsibility When Medically Unfit or under the Influence of Drink or Drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 January 2003</td>
<td>Types of medicine in common use which may impair work performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 January 2003</td>
<td>Eligibility of Organisations for Design and Production Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 September 2005</td>
<td>Deterioration of Wooden Aircraft Structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31 July 2015</td>
<td>Maintenance Concession Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 January 2004</td>
<td>Vertical Speed Indicators on Imported Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Aircraft, Engine &amp; Variable-Pitch Propeller Log Books for Aircraft Not Exceeding 2730 Kg MTWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30 September 2003</td>
<td>Fuel Tank Safety Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29 January 2016</td>
<td>Emergency Floor Path Lighting System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Toilet Flush Motor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 September 2006</td>
<td>Flame Resistant Furnishing Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Aircraft Seats and Berths – Resistance to Fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Cockpit, Cabin and Toilet Fire Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60A**</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 December 2016</td>
<td>Fire Extinguishing Agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 May 2006</td>
<td>Improved Flammability Test Standards for Cabin Interior Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Fatigue Lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 January 2006</td>
<td>Continuing Airworthiness and Safety Standards of Passenger Service and In-flight Entertainment Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 January 2006</td>
<td>Guidance on the Development of IFE scheduled Maintenance Tasks and Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Portable Oxygen Equipment Pressure Relief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 December 2014</td>
<td>Approval of Test Houses Holding CNAS/HKAS/UKAS Accreditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 May 2006</td>
<td>Tyre Bursts in Flight - Inflation Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Maintenance Error Management Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Safety Critical Maintenance Tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31 December 2015</td>
<td>Airworthiness Concessions in respect of Aircraft without a Hong Kong Type Certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 June 1994</td>
<td>Aeroplanes Considered to have a Proven and Satisfactory Record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31 December 2015</td>
<td>Criteria Applied by the Director-General when Considering Concessions against Hong Kong Certification Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 May 2004</td>
<td>Maintenance Requirements for Variable Pitch Propellers Installed on Aircraft Holding a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 May 2004</td>
<td>(Supplementary information for AN No. 75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30 September 2006</td>
<td>Electrical Power Supplies for Aircraft Radio Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2007</td>
<td>Counter/Pointer Altimeters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 January 2007</td>
<td>Safety Management Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Class C and D Cargo or Baggage Compartment – Fire Containment Capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 May 2006</td>
<td>Emergency Power Supply for Electrically Operated Gyroscopic Bank and Pitch Indicators (Artificial Horizons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Electrical Generation Systems – Aircraft Not Exceeding 5700 Kg Maximum Total Weight Authorised (MTWA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83**</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30 September 2019</td>
<td>Fire Precautions – Aircraft Toilets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 February 2009</td>
<td>Airborne ILS (Localiser) VOR and VHF Communication Receivers – Improved FM Broadcast Interference Immunity Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 July 2009</td>
<td>HF Communication System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 February 2009</td>
<td>Aircraft Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 February 2009</td>
<td>Time-Piece and Rate of Climb and Descent Indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Electrical Generation Systems – Bus-bar Low Voltage Warning Single-engined Aircraft with a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Personnel Certification for Non-destructive Testing of Aircraft, Engines, Components and Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Use of High Intensity Ultra-violet Lamps in Fluorescent Penetrant and Magnetic Particle Inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 January 2002</td>
<td>Disposition of Scrap Aircraft Parts &amp; Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7 February 2020</td>
<td>Return to Service of Aircraft Items* Recovered from Aircraft Involved in Accidents/Incidents and Unserviceable Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 September 2008</td>
<td>Equipment Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101A**</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 February 2020</td>
<td>Personnel Restraint System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101B**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 September 2008</td>
<td>Life Jacket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101C*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31 July 2015</td>
<td>Public Address Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101D*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Flight Recorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101E**</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>First-Aid Kits and Medical Supplies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101F*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 February 2009</td>
<td>Helicopters Cabin Crew Seats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101G*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Safety Harness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101H*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Airborne Collision Avoidance System (ACAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101I*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 January 2014</td>
<td>Secondary Surveillance Radar (SSR) ATC Mode S Transponder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101J**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29 January 2016</td>
<td>Exit Identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101K*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>Helicopter Landing Lights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101L*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Weather Radar System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101M**</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Forward-looking Wind Shear Warning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101P**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29 January 2016</td>
<td>Underwater Locating Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101Q*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Helicopter Liferaft Installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101R**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Survival Suit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101S**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Oxygen Dispensing Units and Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101T**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Lifejacket for Single-engined Aeroplane Flying Over Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2011</td>
<td>Equipment Certification Requirements for Operational Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice No.</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 December 2015</td>
<td>Reduced Vertical Separation Minima (RVSM) Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29 January 2016</td>
<td>Low Visibility Operations (LVO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16 May 2016</td>
<td>Electronic Flight Bag (EFB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 July 2020</td>
<td>Extended Diversion Time Operations (EDTO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2011</td>
<td>Performance Based Navigation (PBN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) Out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 May 2016</td>
<td>Ground Based Augmentation System (GBAS) Landing System (GLS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102H</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 October 2016</td>
<td>Head-up Display (HUD) and Enhanced Vision Systems (EVS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29 September 2017</td>
<td>Performance-based Communication and Surveillance (PBCS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 July 2011</td>
<td>Fuel Tank Flammability Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7 February 2020</td>
<td>Carriage of Supernumeraries in Cargo Aeroplane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Vibration Health Monitoring System for Helicopter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 July 2014</td>
<td>Aircraft Registration Plate Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 April 2015</td>
<td>Facilitation of the Transport of Persons with Disabilities – Access to Air Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 November 2018</td>
<td>Aircraft Tracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2017</td>
<td>Issue and Reissue of Permits to Fly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix No. 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28 February 2017</td>
<td>Evidence to Substantiate Applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 July 2020</td>
<td>Public Health Risk Mitigation Measures In Response to COVID-19 Pandemic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[*]: Airworthiness Notices and/or Appendices marked with * constitute the Definitive List the compliance status of which are required to be declared for the purpose of issue of Certificate of Airworthiness and incorporation of any modifications.

[#]: Airworthiness Notices and/or Appendices marked with # constitute the Definitive List the compliance status of which are required to be declared for the purpose of renewal of Certificate of Airworthiness.
FOREWORD

1 General

CAD 455 ‘Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices’ is issued by the Director-General of Civil Aviation to circulate information to all concerned with the airworthiness of civil aircraft.

2 ICAO Compliance Statement to CAD 455 ‘Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices’

2.1 It is the policy of the Chief Executive to exercise his various discretionary powers by reference to certain documents with a view to ensuring effective implementation of International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) Standards. In order to ensure that all these ICAO Standards are reflected in Hong Kong aviation legislation, this ICAO compliance statement to Civil Aviation Document (CAD) CAD 455 is issued.

2.2 This document CAD 455 is published in support of the powers of the Chief Executive contained in Article 8(3) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995. The document includes international Standards, and where appropriate Recommended Practices, contained in the following ICAO Annexes to the Chicago Convention:

- Annex 1 ‘Personnel Licensing’
- Annex 6 Part I ‘International Commercial Air Transport – Aeroplanes’
- Annex 6 Part II ‘International General Aviation – Aeroplanes’
- Annex 7 ‘Aircraft Nationality and Registration Marks’
- Annex 8 ‘Airworthiness of Aircraft’
- Annex 16 ‘Environmental Protection – Volume I – Aircraft Noise’
- Annex 16 ‘Environmental Protection – Volume II – Aircraft Engine Emissions’
- Annex 16 ‘Environmental Protection – Volume III – Aeroplane CO₂ Emissions’
• Annex 19 ‘Safety Management’

2.3 It is the policy of the Chief Executive to have reference to this document when exercising the discretionary powers referred to above and in particular he will normally exercise those powers so as to ensure effective implementation of any such international Standards.

3 Definition

3.1 "Director-General" or "Director" means the Director-General of Civil Aviation who is authorised for the purpose under the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 by the Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and any person who is delegated for that purpose.

3.2 "Chief Executive" means the Officer for the time being administering the Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

4 Contents List and Cancellations

4.1 The contents list will be reissued with each batch of Notices and gives particulars of all current Notices.

4.2 Cancellation of a Notice will be indicated in the contents list by the deletion of all details except for the number. The word "Cancelled" and the customary marginal line will be added. At the next issue of the contents list the number of the cancelled Notice will be removed.

5 Arrangement

5.1 Each Notice is identified by a number, followed by an issue number and an issue date. This information is listed in sequence in the contents list.

5.2 When a procedure, which has already been the subject of a Notice, is changed, the particular Notice is re-issued under the same number, but bearing a new issue number and issue date.

5.3 Material differences between issues are marked by marginal lines.

5.4 All Notices are concerned with matters affecting the airworthiness of civil aircraft. Notices issued or amended prior to Airworthiness Notice Issue No. 62 were printed on colour papers. The colour of paper, on which they are printed, indicates the type of information contained therein.

(a) Pink paper: Notices covering matters which have a direct effect on airworthiness.
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(b) **Yellow paper**: Notices covering administrative and technical procedures.

(c) **White paper**: Notices containing general information on administrative matters.

As of ‘Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices’ Amendment Issue No. 62 dated 30 May 2004, all newly issued or amended Notices (including Appendices) are to be printed on white papers only and the colour coding of Notices (and Appendices) as prescribed above will cease effect at the same time. All Notices (and Appendices) are demarcated into two kinds: mandatory or non-mandatory. For Notices (and Appendices) carrying mandatory requirements, the statement "This Notice Gives Details of a Mandatory Action" will appear on the title of those Notices. Notices (and Appendices) previously printed on colour papers will be replaced by white paper Notices at the time they are amended.

6 **Publication and Distribution**

6.1 Airworthiness Notices are published on the Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department website at www.cad.gov.hk/english/publications_press_release.html.

6.2 As of ‘Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices’ Amendment Issue No. 68, hardcopy amendments to the Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices will no longer be available. Holders of hardcopy Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices should visit the Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department website, shown in paragraph 6.1 above, for up-to-date Airworthiness Notices.

7 **Enquiries**

Any enquiries regarding technical content of Airworthiness Notices should be made to the Civil Aviation Department Headquarters, Airworthiness Office, 1 Tung Fai Road, Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau, Hong Kong.

8 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 1, Issue 22, dated 10 December 2012, which should be destroyed.


Simon LI  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*

AN-1 P.3  8 November 2018
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 1A
Issue 10
15 July 2020

DEFINITIONS

1. Introduction

This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the definitions of airworthiness related terms that are not included in the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 and Hong Kong Aviation Requirements.

2. Definitions

Airworthy. The status of an aircraft, engine, propeller or part when it conforms to its approved design and is in a condition for safe operation.

Appropriate Airworthiness Requirements. The comprehensive and detailed airworthiness codes established, adopted or accepted by the Director-General for the class of aircraft, engine or propeller under consideration.

Associated Aircraft Systems. Those aircraft systems drawing electrical/pneumatic power from an auxiliary power unit during ground operations.

Automatic Deployable Flight Recorder (ADFR). A combination flight recorder installed on the aircraft which is capable of automatically deploying from the aircraft.

Auxiliary Power Unit (APU). A self-contained power unit on an aircraft providing electrical/pneumatic power to aircraft systems during ground operations or in-flight, separate from the propulsion engine(s).

Category A Helicopter. A multi-engined helicopter designed with engine and system isolation features specified in Part IVB of ICAO Annex 8 and capable of operations using take-off and landing data scheduled under a critical engine failure concept which assures adequate designated surface area and adequate performance capability for continued safe flight or safe rejected take-off.

Category B Helicopter. A single-engine or multi-engined helicopter which does not meet Category A standards. Category B helicopters have no guaranteed capability to continue safe flight in the event of an engine failure, and a forced landing is assumed.
Continuing Airworthiness. The set of processes by which an aircraft, engine, propeller or part complies with the applicable airworthiness requirements and remains in a condition for safe operation throughout its operating life.

Continuing Airworthiness Records. (Applicable as of 8 November 2018) Records which are related to the continuing airworthiness status of an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part.

Critical Power-Unit(s). The power-unit(s) failure of which gives the most adverse effect on the aircraft characteristics relative to the case under consideration.

Note: On some aircraft there may be more than one equally critical power-unit. In this case, the expression “the critical power-unit” means one of those critical power-units.

Derived Version of an Aeroplane. An aeroplane which, from the point of view of airworthiness, is similar to the noise certificated prototype but incorporates changes in type design which may affect its noise characteristics adversely.

Note 1: Where the certificating authority finds that the proposed change in design, configuration, power or mass is so extensive that a substantially new investigation of compliance with the applicable airworthiness regulations is required, the aeroplane should be considered to be a new type of design rather than a derived version.

Note 2: “Adversely” refers to an increase of more than 0.10 dB in any one of the noise certification levels unless the cumulative effects of changes in type design are tracked by an approved procedure in which case “adversely” refers to a cumulative increase in the noise level in any one of the noise certification levels of more than 0.30 dB or the margin of compliance, whichever is smaller.

Derived Version of a Helicopter. A helicopter which, from the point of view of airworthiness, is similar to the noise certificated prototype but incorporates changes in type design which may affect its noise characteristics adversely.

Note 1: In applying the Standards of ICAO Annex 16 Volume I, a helicopter that is based on an existing prototype but which is considered by the certificating authority to be a new type design for airworthiness purposes shall nevertheless be considered as a derived version if the noise source characteristics are judged by the certificating authority to be the same as the prototype.

Note 2: “Adversely” refers to an increase of more than 0.30 EPNdB in any one of the noise certification levels for helicopters certificated according to ICAO Annex 16 Volume I Part II Chapter 8 and 0.30 dB(A) in the certification level for helicopters certificated according to ICAO Annex 16 Volume I Part II Chapter 11.

Electric Torch. A battery powered portable light source and can be regarded as an independent portable light.
**Engine.** A unit used or intended to be used for aircraft propulsion. It consists of at least those components and equipment necessary for functioning and control, but excludes the propeller (if applicable).

**Fire Resistant.** The capability to withstand the application of heat by a flame for a period of 5 minutes.

Note: The characteristics of an acceptable flame can be found in ISO 2685.

**Fireproof.** The capability to withstand the application of heat by a flame for a period of 15 minutes.

Note: The characteristics of an acceptable flame can be found in ISO 2685.

**Fireproof Material.** A material capable of withstanding heat as well as or better than steel when the dimensions in both cases are appropriate for the specific purpose.

**Fleet.** A fleet is a set of aircraft of the same model, with the same specification and with the same overall customisation.

**Flight Recorder.** Any type of recorder installed in the aircraft for the purpose of complementing accident/incident investigation.

**General Aviation Operation.** An aircraft operation other than a commercial air transport operation or an aerial work operation.

**Head-of-Version.** The Head-of-Version is the first aircraft of the fleet that is manufactured first.

**Helicopter External Equipment.** Any instrument, mechanism, part, apparatus, appurtenance, or accessory that is attached to or extends from the helicopter exterior but is not used nor is intended to be used for operating or controlling a helicopter in flight and is not part of an airframe or engine.

**Helicopter Operating in performance Class 1 or performance Group A.** Operations with performance such that, in the event of a critical engine failure, performance is available to enable the helicopter to safely continue the flight to an appropriate landing area, unless the failure occurs prior to reaching the take-off decision point (TDP) or after passing the landing decision point (LDP), in which cases the helicopter must be able to land within the rejected take-off or landing area.

**Helicopter Operating in performance Class 2 or performance Group A (Restricted).** Operations with performance such that, in the event of critical engine failure, performance is available to enable the helicopter to safely continue the flight to an appropriate landing area, except when the failure occurs early during the take-off manoeuvre or late in the landing manoeuvre, in which cases a forced landing may be required.
Helicopter Operating in performance Class 3 or performance Group B. Operations with performance such that, in the event of an engine failure at any time during the flight, a forced landing will be required.

Integrated Survival Suit. A survival suit which meets the combined requirements of the survival suit and life jacket.

Landing Decision Point (LDP). The point used in determining landing performance from which, an engine failure occurring at this point, the landing may be safely continued or a balked landing initiated.

Note: LDP applies only to helicopters operating in performance Class 1 or performance Group A.

Maintenance. (Applicable until 4 November 2020) The performance of tasks required to ensure the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft, including any one or combination of overhaul, inspection, replacement, defect rectification, and the embodiment of a modification or repair.

Maintenance. (Applicable as of 5 November 2020) The performance of tasks on an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part required to ensure the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part including any one or combination of overhaul, inspection, replacement, defect rectification, and the embodiment of a modification or repair.

Maintenance Records. Records that set out the details of the maintenance carried out on an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part.

Maintenance Release. (Applicable until 4 November 2020) A document which contains a certification confirming that the maintenance work to which it relates has been completed in a satisfactory manner, either in accordance with the approved data and the procedures described in the maintenance organisation’s procedures manual or under an equivalent system.

Maintenance Release. (Applicable as of 5 November 2020) A document which contains a certification confirming that the maintenance work to which it relates has been completed in a satisfactory manner in accordance with appropriate airworthiness requirements.

Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC). MAPSC is the maximum passenger seating capacity of an individual aircraft, excluding pilot seats or flight deck seats and cabin crew seats as applicable, used by the operator, approved by the Director-General and specified in the Certificate of Airworthiness.

Maximum Passenger Seating Capacity. The maximum certificated number of passengers for the aeroplane type design.

Note: This definition is defined in the First Edition of the ICAO Annex 16 Volume III.
**Modification.** A change to the type design of an aircraft, engine or propeller.

Note: A modification may also include the embodiment of the modification which is a maintenance task subject to a maintenance release.

**Navigation Specification.** A set of aircraft and aircrew requirements needed to support performance-based navigation operations within a defined airspace. There are two kinds of navigation specification:

RNAV specification – A navigation specification based on area navigation that does not include the requirement for performance monitoring and alerting, designated by the prefix RNAV, e.g. RNAV 5, RNAV 1.

RNP specification - A navigation specification based on area navigation that does not include the requirement for performance monitoring and alerting, designated by the prefix RNP, e.g. RNP 4, RNP APCH.

**Powerplant.** The system consisting of all the engines, drive system components (if applicable), and propellers (if installed), their accessories, ancillary parts, and fuel and oil systems installed on an aircraft but excluding the rotors for a helicopter.

**Power-Unit.** A system of one or more engines and ancillary parts which are together necessary to provide thrust, independently of the continued operation of any other power-unit(s), but not including short period thrust-producing devices.

**Prototype Aircraft.** A Prototype aircraft is an aircraft which is the first of the type to be investigated for the issue of a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness.

**Recertification.** Certification of an aircraft with or without a revision to its certification noise levels, to a Standard different to that to which it was originally certificated.

**Repair.** (Applicable until 4 November 2020) The restoration of an aeronautical product to an airworthy condition to ensure that the aircraft continues to comply with the design aspects of the appropriate airworthiness requirements used for the issuance of the type certificate for the respective aircraft type, after it has been damaged or subjected to wear.

**Repair.** (Applicable as of 5 November 2020) The restoration of an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part to an airworthy condition in accordance with the appropriate airworthiness requirements after it has been damaged or subjected to wear.

**Series Aircraft.** A Series aircraft is an aircraft, including engines and equipment, the design of which is similar in every essential respect to the design of an aircraft for which a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness has previously been issued.

**Series Modified Aircraft.** A Series modified aircraft is an aircraft that incorporates modifications or repairs classified as major, relative to Hong Kong certified aircraft build standard, that require Director-General's approval for the issue of a Certificate of Airworthiness.
**State of Design.** The State having jurisdiction over the organization responsible for the type design.

**State of Manufacture.** The State having jurisdiction over the organization responsible for the final assembly of the aircraft, engine or propeller.

**State of Registry.** The State on whose register the aircraft is entered.

Note: In the case of the registration of an international operating agency on other than a national basis, the States constituting the agency are jointly and severally bound to assume the obligations which, under the Chicago Convention, attach to a State of Registry. See, in this regard, the Council Resolution of 14 December 1967 on Nationality and Registration of Aircraft Operated by International Operating Agencies which can be found in Policy and Guidance Material on the Economic Regulation of International Air Transport (Doc 9587).

**Take-off Decision Point (TDP).** The point used in determining the take-off performance from which, an engine failure occurring at this point, either a rejected take-off may be made or a take-off safely continued.

*Note: TDP applies only to helicopters operating in performance Class 1 or performance Group A.*

**Type Certificate.** A document issued by a Contracting State to define the design of an aircraft, engine or propeller type and to certify that this design meets the appropriate airworthiness requirements of that State.

Note: In some Contracting States a document equivalent to a Type Certificate may be issued for an engine or propeller type.

**Variant aircraft.** A Variant aircraft is the first aircraft on the Hong Kong register to embody changes to the type designation which requires an amendment to the information in the Type Certificate Data Sheet.

3. **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 1A Issue 9, dated 31 July 2018, which should be destroyed.

*Captain Victor LIU*

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
TERMINOLOGIES

1. Introduction

In the Amendments 43, 36 and 22 to ICAO Annex 6 Part I, II and III respectively, ICAO introduced changes of a number of terminologies that will take effect on 5 November 2020. This Airworthiness Notice is intended to list out all affected terminologies for the information of the industry. On opportunity basis, CAD will amend the affected CAD publications, after the new terminologies become applicable on 5 November 2020.

2. Affected Terminologies

As of 5 November 2020, the Existing Terminologies in left-hand column will be replaced by the New Terminologies in the right-hand column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Terminologies (Applicable until 4 November 2020)</th>
<th>New Terminologies (Applicable as of 5 November 2020)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aeroplane Maintenance</td>
<td>Aeroplane Continuing Airworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopter Maintenance</td>
<td>Helicopter Continuing Airworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Records</td>
<td>Continuing Airworthiness Records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator’s Maintenance Responsibilities</td>
<td>Operator’s Continuing Airworthiness Responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner’s maintenance responsibilities</td>
<td>Owner’s continuing airworthiness responsibilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simon LI

Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
1 General

Civil Aviation Ordinance (CAP. 448) and Civil Aviation (Aircraft Noise) Ordinance (CAP. 312) are the primary aviation legislation of Hong Kong. The Ordinances provide the legal power for the Director-General to carry out Chicago Convention, any Annex thereto relating to international standards and recommended practices and generally for regulating air navigation.

2 Civil Aviation Ordinance (CAP. 448)

Chapter 448 (CAP. 448) of the Laws of Hong Kong, cited as the Civil Aviation Ordinance, is the Ordinance to repeal and re-enact with appropriate modifications certain provisions relating to civil aviation. The following are the subsidiary legislation (sub. leg.), being regulations or orders, made under the Ordinance.

2.1 CAP. 448 sub. leg. A – Air Transport (Licensing of Air Services) Regulations

These regulations are provisions for the licences and operating permits for the carriage of passengers, mail or cargo by air for hire or reward on scheduled journeys and upon journeys other than the scheduled journeys.

2.2 CAP. 448 sub. leg. B – Hong Kong Civil Aviation (Investigation of Accidents) Regulations

2.2.1 These regulations are provisions for the investigations of any accident arising out of or in the course of air navigation and occurring in or over Hong Kong, or occurring elsewhere to civil aircraft registered in Hong Kong.

2.2.2 The fundamental purpose of investigating accidents under these regulations shall be to determine the circumstances and causes of the accident with a view to the preservation of life and the avoidance of accidents in the future; it is not the purpose to apportion blame or liability.
2.3 CAP. 448 sub. leg. C – Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995

The Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (AN(HK)O) is the Order with provisions made for regulating air navigation.

2.3.1 The AN(HK)O consists of the following ten parts and sixteen schedules:

Part I – Registration and Marking of Aircraft

Part II – Air Operators' Certificates

Part III – Airworthiness and Equipment of Aircraft

Part IV – Aircraft Crew and Licensing

Part V – Operation of Aircraft

Part VI – Fatigue of Crew

Part VII – Documents and Records

Part VIII – Control of Air Traffic

Part IX – Aerodromes, Aeronautical Lights and Dangerous Lights

Part X – General

Schedule 1 – Part A. Table of General Classification of Aircraft

Part B. Nationality and Registration Marks of Aircraft Registered in Hong Kong

Part C. Aircraft Dealer's Certificate – Conditions

Schedule 2 – A and B Conditions

Schedule 3 – Categories of Aircraft

Schedule 4 – Aerodrome Manual

Schedule 5 – Aircraft Equipment

Schedule 6 – Radio and Radio Navigation Equipment to be carried in Aircraft
Schedule 7 – Aircraft, Engine and Propeller Log Books

Schedule 8 – Areas Specified in connection with the Carriage of Approved Navigational Equipment on Public Transport Aircraft

Schedule 9 – Flight Crew of Aircraft, Licences and Ratings

Schedule 10 – Aircraft Traffic Controllers: Ratings

Schedule 11 – Public Transport - Operational Requirements

Schedule 12 – Documents to be carried by Aircraft Registered in Hong Kong

Schedule 13 – Penalties

Schedule 14 – Rules of the Air

Schedule 15 – Air Navigation (General) Regulations

Schedule 16 – The Air Navigation (Dangerous Goods) Regulations

2.4 CAP. 448 sub. leg. D – Hong Kong Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations

These regulations provide the fees payable to the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region government in connection with matters prescribed by or under the AN(HK)O.

2.5 CAP. 448 sub. leg. E – Air Navigation (Flight Prohibition) Order

This Order is made to give provisions on aircraft flying over Prohibition Area.

2.6 CAP. 448 sub. leg. F – Civil Aviation (Insurance) Order

This Order is made to give provisions on aircraft insurance.

3 Civil Aviation (Aircraft Noise) Ordinance (CAP. 312)

The Civil Aviation (Aircraft Noise) Ordinance is made to control the emission of noise by aircraft and for matters ancillary thereto or connected therewith. There is one subsidiary legislation made to the Ordinance:

3.1 CAP. 312 sub. leg. A – Civil Aviation (Aircraft Noise) (Certification) Regulations

These regulations are provisions for issue, suspension and cancellation of noise
certificates and also enforcement of the related aircraft noise requirements.

4 Publication

4.1 The loose-leaf edition of the CAP. 448 and CAP. 312 may be ordered from the Publications Sales Unit of Information Services Department at the following address: Room 626, 6/F, North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong. They may also be ordered online at http://www.isd.gov.hk/eng/bookorder.htm.

4.2 The CAP. 448 and CAP. 312, together with other Chapters of the Laws of Hong Kong, can be accessed online at the Hong Kong e-Legislation (http://www.elegislation.gov.hk) and also the Bilingual Laws Information System (http://www.blis.gov.hk). The amendments to the Laws of Hong Kong are notified by means of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Gazette available online at http://www.gld.gov.hk/egazette.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 2 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Simon Li
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 July 2017 AN-2 P.4
General

The purpose of this Notice is to describe the certification responsibilities of Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Personnel. Such personnel are the holders of an Aircraft Maintenance Licence issued under HKAR-66. The responsibilities apply when issuing certifications either as a Type Rated licence holder or a certifying staff holding a certification authorisation. It also describes the privileges of the various Type Rated licences.

It should be noted that when the holders of a licence is performing maintenance activities on an aircraft on which he or she is not appropriately licensed, i.e. acting as a non-certifying staff, they are still expected to act responsibly and carry out such work in accordance with the procedures and standards identified in the following paragraphs.

A licence issued by the Director-General does not confer any certification privileges with respect to aircraft which are not registered in Hong Kong unless the licence holder is entitled to certify for such activities by way of having the licence validated by the relevant Airworthiness Authority and/or is authorised by a maintenance organisation approved by that Authority.

NOTES: (1) A HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence does not normally confer any certification privileges on the holder in their own right (except for that allowed under Airworthiness Notice No. 10). Such licence must be used in conjunction with a certification authorisation. See paragraph 1.10.

(2) In the context of this Notice, reference to an authorisation means an authorisation issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation to allow the holder to issue Certificate of Release to Service within the limitations shown on the authorisation.

1.1 The Certificate referred to under Article 9 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (‘the Order’) is a Certificate of Maintenance Review to be issued following completion of the review required by the Order. The certificate
referred to under Article 11 of the Order is a Certificate of Release to Service to be issued on completion of maintenance of aircraft or components, either required or not required to be maintained under the provisions of HKAR-145.

1.2 In connection with the issue of Certificate of Release to Service, the following definitions apply:

(a) (i) **Maintenance** means the performance of tasks required to ensure the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft, including any one or combination of overhaul, inspection, replacement, defect rectification, and the embodiment of a modification or repair. (Applicable until 4 November 2020)

(ii) **Maintenance** means the performance of tasks on an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part required to ensure the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part including any one or combination of overhaul, inspection, replacement, defect rectification, and the embodiment of a modification or repair. (Applicable as of 5 November 2020)

(b) **Overhaul** means the restoration of an aircraft/aircraft component by inspection and replacement in conformity with an approved standard to extend the operational life.

(c) (i) **Repair** means the restoration of an aeronautical product to an airworthy condition to ensure that the aircraft continues to comply with the design aspects of the appropriate airworthiness requirements used for the issuance of the type certificate for the respective aircraft type, after it has been damaged or subjected to wear. (Applicable until 4 November 2020)

(ii) **Repair** means the restoration of an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part to an airworthy condition in accordance with the appropriate airworthiness requirements after it has been damaged or subjected to wear. (Applicable as of 5 November 2020)

(d) **Inspection** means the examination of an aircraft/aircraft component to establish conformity with an approved standard.

(e) **Replacement** is any work operation which involves the removal and replacement of the same part or the substitution of an approved alternative part.
(f) **Modification** is a change to the type design of an aircraft, engine or propeller.

NOTE 1: A modification may also include the embodiment of the modification which is a maintenance task subject to a maintenance release.

NOTE 2: **Defect rectification** normally consists of an element of inspection and troubleshooting followed by repair and replacement of the defective item.

NOTE 3: Inspection includes:

(i) **Mandatory Inspection**, an inspection classified as Mandatory by the Director-General, where the inspection itself is the work. (See HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.6-6)

(ii) **Scheduled Maintenance Inspection**, an inspection or maintenance including tests required by the Approved Maintenance Schedule or Programme.

1.3 Although many terms in common usage describe the various aspects of aircraft engineering, the meanings assigned to such terms are not always the same. For the purpose of this Notice, in relation to airworthiness, the following definitions apply:

(a) **Condition** – the physical state of an item.

(b) **Assembly** – that items are fitted, assembled, attached, installed, connected, secured or adjusted in the approved manner.

(c) **Functioning** – operation in the approved manner achieving such performance, range of movement and freedom of movement as may be specified.

The certifying staff shall be responsible for the condition, assembly and functioning of the aircraft or its components for maintenance that has been certified under the privileges of a Type Rated licence or an authorisation.

1.4 The certifying staff shall be responsible for ensuring that work is performed and recorded in a satisfactory manner taking into account the following:

(a) Whenever work is carried out on an aircraft, it is the duty of all persons to whom this Notice applies to ensure that the work, for which they are responsible, progresses in a managed and controlled manner. Where they are supported by additional staff to carry out the work, consideration shall be given by them before starting the work to the manpower resource available and the abilities of the staff concerned. This is to ensure that the certifying staff determines and exercises an adequate degree of supervision over such staff.
In relation to work carried out on an aircraft, it is the duty of all persons to whom this Notice applies to ensure that an adequate record of the work carried out is maintained. This is particularly important where such work is carried on beyond a working period or shift, or is handed over from one person to another. The work accomplished, particularly for disassembly or disturbance of components or aircraft systems, should be recorded as the work progresses or prior to undertaking a disassociated task. In any event, records should be completed no later than the end of the work period or shift of the individual undertaking the work. Such records should include 'open' entries to reflect the remaining actions necessary to restore the aircraft to a serviceable condition prior to release to service. In the case of complex tasks which are undertaken frequently, consideration should be given to the use of pre-planned stage sheets to assist in the control, management and recording of these tasks. Where such sheets are used, care must be taken to ensure that they accurately reflect the current requirements and recommendations of the manufacturer and that all key stages, inspections, or replacements are recorded.

It is also the duty of all persons to whom this Notice applies to consider the effect such work may have, directly or indirectly, on items which are the responsibilities of other such persons. In all cases where an overlap of responsibility between licence categories occurs, the person primarily responsible for the item must involve all other trade disciplines affected. Every person to whom this Notice applies must therefore be conversant with all other relevant paragraphs of this Notice. Certificate of Release to Service for each relevant trade category must be issued by all persons concerned, each assuming responsibility of and certifying those aspects of the work for which the licence/authorisation holder is entitled to assume responsibility.

A Certificate of Release to Service shall only be issued on completion of maintenance when the signatory is satisfied that the work has been properly carried out and accurately recorded, having due regard to the use of:

(a) up-to-date instructions and maintenance data (including manuals, drawings, specifications, CAD mandatory modifications/inspections, and, where applicable, company procedures);

(b) recommended tooling and test equipment which has a valid calibration record where applicable; and

(c) a working environment appropriate to the work being carried out.

When issuing a Certificate of Release to Service for work performed by others, the certifying staff assumes responsibility. The certifying staff must have inspected a sufficiently representative sample of the work and the associated documentation, and be satisfied with the competence of the persons who have
performed the work. For complex tasks this may require progressive inspections to be carried out as the work proceeds. In the case of an aircraft or component where welding a metallic part is considered essential to airworthiness, it is the responsibility of the certifying staff to establish that the welder is approved in accordance with the requirements in HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-10.

NOTE: HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence holders certifying under the privileges of their licences may not deviate from the manufacturer's maintenance instruction or maintenance data, nor use alternative parts, components or assemblies unless such deviation is supported by the written agreement of the manufacturer, approved as a modification or agreed by the Director-General. The Director-General should be consulted in cases of difficulty. Certifying staff shall comply with all company procedures covering such issues.

1.6 (a) When the work involves the assembly or any disturbance of a vital point defined in HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.5-3 or control system, the duplicate inspection / independent inspection required must be completed and certified in accordance with HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.6-2 before the relevant Certificate of Release to Service is issued.

(b) The holder of a Type Rated HKAR-66 Licence in Category B1 may make certification on aircraft (or their engines, as appropriate) below 5700 kg Maximum Total Weight Authorized for duplicate inspections / independent inspections of minor adjustments to control systems on other types within the Category in which the licence is Type Rated.

NOTES: (1) A minor adjustment is considered to be a single point adjustment or reassembly of a control.

(2) ‘Duplicate inspection’ will be phased out with effect from 1 January 2020.

1.7 The holder of an appropriately Type Rated HKAR-66 licence in Category B1 may issue a Certificate of Release to Service to cover Non-Destructive Test Inspections on aircraft or components, within the privileges of the licence or authorisation held, subject to the work being carried out as follows:

(a) Inspection requiring the use of Field Kit for the Colour Contrast Dye Penetrant technique may be carried out by persons either Type Rated as above or persons qualified in accordance with Airworthiness Notice No. 94.

(b) Non-Destructive Test Inspections requiring any other technique, including Fluorescent Penetrant Dye, shall be carried out and signed for by persons appropriately qualified in accordance with Airworthiness Notice No. 94.

1.8 Use of either a Type Rated licence or an authorisation to issue a certification requires that, in addition to the licence or authorisation being valid at the time
of certification, the holder has been engaged for periods totalling at least 6 months during the 24 months preceding the date of the certification on work affording experience comparable with that required for the grant of the licence/authorisation.

1.9 A Type Rated licensed certifying staff may issue the certificates identified below in relation to aircraft for which the licence is Type Rated and in accordance with the privileges detailed in the remaining paragraphs to this Notice. An authorised certifying staff may only issue these certificates as permitted within the limitations shown on the authorisation.

(a) Certificate of Release to Service for aircraft not required to be maintained under HKAR-145 — the holder of an appropriately Type Rated licence may issue Certificate of Release to Service subject to compliance with the requirements of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.6-2 and 1.6-7.

(b) Certificate of Maintenance Review — the Director-General will specify who may issue a Certificate of Maintenance Review. For an organisation approved in accordance with HKAR-145 the signatory will be a person authorised in accordance with the Appendix No.3 to Sub-section 1.8-13. For all other cases the signatory will be an engineer possessing an appropriately type rated HKAR-66 Category B or C Licence valid in the sub-category of the aircraft reviewed.

(c) Certificate of Fitness for Flight — the holder of an appropriately Type Rated HKAR-66 licence in Category B1 may issue Certificate of Fitness for Flight subject to compliance with the Appendix No.3 to Sub-section 1.8-13.

1.10 HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licences (Aircraft Maintenance Licence with Type Ratings, if applicable) may be used to allow the grant of an authorisation within a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation. In addition to the foregoing paragraphs, when working within such organisations, the licensed certifying staff should be aware that he/she is part of a comprehensive maintenance system. It is therefore important to realise that company procedures may further define, or require specific actions, as to how certain issues are to be dealt with and these procedures must be adhered to. It is also important to realise that when working within a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation and certifying under the privileges of an authorisation the licensed certifying staff is not certifying under the privileges of the licence.

2 Compass Compensation and Adjustment

The Paragraph 15 Type Rating of Airworthiness Notice No. 10 permits the issue of Certificate of Release to Service by the holder in respect of the compensation and adjustment of direct and remote reading compasses on any aircraft not subject to the
requirements of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-13.

3 HKAR-66 Category A Aircraft Maintenance Licence

3.1 A HKAR-66 Category A Aircraft Maintenance Licence may be issued to a person who has demonstrated a competence to complete minor scheduled line maintenance and simple defect rectification. The licence does not entitle the holder to exercise any certification privileges in respect of aircraft until the holder is issued with a corresponding certification authorisation. Such authorisations will be issued by a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation appropriately approved by the Director-General.

NOTE: The holder of a HKAR-66 Category B1 licence is also qualified as a HKAR-66 Category A licence holder in the same sub-category, e.g. Aeroplanes Turbine, and may be issued with HKAR-66 Category A certification authorisation.

3.2 These HKAR-66 Category A privileges will be limited to the performance and certification of specific simple maintenance tasks, either individually or in combination, as defined in HKAR-145 AMC 145.30(g) and will require a combination of theoretical and practical training to be undertaken on each aircraft type to qualify for the task authorisation. Such authorisation, issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation will specify the limitations of the privileges that may be applicable. HKAR-66 licence holders will therefore be required to act in accordance with the authorisation procedures of the company concerned.

3.3 HKAR-66 Category A licences are issued in licence sub-categories which are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Aeroplanes Turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Aeroplanes Piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Helicopters Turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Helicopters Piston</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 HKAR-66 Category B1 Aircraft Maintenance Licence

4.1 A HKAR-66 Category B1 Aircraft Maintenance Licence may be issued to a person who has demonstrated a competence to complete maintenance, including aircraft structure, powerplant and mechanical and electrical systems. Replacement of avionic line replaceable units, requiring simple tests to prove their serviceability, shall also be included in the privileges. The HKAR-66 Category B1 licence is primarily intended to be used for the purposes of qualification of line maintenance staff for authorisation. The licence, in its own right, does not entitle the holder to exercise any certification privileges in respect of aircraft and will be used in conjunction with a HKAR-145 certification authorisation.

4.2 Such authorisation will be issued by a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation appropriately approved by the Director-General. HKAR 145.30(g) requires it to be used as a prerequisite for authorisation of staff acting as inspectors or
supervisors within base maintenance (base maintenance technicians). HKAR-66 licence holders will therefore act in accordance with the authorisation procedures of the company concerned.

4.3 HKAR-66 Category B1 licences apply to all aircraft (aeroplanes and helicopters) and are issued in licence sub-categories which are:

B1.1 Aeroplanes Turbine
B1.2 Aeroplanes Piston
B1.3 Helicopters Turbine
B1.4 Helicopters Piston

NOTES:  
(1) An unrestricted Category B1 sub-category entitles the holder to be granted Category A certification authorisations in the same sub-category, e.g. Aeroplanes Turbine.

(2) An unrestricted Category B1.2 sub-category permits the holder to be granted Category B3 certification authorisations.

(3) An unrestricted Category B1.2 or B3 sub-category permits the holder to issue Certificate of Release to Service for simple light aeroplanes after a base maintenance check in the case that such aeroplanes are maintained in a HKAR-145 organisation,

4.4 HKAR-66 Category B1 certification authorisations allow the holder to issue Certificate of Release to Service under HKAR 145.50 for line maintenance on aircraft which are endorsed as individual types on the licence and the corresponding authorisation. HKAR-66 Category B1 licences to the full HKAR-66 standard include scope to allow the issue of a certification authorisation covering work on a combination of the following disciplines:

- airframe and their related systems;
- engines and their related systems (including Auxiliary Power Units);
- electrical power generation and distribution systems (power distribution in respect of airframe and/or engine systems) including lighting systems (this includes all electrical components in mechanical systems such as sensors, motors and control units);
- avionic line replaceable units (LRU).

In respect of avionic LRUs, the work is limited to cases where the serviceability of the system can be established by a simple self test facility (BITE) or by using simple test equipment and it is expected that, for reference purposes, a list of such components will be prepared for each aircraft type by the HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation. (Defect rectification on avionic systems which requires an element of decision making in its application – other than a simple go/no go decision – cannot be certified).
NOTE: The HKAR-66 Category B1 licence holder is not entitled to certify for any overhaul work.

4.5 The certification authorisation can only be issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation when the HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence holder has the appropriate basic sub-category listed and the aircraft type rating endorsed on the licence. The type training for a HKAR-66 Category B1 type endorsement, conducted under a HKAR-147 approval, will represent the appropriate technologies as specified in HKAR-66. The certification authorisation will specify the privileges for the individual, giving due regard to the company scope of approval and any associated procedures agreed with the Director-General, and will specify any limitations to the authorisation accordingly.

NOTE: A HKAR-66 licence issued on the basis of 'protected rights' may contain technical restrictions equivalent to the limitations of any HKAR-AMEL licence or company authorisations previously held. These 'protected rights' under HKAR-66 are a continuation of certification privileges under an existing licence or certification authorisation which allows current personnel to continue working and certifying without the need to re-qualify. The recognition of these privileges is shown by the inclusion of code 999 against that type rating. Authorisations issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation should therefore be similarly restricted in scope. It should be noted that conversion to the full HKAR-66 licence standard is optional.

4.6 HKAR-66 Category B1 licences may also be issued with an endorsement allowing the certification, under the provisions of Articles 9 and 11 of the Order, for work outside of a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation on aircraft which are not operated for commercial air transport. Such privileges are limited to Hong Kong registered aircraft only. Certifications may only be made for those aircraft types which are endorsed individually or as Group Type Ratings on the licence. The privileges of the endorsement will reflect the certification limitations on the licence.

5 HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* Aircraft Maintenance Licence

5.1 A HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* Aircraft Maintenance Licence may be issued to a person who has demonstrated a competence to complete maintenance on avionic and electrical systems. The HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* licence is primarily intended to be used for the purposes of qualification of avionic line maintenance staff for authorisation. The licence, in its own right, does not entitle the holder to exercise any certification privileges in respect of aircraft and will be used in conjunction with a HKAR-145 certification authorisation.

5.2 Such authorisations will be issued by a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation appropriately approved by the Director-General. HKAR 145.30(h) also requires it to be used as a prerequisite for authorisation of staff acting as inspectors or supervisors within base maintenance (base maintenance technician). HKAR-66 licence holders will therefore act in accordance with the authorisation procedures of the company concerned.
NOTE: A HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* licence cannot be used for the purposes of a HKAR-66 Category A certification authorisation unless the HKAR-66 Category A licence is also held.

5.3 HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* certification authorisations allow the holder to issue Certificate of Release to Service under HKAR 145.50 for the line maintenance on aircraft which are endorsed as individual types on the licence and the corresponding authorisation. HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* licences to the full HKAR-66 standard include scope to allow the issue of a certification authorisation covering work on a combination of the following aircraft systems:

- instruments;
- automatic pilots (aeroplanes, helicopters and autoland systems);
- radio communication/navigation;
- radio radar;
- electrical power generation and distribution systems (power distribution in respect of avionic systems) including lighting systems.

Scope may not include for authorisation in respect of work on electrical power distribution systems or electrical/avionic components in mechanical systems. (Licences issued on the basis of 'protected rights' may include such scope where so endorsed.)

NOTES (1): The HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* licence holder is not entitled to certify for any overhaul work.

(2): A category B2* aircraft maintenance licence shall permit the holder to issue Certificate of Release to Service following minor scheduled line maintenance and simple defect rectification within the limits of tasks specifically endorsed on the certification authorisation referred to in HKAR 145.35. This certification privilege shall be restricted to work that the licence holder has personally performed in the maintenance organisation which issued the certification authorisation and limited to the ratings already endorsed in the B2* licence.

5.4 The certification authorisation can only be issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation when the licence holder has the appropriate basic HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* listed and the aircraft type rating endorsed on the HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence. The type training for a HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* type endorsement, conducted under a HKAR-147 approval, will represent the appropriate technologies as specified in HKAR-66. The certification authorisation will specify the privileges for the individual, giving due regard to the company scope of approval and any associated procedures agreed with the Director-General, and will specify any limitations to the authorisation accordingly.
NOTE: A HKAR-66 licence issued on the basis of 'protected rights' may contain technical restrictions equivalent to the limitations of any HKAR-AMEL licence or company authorisations previously held. These 'protected rights' under HKAR-66 are a continuation of certification privileges under an existing licence or certification authorisation which allows current personnel to continue working and certifying without the need to re-qualify. The recognition of these privileges is shown by the inclusion of code 999 against that type rating. Authorisations issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation should therefore be similarly restricted in scope. It should be noted that conversion to the full HKAR-66 licence standard is optional.

5.5 HKAR-66 Category B2/B2* licences may also be issued with an endorsement allowing the certification, under the provisions of Articles 9 and 11 of the Order, for work outside of a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation on aircraft which are not operated for commercial air transport. Such privileges are limited to Hong Kong registered aircraft only. Certification may only be made for those aircraft types which are endorsed individually or as Group Type Ratings on the licence. The privileges of the endorsement will reflect the certification limitations on the licence.

6 HKAR-66 Category B3 Aircraft Maintenance Licence

6.1 A HKAR-66 Category B3 Aircraft Maintenance Licence may be issued to a person who has demonstrated a competence to act in the role of a certifying engineer for maintenance of simple light aeroplanes. The licence, in its own right, does not entitle the holder to exercise any certification privileges in respect of aircraft and will be used in conjunction with a HKAR-145 certification authorisation.

NOTE: HKAR-145 does not require a HKAR-66 Category C certifying staff for the certification of simple light aeroplanes as Category B1.2 or B3 covers all maintenance.

6.2 Such authorisations will be issued by a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation appropriately approved by the Director-General.

NOTE: A HKAR-66 Category B3 licence cannot be used for the purpose of a HKAR-66 Category A certification authorisation unless the Category A licence is also held.

6.3 HKAR-66 Category B3 certification authorisations allow the holder to issue Certificates of Release to Service under HKAR 145.50 for maintenance on simple light aeroplanes which are endorsed as individual aeroplane types, aeroplane manufacturers group types, aeroplane group types and/or wooden aeroplane group types on the licence and the corresponding authorisation. HKAR-66 Category B3 licences to the full HKAR-66 standard include scope to allow the issue of a certification authorisation covering work on a combination of the following disciplines:

• airframe and their related systems;
• engines and their related systems;
• electrical power generation and distribution systems (power distribution in respect of airframe and/or engine systems) including lighting systems;
• avionic line replaceable units (LRU).

In respect of avionic LRUs, the work is limited to cases where the serviceability of the system can be established by a simple self test facility (BITE) or by using simple test equipment and it is expected that, for reference purposes, a list of such components will be prepared for each aircraft type by the HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation. (Defect rectification on avionic systems which requires an element of decision making in its application – other than a simple go/no go decision – cannot be certified).

NOTE: The HKAR-66 Category B3 licence holder is not entitled to certify for any overhaul work.

6.4 The certification authorisation can only be issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation when the licence holder has the appropriate basic HKAR-66 Category listed and the aircraft type rating endorsed on the HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence. The type training for a HKAR-66 Category B3 type endorsement, conducted under a HKAR-147 approval, will represent the appropriate technologies as specified in HKAR-66. The certification authorisation will specify the privileges for the individual, giving due regard to the company scope of approval and any associated procedures agreed with the Director-General, and will specify any limitations to the authorization accordingly.

NOTE: A HKAR-66 licence issued on the basis of 'protected rights' may contain technical restrictions equivalent to the limitations of any HKAR-AMEL licence or company authorisations previously held. These 'protected rights' under HKAR-66 are a continuation of certification privileges under an existing licence or certification authorisation which allows current personnel to continue working and certifying without the need to re-qualify. Authorisations issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation should therefore be similarly restricted in scope. It should be noted that conversion to the full HKAR-66 licence standard is optional.

6.5 HKAR-66 Category B3 licences may also be issued with an endorsement allowing the certification, under the provisions of Articles 9 and 11 of the Order, for work outside of a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation on aircraft which are not operated for commercial air transport. Such privileges are limited to Hong Kong registered aircraft only. Certifications may only be made for those aircraft types which are endorsed individually or as Group Type Ratings on the licence. The privileges will reflect the limitations for the relevant licence categories.

7 HKAR-66 Category C Aircraft Maintenance Licence

7.1 A HKAR-66 Category C Aircraft Maintenance Licence may be issued to a
person who has demonstrated a competence to release an aircraft following base maintenance. The privileges apply to the aircraft in its entirety in a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation. The licence, in its own right, does not entitle the holder to exercise any certification privileges in respect of aircraft and will be used in conjunction with a HKAR-145 certification authorisation.

NOTE: HKAR-145 does not require a HKAR-66 Category C certifying staff for the certification of simple light aeroplanes as Category B1.2 or B3 covers all maintenance.

7.2 Such authorisations will be issued by a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation appropriately approved by the Director-General. HKAR-66 licence holders will therefore act in accordance with the authorisation procedures of the company concerned.

7.3 HKAR-66 Category C certification authorisations allow the holder to issue Certificate of Release to Service under HKAR 145.50 following the completion of base maintenance on aircraft which are endorsed on the licence and the corresponding authorisation as individual types. Such persons are in essence certifying for the completion of the process associated with base maintenance and are supported by appropriately qualified and experienced HKAR-66 Categories B1 and B2/B2* staff who will inspect and supervise the actual work. The scope of coverage therefore reflects the whole aircraft and is not limited to certification of solely mechanical or avionic systems.

7.4 It should be noted that a HKAR-66 Category C licence holder cannot be authorised to act as a line maintenance certifying engineer, exercising line maintenance certification privileges, nor conducting base maintenance, in the role of an inspector or supervisor clearing individual tasks, unless the licence is also endorsed with the appropriate HKAR-66 Category B1 and/or B2/B2* licence with the relevant type rating endorsements.

7.5 The certification authorisation can only be issued by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation when the HKAR-66 licence holder has the basic Category C listed and the aircraft type rating endorsed on the HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence. The type training for a HKAR-66 Category C type endorsement, conducted under a HKAR-147 approval, will represent the appropriate mix of systems knowledge as specified in HKAR-66. The certification authorisation will specify the privileges for the individual, giving due regard to the company scope of approval and any associated procedures agreed with the Director-General.

NOTE: A person qualified to HKAR-66 Category B1 or B2/B2* level with type endorsements will also be eligible for a Category C type authorisation, providing the basic HKAR-66 Category C licence is held.

8 HKAR-66 General

Holders of HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence with type endorsements may be
required to undertake appropriate differences type training where the aircraft type to be authorised embodies significant variations in the equipment fitted or the aircraft systems configuration. The responsibility for determining the training to be undertaken will remain with the HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation.

9 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 3 Issue 25, dated 31 July 2018, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
Airworthiness Notice

No. 4
Issue 17
31 January 2010

AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE LICENCE
APPLICATION PROCEDURES

1 General

1.1 No person has a right to obtain a Hong Kong Aircraft Maintenance Licence (AML) without undergoing such examinations as the Director-General may require.

1.2 The procedure for the application of an HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence is described in the current issue of HKAR-66 and HKAR-2 Chapter 22. The procedure and basis for the licence examination is described in the HKAR-2 Chapter 23. Additional information, such as examination schedule and application forms, can be downloaded from the CAD website or obtained from the CAD Personnel Licensing Office. Refer Airworthiness Notice No. 29 for details. The purpose of this notice is to clarify certain aspects of the requirements for the application and issue/extension of a licence.

2 Record of Experience

2.1 Record of Experience form DCA35B will be required together with the appropriate application and other supporting documentation for both basic categories and type rating licences.

2.2 The content of the Record of Experience shall be in accordance with the Appendix 4 of HKAR-66, and the sub-headings used for clarification and subsequent ease of assessment. The Record of Experience should have sufficient depth to reflect the system complexity of different subjects and adequate coverage of relevant ATA chapters.

2.3 Applicant has to meet the experience requirements as per HKAR 66.30 before the issue/extension of the AML. The Record of Experience can be submitted together with the application for examination or application for licence issue/extension when the applicant has passed the required examinations.
3 Application

3.1 The following application forms are used:

Form DCA 35E Application for examination
Form DCA 35 Application for issue/extension of licence

3.2 The completed form DCA35 or DCA35E, together with the Record of Experience form or CAD approved log book should be submitted to the Personnel Licensing Office. Original documents pertaining apprenticeships, completion of aeronautical engineering courses, licences granted by other Aviation Authorities and professional qualifications should be submitted to the Director-General in support of the application where relevant. These documents will be returned after assessment. For certain certificates and qualifications, the Director-General may grant partial exemption from the licence examinations. For general application for examination, the application forms must be received by Personnel Licence Office two months before the intended examination date. For applications for licence conversion examination, the application forms must be received by Personnel Licence Office three months before the intended examination date. The Personnel Licence Office will notify the applicant about the examination time and venue arrangement four weeks in advance.

3.3 Applicants should have studied the current Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, HKAR-1, HKAR-2, HKAR-66, and Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices.

3.4 The applicant shall pay the fee as prescribed in the Hong Kong Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations.

3.5 Where the applicant is not a resident of Hong Kong, the application will only be accepted if the Director-General is satisfied that there is a need for such licence to maintain Hong Kong registered aircraft.

3.6 All examinations will be conducted by the Civil Aviation Department. Examinations will be held at the Civil Aviation Department and CAD Authorised Examination Centre. Refer Appendix No.1 for details. Enquires on the written examination sittings available should be made to the Personnel Licensing Office.

4 Exemptions from AML Examinations

4.1 Exemptions from part of the HKAR-66 written examination may be granted by the Director-General based on qualifications held and the category for which application has been made.
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4.1.1 Holder of a restricted HKAR-66 licence in one or more Categories, when applying for conversion to a full HKAR-66 licence Basic Category, will be granted exemptions from those modules which form part of the examinations for the Categories already held. The applicant should approach CAD for the details of the examinations required.

4.1.2 Holder of a non HKAR-66 licences that have been assessed by the Director-General, when applying for the issue of a HKAR-66 licence in Basic Category, may be granted exemptions from part of the HKAR-66 examinations as are so specified. This will be dependent on the Categories for which application has been made and the candidate will be advised accordingly once the application has been accepted. Details of non HKAR-66 licences and the required conversion examination are listed in HKAR-2 Chapter 23 Appendix 2.

4.2 Exemptions will only be considered provided the relevant supporting documents are submitted with the application form DCA35. Where a licence granted by other aviation authority is the basis of request for exemption it must be valid on the date of application.

4.3 Holder of an aircraft maintenance licence issued by an aviation authority other than those listed in HKAR-2 Chapter 23 Appendix 2 can apply to the Personnel Licensing Office of CAD for exemptions. A copy of the relevant aviation requirements detailing the aircraft maintenance licensing system and its syllabus should be submitted with the application form for assessment. CAD will consider whether to proceed with the assessment and advise the applicant accordingly.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No. 4 Issue 16, dated 30 May 2008, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
HKAR-66 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE LICENCE EXAMINATION CENTRES

1 Introduction

1.1 The demand for Aircraft Maintenance Licence (AML) holder is very high due to the rapid expansion in the civil aviation industry in Hong Kong. In order to provide more examination slots for HKAR-66 AML applicants, in addition to the regular examination at our examination centre in Chek Lap Kok, CAD has opened tenders for a CAD Authorised Examination Centre for HKAR-66 AML examination. Hong Kong Aircraft Engineering Company Limited (HAECO) has successfully bid the tender and hence has been authorised accordingly.

1.2 This CAD Authorised Examination Centre employs computers only or the paperless multiple choice examinations on all HKAR-66 AML modules. Examination schedules and booking are available at the Centre’s website.

1.3 The arrangement for essay examinations on relevant HKAR-66 AML modules remains unchanged.

2 Application procedure

2.1 Applicants applying for HKAR-66 AML examinations shall select one of the following examination centres for attending examinations:

2.1.1 Civil Aviation Department Headquarters, 1 Tung Fai Road, Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau, Hong Kong.

2.1.2 CAD Authorised Examination Centre - Hong Kong Aircraft Engineering Company Limited (HAECO), 3rd Floor, 80 Chun Choi Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate, Tseung Kwan O, N.T., Hong Kong.

2.2 The application procedures remain essentially the same except that applicant has to tick the examination venue as printed on the application form.

2.3 If applicant chooses to take the examination in the CAD Authorised Examination Centre, CAD will provide the login information to the applicant. The applicant can then book the provisional examination date(s) through the Centre’s website.
2.4 Both examination centres use identical question bank to generate examination papers. The CAD Authorised Examination Centre is providing computerised examinations on multiple-choice questions for all HKAR-66 Modules.

2.5 Examination results issued by either examination centre are equally accepted by CAD for the granting of HKAR-66 AML.

2 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 4 Appendix No. 1 Issue 3, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.
TYRE WEAR LIMITATIONS

1 Introduction

1.1 Hong Kong Aviation Requirements require that for certification of new types of aircraft, the depth of tyre tread below which wet braking friction characteristics are impaired should be specified at the time of certification; it is also required that it should be possible to determine, in operational conditions, when the tread depth is worn below this limit.

1.2 This Notice provides general guidance on the subject of tyre wear limitations for operators of all public transport aeroplanes of more than 5700 kg Maximum Total Weight Authorised on the Hong Kong Register for which a limiting tyre tread depth is not otherwise available.

2 Discussion

2.1 Accidents and incidents, resulting from both loss of braking friction and loss of directional control on wet runways, continue to occur. While the scheduled accelerate-stop and landing distances provide some allowance for deterioration in friction, it has been established that this allowance is not sufficient to maintain the required level of safety if tyres which are more than 80% worn are used in wet runway operations.

2.2 As it is not possible fully to allow for this by increasing the scheduled distances (because of the frequency of incidents caused by loss of directional control, even on the most favourable wheel arrangements), the Director-General favours the retention of current scheduled distances, together with a recommended minimum tread depth applicable to all aircraft tyres.

3 Recommendation

In the absence of evidence of the safety of a lower limit for a particular aircraft/tyre/operation combination, it is recommended that a tyre be withdrawn from service when it is worn to such an extent that its wet runway performance would be seriously impaired. This may be defined as when:-

(a) it is worn such that any groove has a depth of less than 2 mm of tread for more....
than one quarter of the tread circumference

or

(b) at any place on the circumference the tread pattern is worn to a depth of less than 2 mm across the whole width of the tread in contact with the runway.

NOTE: This is not a rigid definition and equivalence may be provided if, for example, tyre wear is such that whilst one groove is less than 2 mm all the others are 3 mm or more.

4 Cancellation

This notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 5 Issue 2, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of civil Aviation

30 October 2001
AIRWORTHINESS PUBLICATIONS - GENERAL INFORMATION

1 This Notice gives details of the various airworthiness publications which may in general be available on CAD website at http://www.cad.gov.hk under “Publications and press release”. Some of them however may still be obtained in hardcopy format from the CAD Airworthiness Office, 1 Tung Fai Road, Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau, Hong Kong (Tel. (852)29106179, Fax. (852)23624250). The prices and versions of those hardcopy format airworthiness publications are available on the aforementioned CAD website under “Publications and press release” then ‘Other Publications for Subscription’. General information on airworthiness publications published by UKCAA, JAA and EASA are described in the Appendix No.1 to this Notice.

2 Airworthiness Requirements

2.1 Hong Kong Aviation Requirements (HKAR)

These comprise minimum requirements and constitute the basis for the issue of approvals and certificates required by the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (hereinafter referred to as AN(HK)O).

HKAR-1 Airworthiness Procedures (CAD 554)
HKAR-2 Administrative & Guidance Material (CAD 2)
HKAR-21 Certification of Aircraft and Related Products, Parts and Appliances, and of Design and Production Organisations (CAD 21)
HKAR-66 Licensing of Maintenance Personnel (Certifying Staff – Maintenance) (CAD 66)
HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisations (CAD 145)
HKAR-147 Approved Maintenance Training/Examination (CAD 147)
HKAR-MMEL/MEL Master Minimum Equipment List/Minimum Equipment List (CAD 549)
3 **Airworthiness Notices (CAD 455)**

3.1 Airworthiness Notices are published on the aforementioned CAD website.

3.2 This document CAD 455 is published in support of the powers of the Director-General contained in Article 8(3) of the AN(HK)O. The document includes international standards contained in Annexes to the Chicago Convention.

4 **Syllabuses of Examination for Aircraft Maintenance Personnel**

4.1 **Aircraft Maintenance Licence**

   The syllabus for Aircraft Maintenance Licence is contained in HKAR-66 Licensing of Maintenance Personnel (Certifying Staff – Maintenance) (CAD 66).

5 **Type Certificate Data Sheets (CAD 477)**

   These Data Sheets constitute the documentation associated with Type Certificates which are issued by the Director-General to signify approval of the design of certain types of aircraft.

   NOTE: The description 'Type Certificate Data Sheet' is only applicable to Type Certificates for aircraft.

6 **Log Books**

   The following are available:

   **Aircraft Exceeding 2730 kg MTWA:**

   Aircraft Log Book (CAD 408)
   Engine Log Book (CAD 391)
   Variable Pitch Propeller Log Book (CAD 388)
   Modification Record Book (CAD 395)

   **Aircraft Not Exceeding 2730 kg MTWA:**

   Aircraft Log Book (CAD 398)
   Engine Log Book (CAD 399)
   Variable Pitch Propeller Log Book (CAD 400)
   Time Limited Task and Component Change Record (CAD 543)
Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995

The Statutory Instruments concerned with Air Navigation are published by the Government Printer, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and are obtainable from the Government Publications Centre, Low Block, Ground floor, Queensway Government Offices, 66 Queensway, Hong Kong (Fax: (852)25237195). The AN(HK)O, being the Subsidiary Legislation C of CAP.448 Civil Aviation Ordinance, can be accessed on Internet at http://www.justice.gov.hk. (Please note that the Internet version is not to be relied on as an authentic version of the law.)

Condition Monitored Maintenance: An Explanatory Handbook (CAD 418)

This publication provides general information and guidance on the concepts and practices of aircraft maintenance control by the use of Condition Monitoring. This is a process in which in-service information is collected and analysed on a continuing basis, as a means of implementing corrective procedures.

Air Operators' Certificates Requirements Document (CAD 360)

This publication gives information for use by applicants for, and holders of, Air Operators' Certificates, regarding the engineering support arrangements to be provided by the Operator, in order to obtain the grant, variation or continuation of an Air Operator's Certificate. The requirements have sections covering Maintenance Support Arrangements, Contracting Out Maintenance, Airworthiness Control Procedures, Maintenance Facilities, Quality Control and Assurance, the Engineering Manual and the Technical Log.

Approval of Aircraft Maintenance Schedules (CAD 452)

This publication presents information as a guide for those operators or maintenance organisations submitting an aircraft maintenance schedule for approval by the Director-General.

The Mandatory Occurrence Reporting Scheme – Information and Guidance (CAD 382)

The purpose of the publication is to describe the Civil Aviation Department (CAD) Mandatory Occurrence Reporting Scheme and to provide guidance to those who, by the associated legislation, are involved in its operation.

Extended Diversion Time Operations (EDTO) (CAD 513)

The publication states an acceptable means (but not necessarily the only means) by
which approval may be given for Hong Kong registered twin-engined aeroplanes to operate over a route that contains a point further than 60 minutes flying time (in still air) at the normal one-engine-inoperative cruise speed from an adequate aerodrome.

14 Safety Management Systems (SMS) for Air Operators and Maintenance Organizations (CAD 712)

This publication, focusing on air transport operations and maintenance activities, sets out to inform and aid air operators and maintenance organisations such that an effective SMS can be developed for managing safety appropriate to the size and scope of any particular organisation. It also provides general guidance and principles to implement an effective SMS.

15 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 6 Issue 15, dated 10 December 2012, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
OTHER AIRWORTHINESS PUBLICATIONS - GENERAL INFORMATION

1 This Appendix gives details of the various airworthiness publications which may in general, be obtained from the United Kingdom Civil Aviation Authority (UKCAA). Many of these are now available on the UKCAA website at https://www.caa.co.uk.

2 Airworthiness Requirements

2.1 British Civil Airworthiness Requirements (BCAR)

These comprise minimum requirements and constitute the basis for the issue of approvals and certificates required by the UK Air Navigation Order. The constituent sections of BCAR together with their amendment status can be viewed via UKCAA website at https://www.caa.co.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Publications.

2.2 European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) Certification Specifications (CS)

CS are published by the EASA. Their status is that they must be used as the reference standard for the certification of those aircraft which come under the auspices of EASA (a definition of the aircraft covered may be found in CAP 747).

The EASA CS were developed from the JAR and cover the same subjects. They are listed in EASA website at https://www.easa.europa.eu/document-library/certification-specifications.

3 Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures (CAP 562)

Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures (CAAIP) are published by the UKCAA providing information on a variety of matters concerned with civil aircraft during manufacture, overhaul, repair and maintenance. It can be viewed via UKCAA website at https://www.caa.co.uk/Our-Work/Publications/Publications.

4 UKCAA Airworthiness Notices (CAP 455)

All Airworthiness Notices (ANs), previously published in CAP 455, have now been cancelled or transferred to CAP 747 (Mandatory Requirements for Airworthiness) or CAP 562 (Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures).
5  UKCAA Foreign Airworthiness Directives

5.1  Foreign Airworthiness Directives Volumes I and II – CAA Additional Airworthiness Directives (CAP 473)

This publication has been withdrawn. Data applicable to products of USA design should be obtained from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) website at https://rgl.faa.gov under the database ‘Airworthiness Directive’. UKCAA Additional Airworthiness Directives published previously in CAP 473 that remain in force are published in CAP 747.

5.2  Foreign Airworthiness Directives Volume III (CAP 474)

This publication will no longer be amended. At final issue CAP 474 lists Foreign Airworthiness Directives published before October 2004 that are applicable to aircraft, engines, propellers and equipment designed outside the USA and the UK. Foreign Airworthiness Directives should be obtained directly from the State of Design of the product in question. CAA Additional Airworthiness Directives published previously in CAP 474 that remain in force are published in CAP 747.

6  UKCAA Mandatory Aircraft Modifications and Inspections Summary (CAP 476)

This publication will no longer be amended. At final issue CAP 476 lists with their associated Airworthiness Directive numbers, modifications, inspections and Service Bulletins declared mandatory by the UKCAA before October 2004. All UKCAA Airworthiness Directives issued after that date are published in CAP 747. Deletions from CAP 476 at final issue will be notified in CAP 747.

7  UKCAA Mandatory Requirements for Airworthiness (CAP 747)

This publication is the primary reference document for all Airworthiness Directives and other Mandatory Airworthiness Information applicable to aircraft registered in the UK.

8  UKCAA Aircraft Equipment

8.1  UKCAA Aircraft Radio Equipment (CAP 208)

Volume 1 and 2 of CAP 208 were withdrawn and are now obsolete. The information that this publication used to contain is now maintained as part of the database of all approved aircraft equipment.

8.2  UKCAA Aircraft Equipment Approval Records System (AEARS)

The Aircraft Equipment Approval Records System (AEARS) is available on UKCAA website. The database can be accessed through the link https://siteapps.caa.co.uk/aears.
9 UKCAA Light Aircraft Maintenance Scheme

9.1 Light Aircraft Maintenance Schedules

These Maintenance Schedules have been prepared for use with aeroplanes and helicopters the Maximum Total Weight Authorised (MTWA) of which does not exceed 2730 kg. Separate Schedules, approved by the UKCAA, are available for aeroplanes (CAP 411) and helicopters (CAP 412) (see also Generic Requirement No. 15 in CAP 747 – Mandatory Requirements for Airworthiness).

9.2 Light Aircraft Maintenance (CAP 520)

This publication provides general guidance on the implementation of the light aircraft maintenance scheme (LAMS) for aircraft not exceeding 2730 kg MTWA, with a Certificate of Airworthiness in the Transport, Aerial Work or Private Category (see also Generic Requirement No. 15 in CAP 747 – Mandatory Requirements for Airworthiness).

NOTE: CAP 520 has been withdrawn because it has become obsolescent due to the introduction of EASA Part-M.

10 Occurrence Publications

These contain summarised information derived from occurrence reports covering not only airworthiness but a broad field of aircraft incidents and defects which could affect the safe operation of aircraft. Occurrence reports can be viewed via UKCAA website https://www.caa.co.uk under the heading ‘Data and analysis’ and then sub-heading ‘Safety and security’. Meanwhile, ‘Follow-up Action on Occurrence Report (FACTOR)’ is available on the UKCAA website https://www.caa.co.uk under the heading ‘Publications’ and then search through the Category ‘General Aviation’.

11 General Aviation Safety Information Leaflet (GASIL)

This Leaflet contains summaries of the more serious occurrences affecting general aviation aircraft and operations. Factual information, UKCAA comments and, when appropriate, advice on remedial or preventative measures, are included. In addition it contains other items of interest to general aviation including airspace information.

NOTE: GASIL is not aviation law and will not be amended as regulations change.

12 UK Additional Requirements and Special Conditions (CAP 480)

This publication has been withdrawn. Requirements published previously in CAP 480 that remain in force are published in CAP 747.
13 UKCAA Airworthiness Specifications

13.1 The UKCAA recognises certain general Industry Specifications dealing with aeronautical products (e.g. BSI Specifications). However, over a number of years it has become necessary for the UKCAA also to issue certain Specifications, where no suitable Industry Specification has been developed.

No. 1 Safety Belts .................................................. Issue 6, 12 March 2004
No. 2 Inflatable Liferafts..............................................Issue 2, 1 Nov 1985
No. 5 Inflatable Lifejackets........................................Issue 2, 23 Nov 1979
No. 6 (Deleted)
No. 7 (Deleted)
No. 9 Child's Flotation Cot ....................................... Issue 1, 9 Apr 1957
No. 10 Flight Data Recorder Systems ......................... Issue 1, 1 May 1974
No. 10A Flight Data Recorder for Aeroplane
Accidents Investigation.................................................. Issue 1, 1 Jun 1990
No. 12 Underwater Sonar Location Devices
Approval, Installation and Maintenance .......... Issue 1, 1 May 1974
No. 14 Ground Proximity Warning Systems ............... Issue 2, Sep 1976
No. 15 Public Address Systems................................. Issue 2, 13 Sep 2012
No. 16 Automatically Deployable Emergency
Locator Transmitters for Helicopters ............ Issue 2, 1 Dec 1991
No. 17 Aeroplane Wheels and Wheel Brake Assemblies -
Minimum Performance ........................................ Issue 1, 18 Sep 1986
No. 18 Flight Data Recorder for Helicopter
Accident Investigation ........................................ Issue 1, 1 Jun 1990
No. 19 Helicopter Crew Member Immersion
Suits ................................................................. Issue 1, 15 Apr 1991
No. 20 (Deleted)
No. 21 Helicopter Public Address Systems ............. Issue 1, 28 Mar 1998
13.2 It should be noted that EASA has issued ETSO which states the procedures to be followed where it is wished to obtain approval and identify an article with an ETSO marking. The UKCAA intends that where a Specification is issued in the ETSO series, and the UKCAA finds that it adequately covers the subject matter and applicability of one of the UKCAA Specifications here, it will then withdraw the UKCAA Airworthiness Specification.

14 Master Minimum Equipment Lists (MMEL)

14.1 The MMEL defines those systems and items of equipment which may be unserviceable at the commencement of a flight. UKCAA Civil Aviation Publication CAP 549 defines and explains the UKCAA policy in regard to MMELs and provides guidelines for manufacturers in the preparation of an MMEL.

14.2 The aircraft types, registered in Hong Kong, for which there are currently UKCAA approved MMELs are listed in the Publications area of the UKCAA website at https://www.caa.co.uk. Electronic copies of MMELs produced by UKCAA are available from this website address.

15 Mandatory Permit Directives (CAP 661)

It is for aircraft operating on a Permit to Fly and contains Mandatory Permit Directives (MPD) that are required to be complied with by UK Owners and Operators of Permit to Fly aircraft. This publication is frozen as at the January 2012 amendment.

Refer to ‘http://www.caa.co.uk/Commercial-industry/Aircraft/Airworthiness/’ for a separate Alphabetical Index which will be updated each time a new MPD is published.

16 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 6 Appendix No. 1 Issue 14, dated 30 May 2005, which should be destroyed.
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
1 Applicability
This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all aircraft registered in Hong Kong.

2 Introduction
2.1 For the purpose of this Notice, placards include markings.

2.2 The purpose of this Notice is to standardise placards and ensure proper usage of the Chinese translations in bilingual placards.

2.3 Placards that are purely pictorial in nature are not affected by this Notice. Symbolic placards for certain emergency equipment and passenger information signs published in FAA Advisory Circular AC25-17A, Appendix 2 “Symbolic Regulatory Messages”, or approved as part of the aircraft type design, are acceptable to the Director-General.

2.4 Placards regulated in the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (the Order) are not affected by this Notice.

3 Compliance
3.1 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.2 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger) shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraphs 4.2, 4.3.1 and 4.4 of this Notice.

Note: This sub-paragraph is not applicable to cargo aeroplanes equipped with supernumerary area notwithstanding requirements specified in AN 104 sub-paragraph 4.1.3.
3.3 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice for which bilingual placards are installed voluntarily (anywhere in the aircraft) shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraphs 4.3.1 and 4.4 of this Notice.

3.4 With effect from 31 July 2020, all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice:

3.4.1 with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger) first issued in Hong Kong on or after 31 July 2020; or

3.4.2 for which bilingual placards are installed voluntarily (anywhere in the aircraft) and with a Certificate of Airworthiness first issued in Hong Kong on or after 31 July 2020; or

3.4.3 for which major modification affecting bilingual placards is embodied on or after 31 July 2020,

shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraphs 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 Placards for Emergency Equipment

Placards indicating emergency equipment shall be approximately at eye level and shall not blend in with surrounding décor. A colour contrast that complies with CS/FAR 25.811(f)(2) is acceptable. If the emergency equipment is located in an upper or lower compartment, the eye level placard should have an arrow indicating the compartment. Each compartment containing emergency equipment such as life preservers, rafts, slides, slide/rafts, or fire extinguishers shall be placarded as to its contents. For small aircraft which may not allow placards to be located at eye level, the placards shall be located at a conspicuous location as practicable.

4.2 Bilingual Placard

Placards that convey a written warning, instruction or information to passengers are to utilise both Chinese and English narrative or purely pictorial in nature. Purely pictorial placards should be installed as far as possible.

Note 1: Placards intended for exclusive use by cabin crew are not considered for passenger use if the aircraft is required to carry cabin crew by article 18(7)(a) of the Order or by aircraft flight manual.

Note 2: Placards are considered for passenger use if the area may be left unattended by cabin crew. For example, the galley area may be left unattended by cabin crew in long haul flights. In this case, the placards for waste disposal in this area shall meet the requirements of this sub-paragraph.
4.3 Chinese Words and Translation

4.3.1 Chinese words may be presented in either traditional characters (繁體字) or simplified characters (简体字). Mixed types of Chinese characters shall not be utilised in the same aircraft.

Note: The Chinese words “出口”, “緊急出口”, “緊急切破處”, “非供出口用” prescribed in Article 46 of the Order are not affected by this sub-paragraph. They shall be marked in accordance with the Order notwithstanding the requirements of this sub-paragraph.

4.3.2 The height of Chinese characters shall be no less than that of the corresponding English letters.

Note: For placard in English with both capital and lower cases, the height should be that of the capital letter.

4.3.3 The Chinese translations of bilingual placards shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.4 Bilingual Placard List (BPL)

4.4.1 Each operator shall maintain a current record of all bilingual placards for each aircraft in a BPL.

4.4.2 The BPL shall include at least the aircraft effectivity by registration mark(s), the English text and the corresponding Chinese text.

4.4.3 The BPL shall be approved by the Director-General or by an appropriately approved organisation under a procedure agreed with the Director-General.

5 Additional Information

5.1 The principles and process for approval of new Chinese translations by the Director-General are prescribed in the Civil Aviation Document No. 7 (CAD 7), ‘Bilingual Placard Handbook (BPH)’.

5.2 A list of Chinese translations approved by the Director-General is published in Appendix 1 to CAD 7.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 7 Issue 4, dated 5 December 2014, which should be destroyed.

Captain Victor LIU
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-7 P.3 15 July 2020
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 7A
Issue 3
31 July 2017

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

SYMBOLIC EXIT SIGNAGE

1 Applicability

1.1 This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all exit signs, exit opening instructions and exit opening diagrams installed in cabin accessible by passengers in Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger).

1.2 This Notice is not applicable to cargo aeroplanes equipped with supernumerary area notwithstanding requirements specified in Airworthiness Notice No. 104 sub-paragraph 4.1.3.

2 Introduction

2.1 Article 46(3) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (the Order) requires that every exit from a public transport aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall be marked with the words “Exit” or “Emergency Exit” in English in capital letters and “出口” or “緊急出口” in Chinese.

2.2 Article 46(4) of the Order requires that every exit from the aircraft shall be marked with instructions in English and Chinese and with diagrams, to indicate the correct method of opening the exit.

2.3 Article 46(7) of the Order requires that the exit signs, exit opening instructions and exit opening diagrams shall be red in colour.

2.4 Article 46(8)(b) of the Order requires that if one exit from an aircraft becomes inoperative, among other conditions and arrangements, the words “Exit” and “出口” or “Emergency Exit” and “緊急出口” shall be covered, and the exit is marked by a red disc at least 23 centimetres in diameter with a horizontal white bar across it bearing the words “No Exit” in red letters and “非供出口用” in red characters.

2.5 Some type certificate holders in conforming to their local certification regulations have produced the exit signs, exit opening instructions and exit opening diagrams in green (Red is for Stop and Prohibition; Green is for Safe Conditions and Means of Escape). To avoid the interpretation of languages in the exit signs by international passengers, the type certificate holders use
universal symbols (such as ) instead of words.

2.6 Many civil aviation authorities including the EASA and the FAA have since accepted the change and introduced such change into their respective regulations.

2.7 Universal symbols are also adopted by the Fire Services Department and published in the “Codes of Practice for Minimum Fire Service Installations and Equipment and Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Installations and Equipment” for buildings in Hong Kong. Green in colour is also adopted in the Codes of Practice.

2.8 The purpose of this Notice is to phase in the symbolic exit signage and phase out the traditional exit signs.

2.9 Operators adopting symbolic exit signage shall apply for an exemption from the requirements of relevant sub-paragraphs of Article 46 of the Order.

2.10 For the purpose of this Notice, Hong Kong type certificate is not considered to be issued or re-issued for aircraft with different customer designator numbers (such as Boeing 737-8K2 and 737-8J5) but with same minor model number (such as Boeing 737-800).

3 Compliance

3.1 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 or sub-paragraph 4.2 of this Notice.

3.2 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and for which the Hong Kong type certificate is issued on or after 1 October 2016 shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.3 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and for which:

3.3.1 the Hong Kong type certificate is issued between 18 May 2016 and 30 September 2016; and

3.3.2 the certificate of airworthiness for the head of version is issued on or before 27 May 2016;

shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice except that the exit opening instructions may be red in colour.
3.4 With effect from 1 July 2017 all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and for which:

3.4.1 the Hong Kong type certificate is issued between 18 May 2016 and 30 September 2016; and

3.4.2 the certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 July 2017; and

3.4.3 the certificate of airworthiness for the head of version is issued after 27 May 2016;

shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.5 With effect from 1 January 2019 all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and for which:

3.5.1 the Hong Kong type certificate is issued between 18 May 2016 and 30 September 2016; and

3.5.2 the certificate of airworthiness for the head of version is issued on or before 27 May 2016;

shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.6 With effect from 1 January 2019 all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and for which the certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 2019 shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.7 With effect from 1 January 2020 all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice following any major modification to the cabin interior with any change in design or location of any exit signs, markers, identifiers or locators on or after 1 January 2019 shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.8 With effect from 1 January 2029 all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.9 If symbolic exit signage is voluntarily installed in areas not accessible by passengers (such as crew rest compartment, flight compartment or exterior), aircraft shall comply with the requirements specified in sub-paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 4.1.3 and 4.1.6.
4 Requirement

4.1 Symbolic Exit Signage

4.1.1 Every exit shall be marked with a green universal symbolic exit sign.

4.1.2 Every exit shall be marked with instructions in English and Chinese and with diagrams, to indicate the correct method of opening the exit.

4.1.3 The markings with instructions in English and Chinese and with diagrams to indicate the correct method of opening the exit shall be the same as the colour of the universal symbolic exit signs, and in any case in which the colour of the adjacent background is such as to render the markings not readily visible, be outlined in white or some other contrasting colour in such a manner as to render them readily visible.

4.1.4 The green universal symbolic exit signs and the green markings with exit opening instructions and diagrams shall be highlighted to the passengers during the safety briefings.

4.1.5 The images portrayed on the passenger safety cards if so required by paragraph 3.2 of Part One of CAD 360 ‘Air Operator’s Certificates’ shall reflect the colour of the markings on the said aircraft.

4.1.6 The design and location of all symbolic exit signs (including locators, markers and identifiers) shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.1.7 If one, but not more than one, exit from an aircraft becomes inoperative at a place where it is not reasonably practicable for it to be repaired or replaced, nothing in Article 46(8)(b) of the Order shall prevent that aircraft from carrying passengers until it next lands at a place where the exit can be repaired or replaced provided that in accordance with arrangements so approved, the exit is fastened by locking or otherwise, the universal symbolic exit sign is covered, and the exit is marked by a red disc at least 23 centimetres in diameter with a horizontal white bar across it bearing the words "No Exit" in red letters and "非供出口用" in red characters.

4.2 Traditional Exit Signage

4.2.1 Every exit shall be marked with the words “Exit” or “Emergency Exit” in English in capital letters and “出口” or “紧急出口” in Chinese.

4.2.2 Every exit shall be marked with instructions in English and Chinese and with diagrams, to indicate the correct method of opening the exit.

4.2.3 The exit signs, exit opening instructions and exit opening diagrams shall be red in colour and in any case in which the colour of the adjacent background is such as to render red markings not readily visible, be outlined in white or some other contrasting colour in such a manner as to render them readily visible.
5 Additional Information

5.1 Fire Services Department “Codes of Practice for Minimum Fire Service Installations and Equipment and Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Installations and Equipment” dated April 2012.

5.2 Joint Aviation Authorities (JAA) Notice of Proposed Amendment (NPA) 25D-327 “Graphical exit signs as an alternative to red exit signs for passenger aircraft” dated March 2003.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 7A Issue 2, dated 28 February 2017, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 7B
Issue 2
28 June 2019

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

EXTERIOR MARKINGS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all aircraft registered in Hong Kong.

2 Introduction

2.1 Article 46(7)(b) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (the Order) requires that markings required by Article 46 except in the case of the markings required by Article 46(6) of the Order shall be red in colour, and in any case in which the colour of the adjacent background is such as to render red markings not readily visible, be outlined in white or some other contrasting colour in such a manner as to render them readily visible.

2.2 Article 46(7)(c) of the Order requires that markings required by Article 46(6) of the Order shall be of a colour clearly contrasting with the background on which it appears.

2.3 Article 5(2) of the Order requires that the registration marks to be borne by aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall comply with Part B of Schedule 1 to the Order. Paragraph 3 of Part B of Schedule 1 to the Order requires that the nationality and registration marks shall be of equal height and they, and the hyphen, shall all be of same single colour which shall be clearly contrast with the background on which they appear.

2.4 This Notice provides requirements to satisfy the intent of the regulations of the Order in relation to ‘readily visible’ and ‘clearly contrast’.

2.5 For the purpose of this Notice, ‘Exterior Markings’ mean:

2.5.1 Each exterior marking required by Article 46 of the Order; and

2.5.2 The nationality and registration marks and the hyphen required by Article 5(2) of the Order.
3  Compliance

3.1 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and for which the certificate of airworthiness is first issued in Hong Kong on or after 1 June 2017 shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

3.2 With effect from 1 June 2018, all aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4  Requirement

4.1 Contrasting Colour

Each ‘Exterior Marking’ shall have colour contrast to be readily distinguishable from the surrounding fuselage surface. The contrast shall be such that if the reflectance of the darker colour is 15% or less, the reflectance of the lighter colour shall be at least 45%. ‘Reflectance’ is the ratio of the luminous flux reflected by a body to the luminous flux it receives. When the reflectance of the darker colour is greater than 15%, at least a 30% difference between its reflectance and the reflectance of the lighter colour must be provided.

4.2 Outline

4.2.1 If an ‘Exterior Marking’ is required to be outlined in white or some other contrasting colour to render them meeting the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice, the width of the outline shall be at least (i) the height of the text or (ii) the width of the diagram in the marking as the case may be, except that the width of the outline need not exceed 5 centimetres.

4.2.2 If the width of an outline does not meet the requirements specified in sub-paragraph 4.2.1 of this Notice, the design approval holder of the marking shall demonstrate to and satisfy the Director-General that the marking is ‘readily visible’ and ‘clearly contrast’ for acceptance.

5  Additional Information


Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 7B Issue 1, dated 31 May 2017, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 8
Issue 12
30 September 2007

RENEWAL OF AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE LICENCE

1. With effect from 1 April 2007, HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence (AML) will be renewed for a period of five years. The requirement for the renewal of an HKAR-66 licence is detailed in HKAR 66.40 with supplemental information in HKAR-2 Chapter 22.

2. It is the responsibility of the Licence holder to ensure that his/her Licence remains valid. Any certifications, issued by the Licence holder after the Licence has expired, which are based on the privileges or validity of the Licence, will also have an impact on the validity of the Certificate of Airworthiness of the aircraft being certified. In addition, any company authorisations granted on the basis of a current Licence will be invalidated should that Licence expire.

3. Renewal forms DCA35A is for renewal of HKAR-66 licence and DCA 35C is for renewal and transfer of HKAR-AMEL licence to HKAR-66 licence. Copies of these forms may be downloaded from the CAD website or obtained from the CAD Personnel Licensing Office. Refer Airworthiness Notice No. 29 for details.

Licence cannot be backdated. In order to ensure that continuation of Licence cover is maintained, an acceptable application for renewal of HKAR-66 licence must be received by the Personnel Licensing Office at least FIVE working days prior to the expiry date of the Licence. For the renewal and transfer of HKAR-AMEL licence to HKAR-66 licence with application for Type Rating endorsement, the application must be received by the Personnel Licensing Office at least FOUR WEEKS prior to the expiry date of Licence.

4. The Director-General can only renew a Licence upon being satisfied that the information supplied by the Licence holder on the renewal form is correct and on receipt of the appropriate fee.

In the case where holder of the Licence is not a resident of Hong Kong the following will apply: -

(a) For a Licence to be renewed the applicant must pay the requisite fee and produce written verification to the satisfaction of the Director-General that the
work detailed in the renewal form was performed on aircraft registered in
Hong Kong; or alternatively

(b) If the applicant can produce written verification to the satisfaction of the
Director-General that the work detailed in the renewal form was performed
while he/she was employed by a company holding AN(HK)O Approval, and
provided such work is acceptable for the purpose of Licence renewal, the
Licence may be renewed upon payment of the requisite fee.

(c) In cases where the Licence holder is employed by organisations located
outside Hong Kong not holding an AN(HK)O Approval and he/she is not
engaged in the certification of Hong Kong registered aircraft, the Licence will
not be renewed but will be held in abeyance by the Personnel Licensing Office.
It will be reinstated without any loss of experience already accrued, whenever
the applicant can show compliance with paragraphs 4(a) or 4(b) above.

NOTE: Where a holder of the Licence can demonstrate to the Director-General that he/she
has a need to maintain his/her licence valid the Director-General may consider the
renewal of the Licence, provided the requirements of paragraph 1 are met.

Licence which has lapsed for less than 2 years may be considered for renewal without
examination provided that the requirements of paragraph 1 are met, except that the
qualifying period will be the 6 months in the 12 months immediately preceding the
date of receipt of the renewal application.

Licence which has lapsed for more than 2 years will not be considered for renewal
without examination.

As already noted in paragraph 3, Licence cannot be backdated and in the case of
lapsed Licence the reissue will only be effected after all requirements have been met.
Any lack of continuity in the validity of the Licence will be recorded on the reissued
Licence.

6 Cancellation

This Notice replaces Airworthiness Notice No. 8 Issue 11, dated 30 September 2002,
which should be destroyed.

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation
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CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 9
Issue 2
30 April 2015

REGISTRATION OF AIRCRAFT IN HONG KONG

1 GENERAL

1.1 According to Article 3 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (AN(HK)O), an aircraft shall not fly in or over Hong Kong unless it is registered in Hong Kong, a Contracting State or some other countries in relation to which there is in force an agreement between the Central People's Government or the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and the Government of that country that has made provision for the flight over Hong Kong of aircraft registered in that country.

1.2 An aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall bear a valid Certificate of Registration (C of R).

1.3 The following aircraft flying in Hong Kong do not require to be registered:

(a) Captive balloon;

(b) any balloon which at any stage of its flight is not more than 2 metres in any linear dimension including any basket or other equipment attached to the balloon;

NOTE: For an unmanned free balloon with a payload operating for commercial purpose, the operator has to inform Civil Aviation Department the date, time and location of release, the type of balloon in writing before the release of the balloon.

(c) any kite;

(d) any other aircraft weighing not more than 7 kgs without its fuel;
(e) any parachute including a parascending parachute; and

(f) on any flight which begins and ends in Hong Kong without passing over any other country and which is in accordance with the ‘B Conditions’ [except paragraph (1)(a)] set forth in Schedule 2 to the AN(HK)O.

1.4 The issue of a C of R to an aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall be subject to the compliance of the Article 4 of AN(HK)O.

2 APPLICATION

2.1 Registration

2.1.1 Application for the registration of an aircraft in Hong Kong shall be made in writing to the Director-General. CAD Form DCA99 shall be completed and forwarded to the Director-General together with the necessary documents (as described below) and registration fee [as stipulated in the Hong Kong Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations (CAP. 448 Subsidiary Legislation D)].

2.1.2 Relevant documents should be submitted to support the rights to be considered as a qualified person within AN(HK)O Article 4(3).

2.1.3 For application by natural person, a copy of his/her identification document should be submitted.

2.1.4 For application by body corporate, CAD will assess its compliance on Incorporation and Principal Place of Business (IPPB) requirements. Documentary proof demonstrating the applicability of the following are required:

2.1.4.1 Whether it is incorporated in the HKSAR or other parts of China;

2.1.4.2 Whether the central and ultimate management and control of the applicant’s business is effectively exercised in the HKSAR;
2.1.4.3 Whether the applicant is an airline independent of any other foreign airline, and if not, the nature and extent of the relationship and the synergy between them;

2.1.4.4 The relationship between the applicant and its non-local associated company or companies in terms of the applicant’s operations, financial arrangements, commercial and management arrangements, etc.;

2.1.4.5 The location of the applicant’s shareholders and their interest in other airline business;

2.1.4.6 The voting powers among the applicant’s shareholders;

2.1.4.7 The ordinary residence of the applicant’s directors;

2.1.4.8 Whether (and the extent to which) the applicant carries out its operations in HKSAR;

2.1.4.9 Whether the senior management is employed by the applicant and if not, by whom it is employed; and

2.1.4.10 Whether the majority of staff of the applicant are employed locally.

2.1.5 Acceptable documentary proof of the above includes but not limited to:

2.1.5.1 Certificate of Incorporation, or equivalent;

2.1.5.2 Business Registration Certificate, or equivalent;

2.1.5.3 Relevant sections in Articles of Association; and

2.1.5.4 Annual Return to the Companies Registry.

2.1.6 Application shall include or be accompanied by the particulars and evidence relating to the aircraft, the ownership and chartering thereof as the Director-General may require determining whether the aircraft may
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properly be registered in Hong Kong.

2.1.7 The Director-General also requires confirmation of non-registration from the exporting country which should be provided by the applicant either before, or at the time, Hong Kong registration is required.

2.1.8 Once determined that the aircraft fulfills the requirements of AN(HK)O, the Director-General shall register the aircraft on the Hong Kong Civil Aircraft Register and furnish the registered owner a C of R.

3 CHANGE OF PARTICULARS TO THE REGISTRATION OF AN AIRCRAFT

3.1 According to Article 4(12) of AN(HK)O, any person becomes the owner of an aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall inform the Director-General within 28 days in writing to that effect. CAD Form DCA99 shall be completed and forwarded to the Director-General.

3.2 For any change of the furnished particulars at the registration of the aircraft such as particulars of registered owner and legal owner, the change from legal owner to charterer or vice versa, change and termination of the demise charter, the registered owner of the aircraft shall inform the Director-General in writing. The C of R shall be amended or corrected as deemed necessary by the Director-General.

3.3 The fee for the re-issuance of C of R will be charged to the registered owner in accordance with the CAP. 448 Subsidiary Legislation D.

3.4 The registered owner has to submit to the Director-General any such information the Director-General may require for ascertaining whether an unqualified person has become entitled to a legal or beneficial interest by way of ownership in the aircraft concerned or a share therein.

4 DE-REGISTRATION OF AN HONG KONG REGISTERED AIRCRAFT

4.1 If an aircraft has been permanently withdrawn from use or destroyed, the registered owner shall inform the Director-General with the reason for de-registration in writing and the C of R shall be returned for cancellation.
4.2 If the aircraft is sold abroad and to be removed from the Hong Kong Civil Aircraft Register to allow re-registration abroad, the registered owner of the aircraft should make such application to the Director-General in writing. Normally, the aircraft will be de-registered at the time of issuance of Statement of Conformity for Export from Hong Kong (SOC for Export). The registered owner should be aware that sufficient time is required for the investigation of issuing SOC for Export.

NOTE: Refer to HKAR-1 sub-section 1.3-6 for the details of the SOC for Export.

4.3 There is no fee for the de-registration of an aircraft from Hong Kong Civil Aircraft Register.

5. CANCELLATION

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 9 Issue 1, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 9A
Issue 2
31 October 2016

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

MEASUREMENTS OF THE NATIONALITY & REGISTRATION MARKS

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft.

2. Introduction

2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the measurements of the nationality and registration marks required by the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 ("the Order").

2.2 ICAO Annex 7 specifies requirements for the registration and identification of aircraft.

3. Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4. Requirement

4.1 The marks to be borne by the aircraft shall comply with Part B of Schedule 1 to the Order.

4.2 If a lighter-than-air aircraft does not possess parts of sufficient size to accommodate the marks as required by Section II (b) of Part B of Schedule 1 to the Order, the measurements of the marks shall be determined by the Director-General, taking account of the need for the
aircraft to be identified readily.

5. **Additional Information**

ICAO Annex 7 - Aircraft Nationality & Registration Marks.

6. **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 9A Issue 1, dated 31 July 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
Introduction

1.1 This Airworthiness Notice No. 10 sets out the Type Ratings that may be endorsed upon a HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence (AML) issued by the Director-General in respect of the certification of aircraft registered in Hong Kong, including also their engines and systems.

Note: A licence can only be used to certify for non-commercial air transport. Aircraft which are operated for commercial air transport must be maintained by a HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation and all staff who certify for maintenance within those organisations must be in possession of a valid certifying authorisation (see Airworthiness Notice No. 14).

1.2 The extent to which the privileges of a Type Rated Licence may be exercised is shown in the current issue of Airworthiness Notice No. 3 in conjunction with paragraph 3 of this Notice.

General

2.1 The requirements for the grant, variation or renewal of Aircraft Maintenance Licences are contained in the current issue of HKAR-66. For full understanding of the requirements, HKAR-66 must be read in conjunction with the relevant HKAR-2 Administrative and Guidance Material, this Airworthiness Notice No. 10 and, where appropriate, HKAR-145. Unless endorsed to the effect that certification privileges, in respect of Hong Kong registered aircraft which are not used for commercial air transport, may be exercised, a HKAR-66 licence must be used in conjunction with a HKAR-145 certification authorisation (see HKAR-145 and Airworthiness Notice No. 3).

2.2 The requirements of HKAR-66 recognise the standards prescribed by the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) for the grant and extension of licences.

Applicability

3.1 Under the current Hong Kong Aviation Requirements for certifying staff in
relation to type ratings specified in HKAR-66, the AML holders may hold individual aircraft types. The aircraft types are listed in Appendix 2 to HKAR-2 Chapter 22 and will be granted only where type training approved by the Director-General or by an appropriately approved HKAR-147 maintenance training organisation has been completed to the appropriate HKAR-66 Category B1, B2, B3 or C syllabus and the required practical experience, if appropriate, can be demonstrated. This includes aircraft types which are not on the Hong Kong register but may be maintained under a HKAR-145 approval.

NOTE: It is possible to obtain a type rating for aircraft types which are listed in paragraph 14 of this Notice in accordance with the provisions of this requirement.

3.2 In accordance with HKAR 66.45 and AMC 66.45, under certain conditions, the AML holder may hold specific aircraft manufacturers group type or specific aircraft group type ratings.

3.3 HKAR-AMEL licence holders are entitled to 'protected rights' under HKAR-66 on existing licence and authorisation privileges. HKAR-AMEL group type ratings, when transferred onto HKAR-66 licences, are for purposes of reflecting continuing privileges, and aircraft types may need to be individually identified. Where a type of aircraft (or its engines or systems) is defined by one of the Group Type Ratings in paragraphs 5 to 9, 12, 13 or 15 of this Notice, an engineer may exercise the certification privileges in respect of that type, subject in particular to the provisions of Airworthiness Notice No. 3 and provided that:-

(a) he/she holds a valid HKAR-66 Type Rated Licence in the appropriate Category, endorsed with the appropriate Sub-Division of this Notice, and

(b) an aircraft of the type is registered in Hong Kong and holds a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness.

NOTE: Sub-paragraphs indicated thus * in paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13 and 15 of this Notice are not obtainable as new endorsements on an HKAR-66 licence.

3.4 Where a type of aircraft (or its engines or systems) is listed individually within paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 of this Notice, the licence holder has certification privileges in respect of the individual types as listed on the licence, subject in particular to the provisions of Airworthiness Notice No. 3.

3.5 Where a type of aircraft (or its engines or systems) is not defined by a Group Type Rating or is not listed by name, an application for the Type Rating of a licence in respect of that type of aircraft, engines or systems, will be considered provided that:-
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(a) an aircraft of the type is registered in Hong Kong and holds a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness, or

(b) an aircraft is not of the type registered in Hong Kong but may be maintained under a HKAR-145 approval.

4 Reserved.

5 Certification Privileges - Aeroplanes

* 5.0 Composite Materials Aeroplanes Not Exceeding 5700 kg MTWA.

* 5.1 Wooden and Wood and Metal Aeroplanes:

   Aeroplanes where the primary structure is manufactured from wood or combinations of wood and metal.

* 5.2 Unpressurised metal aeroplanes not exceeding 2730 kg MTWA.

   * 5.2.1 Unpressurised metal aeroplanes not exceeding 2730 kg MTWA with fixed landing gear only.

* 5.3 Unpressurised metal aeroplanes not exceeding 5700 kg MTWA.

   * 5.3.1 Unpressurised metal aeroplanes not exceeding 5700 kg MTWA with fixed landing gear only.

* 5.4 Unpressurised metal aeroplanes, but excluding aeroplanes defined in paragraph 14 of this Notice.

* 5.5 Pressurised metal aeroplanes not exceeding 5700 kg MTWA and all unpressurised metal aeroplanes, but excluding aeroplanes defined in paragraph 14 of this Notice.

   * 5.5.1 Pressurised and unpressurised metal aeroplanes not exceeding 2730 kg MTWA.

* 5.6 Pressurised aeroplanes exceeding 5700 kg MTWA, but excluding those aeroplanes defined in paragraph 14 of this Notice.

6 Certification Privileges - Engines

* 6.1 Unsupercharged reciprocating piston engines fitted with a fixed pitch propeller.

* 6.2 Unsupercharged reciprocating piston engines fitted with a fixed or variable
pitch propeller.

* 6.3 Piston engines in Aeroplanes.

Piston engines not exceeding 500 kW (670 bhp) in Aeroplanes/Rotorcraft/Airships.

* 6.3.1 Piston engines, in Aeroplanes not exceeding 2730 kg MTWA.

* 6.4 Jet-turbine engines in Aeroplanes not exceeding 22.25 kN (5000 lbf) static thrust including where so endorsed the associated APU installations.

* 6.5 Propeller turbine engines in aeroplanes including, where so endorsed, the associated APU installations:

   Garret Airesearch TPE 331

* 6.6 Jet turbine engines, in aeroplanes, exceeding 22.25 kN (5000 lbf) static thrust including where so endorsed the associated APU installation.

7 Certification Privileges - Rotorcraft

* 7.1 Piston-engined rotorcraft.

7.2 Reserved.

* 7.3 Turbine-engined rotorcraft not exceeding 2730 kg MTWA.

   NOTE: This paragraph includes the Allison 250 and Turbomeca Arriel engines. For an engine annotated #, removal/replacement of main casings including those whose removal results in concurrent removal of a rotating assembly from the engine, provided this is accomplished solely by disconnecting at the casing flanges. No dismantling of main rotating assemblies is permitted.

* 7.4 Sikorsky S76 with Turbomeca Arriel #

   NOTE: For an engine annotated #, removal/replacement of main casings including those whose removal results in concurrent removal of a rotating assembly from the engine, provided this is accomplished solely by disconnecting at the casing flanges. No dismantling of main rotating assemblies is permitted.

8 Certification Privileges - Instruments

(a) A Rating granted in relation to any of the sub-paragraphs 8.1 to 8.4 inclusive, includes all of the instruments fitted to those aircraft in which are installed systems defined by or listed in that sub-paragraph excluding those aircraft listed in paragraph 14, and as limited by paragraph 3 of this Notice.
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(b) A Rating granted in relation to sub-paragraph 8.8 relates to Instruments – Direct and remote reading compasses only, but excludes compasses on those aircraft listed in paragraph 14, and is limited by sub-paragraph 3.3 of this Notice.

NOTES: (1) A Type Rated Licence which is valid for paragraphs 8.2, 8.3 or 8.4 also includes paragraph 8.1.

(2) Where a system is a combined flight director/automatic pilot the rating does not include items of equipment associated solely with the automatic pilot.

* 8.1 General aircraft instrument systems but excluding instruments installed on any aircraft which has installed a Flight Director System.

8.2 Reserved.

* 8.3 Flight Director Systems employing air driven gyroscopes (attitude).

* 8.4 Flight Director Systems employing electrically driven gyroscopes (attitude) but excluding those systems defined in sub-paragraph 8.2.

8.5 Reserved

8.6 Reserved

8.7 Reserved

* 8.8 'X' Instruments (compasses)

Direct and remote reading compasses.

9 Certification Privileges - Electrical

A rating granted in relation to any sub-paragraph of paragraph 9 of this Notice includes the generation system and the electrical installation in aircraft as defined by that sub-paragraph, as limited by paragraph 3 of this Notice.

NOTES: A Type Rated Licence which is valid for paragraph 9.2 or 9.3 also includes paragraph 9.1.

* 9.1 Aircraft in which the main generation system output is dc (including alternators having a self-contained rectifier system) and in which secondary alternators having an individual power rating not exceeding 1.5 kVA may be fitted.

* 9.2 Aircraft in which the main generation system output is dc and which have installed 'frequency wild' alternators with an individual power rating exceeding 1.5 kVA for auxiliary services.
* 9.3 Aircraft in which the main generation system output is 'frequency wild' ac and dc power is supplied from transformer rectifier units.

* 9.4 Aircraft in which the main generation system output is 'constant frequency' ac from alternators driven by constant speed drive units, or variable speed constant frequency (VSCF) generator/converter systems, and dc power is supplied from transformer rectifier units.

10 Reserved

11 Reserved

12 **Certification Privileges - Radio**

A rating granted in relation to any sub-paragraph of paragraph 12 of this Notice includes all the types of radio systems listed in that sub-paragraph, as limited by paragraph 3 of this Notice.

NOTE: Certification Privileges - Radio includes Ground Proximity Warning Systems only when the licence is endorsed to that effect.

* 12.1 Airborne Communication Systems.


* 12.3 Airborne Radar Systems.

13 **Certification Privileges - Automatic Pilots**

A rating granted in relation to any sub-paragraph of paragraph 13 of this Notice includes all the automatic pilot systems defined by that sub-paragraph when installed in aircraft, excluding those aircraft listed in paragraph 14, and as limited by paragraph 3 of this Notice.

NOTES: (1) A Type Rated Licence which is valid for paragraph 13.2 also includes paragraph 13.1.

A Typed Rated Licence which is valid for paragraph 13.3 also includes paragraphs 13.1 and 13.2.

A Typed Rated Licence which is valid for paragraph 13.5 also includes paragraph 13.4.

(2) For the purpose of licensing, automatic stabilisers are deemed to be automatic pilots.

(3) Automatic pilots include related systems such as yaw dampers and/or roll dampers, mach trim systems and automatic throttle systems.

* 13.1 Non-Radio-Coupled Automatic Pilots (Aeroplanes)
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* 13.2 Radio-Coupled Automatic Pilots (Aeroplanes) excluding ILS Coupled (LOC and GS) Automatic Pilots

* 13.3 ILS Coupled (LOC and GS) Automatic Pilots (Aeroplanes)

* 13.4 Non-Radio-Coupled Automatic Pilots (Rotorcraft)

* 13.5 Radio-Coupled Automatic Pilots (Rotorcraft)

14 **Aircraft for which maintenance is carried out and certified under company approval**

Refer to Appendix 1 to this Notice No.10 for the current list of aircraft types.

* 15 **Compass compensation and adjustment**

16 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 10 Issue 16, dated 30 September 2008, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
AIRCRAFT FOR WHICH MAINTENANCE IS CARRIED OUT AND CERTIFIED UNDER COMPANY APPROVAL

1 Introduction

1.1 A Hong Kong registered aircraft, with either a Transport Category (Passenger) or Transport Category (Cargo) Certificate of Airworthiness, which is operated for Commercial Air Transport, must be maintained by a HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation.

1.2 Due to the complexity of the aircraft systems, certain types of aircraft and non-rigid airships that are currently registered in Hong Kong are required to have their maintenance accomplished and certified by HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisations in accordance with the requirements of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-13 Appendix No. 3.

1.3 Subject to the acceptance by the Director-General, the aircraft listed in paragraph 2.3 may be maintained/certified by an appropriately type rated HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence holder, when it is not operated for Commercial Air Transport.

2 The list current at the date of this Notice is:-

2.1 Pressurised Aeroplanes

- Airbus A300 Series
- Airbus A320/A321 Series
- Airbus A330 Series
- Airbus A340 Series
- Airbus A350 Series
- Boeing 747 Series
- Boeing 777 Series
- Bombardier BD-700 Series
- Bombardier CL600 Series
- Jetstream 4100
- Gulfstream GIV/GIV-SP Series
- Gulfstream GIV-X Series
- Gulfstream GV
- Gulfstream GV-SP Series
- Gulfstream GVI
2.2 **Unpressurised aeroplanes:**

None

2.3 **Rotorcraft:**

- Leonardo S.p.A. Helicopters AW139
- Airbus Helicopters AS332 Series
- Airbus Helicopters EC135 Series
- Airbus Helicopters EC155 Series
- Airbus Helicopters EC175 Series
- MD Helicopters 900 Series

2.4 **Airships:**

None

3 **Cancellation**

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 10 Appendix No. 1 Issue 3, dated 31 July 2017, which should be destroyed.

Simon Li  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 11
Issue 2
5 December 2014

NOISE CERTIFICATES

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft.

2 Introduction

2.1 ICAO Annex 16 Volume I requires the documents attesting noise certification shall be approved by the State of Registry and shall be required by that State to be carried on the aircraft.

2.2 The owner or operator of an aircraft registered in Hong Kong when applying to the Director-General for a grant of a noise certificate in relation to that aircraft in accordance with Civil Aviation (Aircraft Noise) (Certification) Regulations (CAP. 312 sub. leg. A) regulation 4 shall adhere to the following requirements.

3 Applicable Noise Requirements

Refer to HKAR 21.18 and Subpart I of HKAR-21.

4 Application for noise certificate

Refer to GM 21.204(a) of HKAR-21.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 11 Issue 1, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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EXPERIENCE FROM INCIDENTS

1. From time to time incidents occur, usually in aircraft operations, which, in the opinion of the Director-General, reflect the need for a general awareness of possible hazards resulting from practices which may have a wide general application. The purpose of this Notice is to advise all concerned, particularly design and engineering staff engaged in aircraft construction or operation, of such incidents which have come to the notice of the Director-General, and where necessary to prescribe action to be taken.

2. New incidents will be advised in Appendices to this Notice, and the List of Current Appendices will be updated with each issue. Periodically older appendices will be withdrawn from this Notice whenever the appendices are cancelled or when the same information has been published in the UKCAA Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures (CAP562) or Information Notice. List 1 below details appendices current to this Notice and List 2 details those appendices which have been cancelled.

LIST 1 – CURRENT APPENDICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Functional Check and Control System Check on Fly-by-wire Aircraft</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30.9.2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Mode 'S' Transponder ICAO 24-BIT Aircraft Addresses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.5.2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Foreign Object Damage to Aircraft and Engines</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30.9.2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Aircraft Washing and Rinsing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.7.2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>CAAIP Leaflet B-180 Appendix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soft Metal Shims</td>
<td>20-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Crowded Ball Races</td>
<td>20-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Oxygen Fire Risk</td>
<td>35-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fluids Used in Aircraft</td>
<td>12-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Foreign Objects and Loose Articles - Danger of Jamming</td>
<td>51-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Brake and Anti-Skid Systems</td>
<td>32-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Auto-pilots on Light Aircraft</td>
<td>22-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Unauthorised Alteration of Parts</td>
<td>20-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Maintenance of Radio Navigation Equipment Course and Alarm Signal Current Limits</td>
<td>34-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bonding of Strobe Lights</td>
<td>33-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Self-locking Fasteners</td>
<td>51-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ground Handling of Transport Aircraft</td>
<td>9-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Flap Systems on General Aviation Aircraft</td>
<td>27-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Single Path Control Systems</td>
<td>76-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Electrical Power Supplies - Light Aircraft, Care and Maintenance</td>
<td>24-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Altimeters in Aircraft</td>
<td>31-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Tyre Maintenance &amp; Reliability</td>
<td>32-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ambiguous Information</td>
<td>4-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Lock-bolt Failures</td>
<td>51-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Stowage and Accessibility of Lifejackets</td>
<td>25-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>The Use and Interpretation of Unfamiliar Units</td>
<td>4-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>CAAIP Leaflet B-180 Appendix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Hazards of Damage caused by Arc Burns</td>
<td>20-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Air Intake Filters</td>
<td>72-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Electrical Cable Failure</td>
<td>24-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Hydraulic Fluid Contamination</td>
<td>20-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Aged Components-Permit to Fly Aircraft</td>
<td>5-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Primary Structural Fasteners made of H-11 Steel</td>
<td>51-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Single Lock Airframe Seats and Furnishing Attachments</td>
<td>25-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Lithium Batteries</td>
<td>24-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Thermal Circuit Breakers</td>
<td>24-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Effects of Chloride Based Materials on Stainless Steel and Titanium</td>
<td>70-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Maintenance and Re-Installation of Pipes and Cable Looms</td>
<td>20-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Helicopter Gearbox Oil Level Sightglasses</td>
<td>12-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Battery Terminal Failure-GA Aircraft</td>
<td>24-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Aircraft Marking and Placards</td>
<td>11-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Corrosion Inhibiting (Temporary Protective) Compounds</td>
<td>51-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Control of Precision Cutting Tools</td>
<td>51-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Passenger and Crew Oxygen System</td>
<td>35-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Aircraft Windshields and Transparencies</td>
<td>56-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Control and Use of Rigging Pins</td>
<td>27-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Damage to Packages or Dangerous Goods</td>
<td>1-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Appendix Subject CAAIP Leaflet B-180 Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caused by Inadequate Securing of Hold Floors</td>
<td>10-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Control of the Use of Pitot Head and Static Vent Blanking Covers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>The Consignment by Air of Aircraft Spares as Cargo which meet the Criteria of 'Dangerous Goods'.</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Fire Hazards</td>
<td>26-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Retention of Records – Post Incident and Accident Investigations</td>
<td>4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>MIL-W-22759/16, 17, 18 and 19 Aircraft Electrical Wire</td>
<td>24-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Control Cable End Fittings</td>
<td>27-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Ice Falls from Aircraft</td>
<td>12-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 12 Issue 24, dated 31 July 2013, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

Emergency Escape Provisions - Doors and Escape Slides

1 During several emergency evacuations, difficulty has been experienced in opening aircraft doors and in deploying the associated inflatable escape slides. Subsequent investigations have shown that the difficulties were due to various reasons such as incorrect rigging of door assist mechanisms, incorrect packing of the inflatable, incorrect installation, safety pins being left in, ageing/wear of items, design shortcomings, fitment of incorrect parts. In a significant proportion of cases however, no reason for failure could be determined.

2 Current maintenance requirements include regular inspection, inflation checks and overhaul of the escape slide assembly. However, this may not give an indication of faults or deterioration that could result in the evacuation system not being available for its intended purpose. It is considered therefore, that slides should be tested on the aircraft by opening the doors with the slides armed and a check made to ensure that they deploy and inflate correctly as expected in an emergency evacuation. It is appreciated that this alone will not guarantee correct future operation of all slides on any particular aircraft, but it will provide a level of confidence on the reliability of slide and door operation.

3 For all aircraft fitted with inflatable escape slides which are automatically deployed by the opening of emergency exits, slides must be deployed as part of a slide deployment programme on the aircraft by the automatic release and inflation of the slide in accordance with paragraph 3.1 or 3.2 or 3.3 of this notice. It is recommended that when feasible the slide deployment should be carried out by cabin crew, in order to better replicate the emergency condition.

3.1 Every slide on the aircraft should be deployed when it becomes due for overhaul in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended intervals. This period should not exceed 36 months.

3.2 Operators can develop a slide deployment sampling programme, with the agreement of the Director-General as part of the Approved Maintenance Programme. This programme must ensure that, on each aircraft type, a sample of at least 10 or 10% of all the exits in the fleet, whichever is the greater, will have been deployed within an elapsed period of not more than two years. The elapsed period may be extended to three years with an approval from the Director-General, justified by the reliability of the slides of that
aircraft fleet. The sampling programme must ensure a reasonably uniform distribution of the exits on that aircraft type. Inadvertent slide deployments should not be included in the slide deployment sampling programme but should still be investigated if the slide fails to deploy correctly.

3.3 The minimum sample size and the elapsed period of the programme specified in paragraph 3.2 can be varied with an approval from the Director-General for an aircraft fleet of which the total number of slides installed is less than 100. The sample size should be justified by the reliability of the slides of that aircraft fleet. The sampling programme, over a period of two to three years, must ensure a reasonably uniform distribution of the exits on that aircraft type.

4 Details of the operators slide deployment programme should be included in the Approved Maintenance Programme or Schedule.

5 Every operator should define its own pass/fail criteria which should be accepted by the Director-General. The pass/fail criteria should be based on any recommendations made by the aircraft or slide manufacturer and would be expected to include at least the following as failures:

- Failure of the automatic deployment system (i.e. manual inflation required);
- Failure of the door to fully open;
- Door assist failure (if fitted);
- Failure to fully inflate;
- Failure to fully inflate within 10 seconds (unless otherwise specified by the manufacturer). Timing is from when the door is initially actuated until the slide is deployed in an useable state;
- Complete failure of slide lights to illuminate.

All the above failures must be reported to the Director-General using the mandatory occurrence reporting (MOR) scheme and to the aircraft type certificate holder.

6 To assist in the slide deployment failure investigation, unless otherwise agreed by the Director-General, all slide deployments must be recorded by video and recordings of failed deployments should be held for one year or until any MOR or investigation into the failure has been closed, whichever occurs later. A copy should be made available to the Director-General on request.

7 All slide deployment failures must be investigated to determine the cause of failure and action taken to prevent similar occurrences. The type certificate holder and escape slide manufacturer should be kept informed of failure investigations and
provide assistance where possible. If there are either high levels of slide failures or slide failure causes cannot be determined it may be necessary, in conjunction with the Director-General to carry out further deployment tests, to increase the sampling size specified in paragraph 3.2 or 3.3 or remove Minimum Equipment List alleviation until a satisfactory level of reliability is achieved.

8 For each deployment test the door / slide position, slide part number, pass or fail result, date of manufacture of the slide, failure mode and failure cause should be recorded. Operators should forward a summary of slide deployment testing at regular intervals for each aircraft type to the Director-General. This summary should include the following information: Number of aircraft in fleet, number of deployments carried out and overall pass rate for fleet.

9 Due to the complexity and safety critical nature of escape slide systems it is recommended that maintenance organisations involved in the installation, maintenance and overhaul of escape slides should implement duplicate or independent inspections on critical tasks i.e. slide installation, firing mechanism connections, girt bar installation and rigging, door assist deactivation / slide safety pin removal. Consideration should also be given to the training and competence of personnel involved with the packing, installation, inspection and overhaul of escape slides.

10 Operators should review all escape slide continued airworthiness instructions from the type certificate holder and escape slide manufacturer including service bulletins and service letters and consider embodiment where there may be improvements in escape slide reliability.

When an Operator changes maintenance providers i.e. slide overhauler or aircraft maintenance organisation it must review the slide deployment programme to monitor the affects of such changes on the fleet escape slide reliability.

11 **Cancellation**

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.12 Appendix No.16 Issue 4, dated 31 May 2003, which should be destroyed.
Functional Check and Control System Check on Fly-by-wire Aircraft

1 A foreign incident investigation reported a fly-by-wire aircraft has faulty wire installation on the flight control system during maintenance. During the repair on the electrical plug of an Elevator Aileron Computer (ELAC), the connections of two pairs of wires were reversed. As a result of this error, the aircraft reacted in a contrary manner during the initial climb phase of its first flight after maintenance. Right roll input from the Captain sidestick to correct a left bank of the aircraft resulted in the aircraft banked further to the left! Fortunately, by using the First Officer sidestick, the aircraft landed safely without any damage and none of the passengers was injured.

2 The investigation report indicated the incident was due to a series of human factors related errors and the ambiguity of manufacturer’s instructions and operator’s checklists. The subsequent functional check conducted by maintenance personnel was only performed by using one control sidestick which failed to detect the fault.

3 To prevent recurrence of similar incident, the Director-General adopts the following with respect to the responsibility of maintenance organisations as recommended by the investigation report:

3.1 Instructions should be issued to require that functional checks and control system checks on fly-by-wire aircraft be performed by using BOTH sidesticks; and

3.2 Maintenance procedures and job cards should be amended to include the above requirement.
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
MODE 'S' TRANSPONDER ICAO 24-BIT AIRCRAFT ADDRESSES

1 A foreign CAA has become aware of incorrect 24-bit addresses being installed / hard wired on individual aircraft. This has happened not only on first installation of a Mode 'S' transponder but also when a modification has been made or following a change of State of Registry. Incorrect installation, such as setting the address to all zeros or inadvertent duplication of an address, can pose a risk to flight safety. In particular, the airborne collision avoidance system (ACAS) operates on the assumption that only a single, and therefore unique 24-bit aircraft address exists per airframe. The performance of ACAS can be seriously degraded and in some cases disabled if an incorrect or duplicate address is installed on an aircraft.

2 ICAO has recognised that the present management methodology of aircraft 24-bit addresses presents a genuine safety hazard that needs to be addressed and suitably mitigated in any system that is to make use of the Mode 'S' address.

3 In order to ensure that the 24-bit Mode 'S' address is installed correctly at the time of initial Certificate of Airworthiness issue, as well as throughout the in-service life of the aircraft and at the time it leaves the Hong Kong register, the following should be accomplished:

(a) A positive check that the correct Mode 'S' address is assigned for each transponder installed on the aircraft.

(b) The correct Mode 'S' address is periodically confirmed and recorded for each transponder installed on the aircraft, via a field test set at an appropriate maintenance opportunity (not to exceed a two year periodicity). This task should be incorporated into the Approved Maintenance Schedule or Programme.

(c) Ensure whenever the aircraft is subject to modification that the Mode 'S' address has not been changed.

(d) The Hong Kong assigned Mode 'S' address is removed when the aircraft leaves the Hong Kong register.

4 Operators are requested to review their documented procedures and update them, as appropriate, to ensure the above points are addressed. These procedures should also include a method to record that the applicable actions have been accomplished.
5 Reference should also be made to Airworthiness Notice No.12 Appendix No. 69 which contains advice on testing criteria for Transponders.

NOTE: With the introduction of Mode 'S' Elementary and Enhanced Surveillance functionality, within the transponder, it is envisaged that additional testing of the transponder will be required on a periodic basis (not to exceed two years).

6 Cancellation

6.1 This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 12 Appendix No. 67 Issue 2, dated 30 May 2008, which should be destroyed.
FOREIGN OBJECT DAMAGE TO AIRCRAFT AND ENGINES

1 Background

1.1 Experiences learnt from a foreign CAA that they continue to receive reports of damage to aircraft and engines caused by foreign objects. Foreign Object Damage (FOD) presents a serious airworthiness threat to any aircraft not to mention the economic impact on the operator. In extreme cases, FOD can lead to an accident and loss of life. FOD damage to airframes and engines can be extremely expensive to rectify and may result in the aircraft being removed from revenue service for significant period of time. There are numerous reports on record of FOD damage to engines (in particular rotating assemblies), nose and main landing gear assemblies and aircraft structure.

1.2 A research project led by the foreign CAA revealed that a continuing threat exists from varying amounts of FOD present on aircraft maintenance areas and airport manoeuvring areas, including stands, aircraft taxiways and runways. The study showed the aircraft themselves as the main cause of FOD on the runway and this poses the highest immediate risk. Here, parts of aircraft become detached or tools and equipment, inadvertently left in the aircraft fall out during takeoff or landing. The largest item found on a runway was a wheel chock but metal panels and honeycomb structure were also amongst the larger items. The FOD found on taxiways and stands came mainly from airport vehicles and the equipment they tow such as baggage trolleys, steps, cargo equipment etc. The size and shape of some of these foreign objects make it likely to cause tyre damage and subsequent tyre burst. The cleaning of aircraft cabins and the transfer of waste from cabin to airside rubbish containers is also seen to be a common source of foreign objects in the stand areas. Other typical foreign objects consist of such items as oil cans, spanners, pliers, torches, suitcase items (both internal & external), mobile radios, aircraft catering equipment, cutlery, landing gear ground lock pins, thrust reverser lock-out pins and broken parts of ground servicing equipment/vehicles.

1.3 Smaller items could be ingested by an engine. In many cases, FOD damage to engine rotating assemblies has led to vibration leading to air turn-backs, diversions and subsequent engine replacement. Boroscope inspections of engines following reports of engine surging very often reveal internal damage to the engine – such damage can of course be very expensive to repair. Typically, damage to the aircraft can range from damage to horizontal stabilizer leading edges to pressure hull penetration by objects thrown up from the runway, to varying degrees of damage to landing gear assemblies and
mainplanes. It is not unusual for tyre treads (nose and main) to detach as a
result of FOD during takeoff and landing causing damage to the fuselage,
 wings, trailing edge flaps, lift dumpers, engine intakes and compressors.
Tyre tread detachment often occurs within several takeoffs/landings of an
earlier penetration of the tread by an item of foreign object. It is known that
in some cases aircraft have been lost due to FOD to tyre assemblies and has
led to significant loss of life.

2 Operator, Maintenance and Ground Handling Organisation – Recommended
Best Practice

2.1 Aircraft operators should not allow their aircraft to be positioned onto
arrival/departure stands unless satisfied that the stand is clean and free from
foreign objects. Operators should consider the implementation of procedures
whereby their staff or contracted ground handling personnel check parking
stand cleanliness standards prior to aircraft arrival on stand and again
following its departure from stand prior to being occupied by the next aircraft.

2.2 Aircraft operators should ensure that the topic of FOD is placed as a standing
agenda item on all airport users committee meetings that they attend and
internal airline safety meetings as necessary in order that the topic is
adequately covered and remains visible at all times. It is suggested that
operators may wish to nominate an individual with responsibility for the
implementation of the airline's policy in this area.

2.3 Aircraft operators and maintenance organisations should implement
procedures that would preclude tools, inspection equipment or other service
items being left in the aircraft installations following routine or unscheduled
maintenance (e.g. undercarriage bays, engine intakes) and/or at the airport
areas where the work took place.

2.4 Airport authorities and maintenance organisations should ensure that adequate
cleaning/sweeping programmes are in place for those aircraft ramp and
maintenance areas under their control. Airport authorities and maintenance
organisations should provide sufficient numbers of strategically positioned
foreign object bins that should be readily visible and placarded as to their use.

2.5 Aircraft operators, maintenance and ground handling organisations should
include FOD in their induction and continuation training programmes. For
example, the practice of putting chocks and other loose equipment on aircraft
tugs in positions from where they can fall unnoticed should be discouraged.

2.6 Aircraft operators and maintenance organisations should consider the
inclusion of FOD into their ramp area audits ensuring that where problems
exist that the persons responsible are notified without delay. Where FOD is a
persistent problem and no effort is made to rectify the problem, consideration
should be given to reporting matters to the CAD Airport Standards Division.

2.7 Aircraft operators should ensure that contracted aircraft cleaning and ground
handling organisations are made aware of their policies regarding the prevention of FOD during cabin cleaning and ground handling operations. This should include the condition of vehicles and the quality of repairs made to them.

2.8 Some aircraft types are permitted to back off the stand using high power reverse thrust settings. Operators of such types are reminded that these operations can be susceptible to FOD. Operators carrying out such operations should ensure that they are only carried out in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations and from clean, contamination free ramp areas.

REMEMBER, THE ONLY ACCEPTABLE FOD IS NO FOD!

3 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 12 Appendix 68 Issue 1, dated 30 May 2005, which should be destroyed.
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
ATC TRANSPONDERS AND TRAFFIC ALERT AND COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEMS (TCAS) GROUND TESTING

1 Introduction

This notice is to provide general guidance material to aircraft maintenance organisations and maintenance personnel relating to ATC Transponder and Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance Systems (TCAS). It includes the functionality to be tested and information to be considered when ground testing ATC Transponders and TCAS in order to minimise the possibility of causing nuisance message to local Air Traffic Control Units and advisory warnings to TCAS equipped aircraft.

2 ATC Mode S Equipped Aircraft Ground Testing

Testing for correct functionality should include the following items (where applicable):

2.1 The Mode S 24-Bit aircraft address.

2.2 Altitude reporting including the check of the altitude sensor at adequate intervals from ground to the certified altitude ceiling of the aircraft.

2.3 Mode S Elementary Surveillance (ELS) & Downlink Aircraft Parameters (DAPs):
   - Aircraft Identification
   - Capability Report
   - Pressure Altitude
   - Flight Status

2.4 Mode S Enhanced Surveillance (EHS) & Downlink Aircraft Parameters (DAPs):
   - Magnetic Heading
   - Indicated Airspeed
   - Mach Number
   - Vertical rate
   - Roll Angle
   - Track Angle Rate or True Airspeed
   - True Track Angle
   - Ground Speed
   - Selected Altitude (and Barometric Pressure Setting where appropriate)
3 **Mode C Equipped Aircraft Ground Testing**

Testing for correct functionality should include the following items:

3.1 Correct operation of the Mode A code.

3.2 Altitude reporting including the check of the altitude sensor at adequate intervals from ground to the certified altitude ceiling of the aircraft.

4 **TCAS System Ground Testing**

4.1 Maintenance organisations and personnel who are involved in the ground testing of TCAS equipment are requested to establish procedures and take precautions to ensure that the risks of causing nuisance advisories are recognised and kept to a minimum.

4.2 A ground operated transponder may trigger a nuisance advisory on a TCAS equipped aircraft operating in the close vicinity. If the ground target is providing altitude data the TCAS logic should declare the aircraft to be on the ground and ought not to generate an advisory. If no altitude data is provided, the TCAS will generate a TA if the threat criteria are met. If the ground is providing altitude data other than surface altitude, as may happen with a defective altitude encoder, or if a test pressure is being applied to the altitude encoder, the TCAS may generate both a TA and a RA if the threat criteria are met.

4.3 It is considered that nuisance advisories may be caused to any TCAS equipped aircraft flying in the vicinity of transponders which are being tested, this may also include aircraft passing overhead at medium altitudes. The problem may be more noticeable where ground testing of transponders takes place at airfields located beneath Terminal Control Areas or in the vicinity of Control Areas and Zones where air traffic movements are likely to be numerous.

5 **Interval of Transponder Ground Testing**

In order to ensure acceptable transponder system performance, Mode S or Mode C transponders’ functionality shall be verified periodically within 24 months, using appropriate and calibrated ramp testing equipment in accordance with applicable maintenance manual procedures.
6 Ground Testing Considerations

The following advice is provided to minimise the possibility of causing nuisance message to local Air Traffic Control Units and advisory warnings to TCAS equipped aircraft when ground testing transponders and/or TCAS:

6.1 When not required, ensure all transponders are selected to ‘OFF’ or ‘Standby’.

6.2 Before starting any test, contact the local Air Traffic Control Units and advise them of your intention to conduct transponder testing. Advise the Air Traffic Control Units of your start time and test duration. Also inform them of the altitude(s) at which you will be testing, your intended Aircraft Identification (Flight ID) and your intended Mode A code. See paragraph 6.3 and 6.4.

Note: Certain altitudes may not be possible due to over flying aircraft.

6.3 Set the Mode A code to 7776 (or other Mode A code agreed with Air Traffic Control Units).

Note: The Mode A code 7776 is assigned as a test code by the Originating Region Code Assignment Method (ORCAM) Users Group, specifically for the testing of transponders.

6.4 For Mode S equipped aircraft, set the Aircraft Identification (Flight ID) with the first 8 characters of the company name. This is the name of the company conducting the tests.

6.5 For Mode S equipped aircraft, set the on-the-ground status for all Mode S replies, except when an airborne reply is required (e.g. for altitude testing).

6.6 Where possible, perform the testing inside a hangar to take advantage of any shielding properties it may provide.

6.7 Always use the antenna transmission absorption covers when these are provided with the test set.

6.8 When testing the altitude (Mode C or S) parameter, radiate directly into the ramp test set via the prescribed attenuator.

6.9 In between testing, i.e. to transition from one altitude to another, select the transponder to ‘standby’ mode.

6.10 If testing transponder parameters other than ‘altitude’, set altitude to -1000 feet (minus 1000 feet), or over 60000 feet. This will minimise the possibility of TCAS warning to airfield and overflying aircraft.
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6.11 The simulation of TCAS operation by the radiation from an antenna located on, or remotely based from a workshop, is not permitted.

Notes: (1) The FAA have advised their staff of operational problems resulting in nuisance advisories caused by ground based transponders installed on hangars for the purpose of testing TCAS installations. Maintenance organisations are reminded that all Hong Kong aeronautical radio stations are required to be licensed by the Office of the Communications Authority.

(2) Air Traffic Control Units may be advised when testing is to be carried out if it is considered that there is a possibility of nuisance advisories being caused by the activity due to its proximity to operational runways.

6.12 When testing is complete select the transponder(s) to ‘OFF’ or ‘Standby’.
AIRCRAFT WASHING AND RINSING

1 General

This notice is to provide general guidance material to aircraft maintenance organisations, maintenance personnel and other organisations relating to aircraft washing and rinsing.

2 Introduction

2.1 On 27 November 2008, an Airbus A320-200 crashed into the sea off the coast of Perpignan during an airworthiness check flight and was destroyed, killing all seven occupants.

2.2 According to the investigation report published by Bureau d'Enquêtes et d'Analyses pour la sécurité de l'aviation civile (BEA), the accident was caused by the loss of control of the aeroplane by the crew following the improvised demonstration of the functioning of the Angle Of Attack (AOA) protections, which were inoperable at the time because the AOA sensors had jammed making it impossible for the protections to trigger.

2.3 The jamming of the AOA sensors had been caused by freezing of water which was present as a result of incorrect rinsing procedures being used on the aircraft three days before the accident flight.

2.4 The purpose of this document is to remind maintenance organisations, maintenance personnel and other organisations which are responsible for washing and rinsing operations on aircraft to adhere to approved maintenance procedures specified by the aircraft manufacturer or the type certificate holder.

3 Background

3.1 The aircraft had come to the end of its lease and had been ferried to Perpignan for maintenance and painting work. Following completion of this, and in accordance with its end of lease agreement, a check flight was required prior to the return of the aircraft to its owners.

3.2 Prior to being painted the aircraft was rinsed with fresh water using a water jet and without the AOA sensors being protected with masking protections. Both of these processes were contrary to the manufacturer’s prescribed procedures in the Aircraft Maintenance Manual. As a result, water penetrated inside at least two of the three AOA sensors. This water remained inside the sensors for three days before the accident flight. The temperatures
encountered during the check flight caused this water to freeze and the AOA sensors to jam. This in turn prevented the AOA protections from triggering during the improvised check of their functioning.

4 Actions to be taken

This accident underlines the importance of adhering to the correct procedures for all aspects of aircraft maintenance. Therefore maintenance organisations, maintenance personnel and other organisations which carry out washing and rinsing operations on aircraft are reminded to adhere to approved maintenance procedures specified by the aircraft manufacturer or the type certificate holder with particular regard to the appropriate protection of all sensors during cleaning and painting tasks.
1 Purposes

The purposes of this Airworthiness Notice are:

1.1 to provide guidance to the industry on CAD approval policy with respect to HKAR-145 approval of maintenance organisations engaged in the maintenance of aircraft used for Commercial Air Transport or in the maintenance of components to be fitted to such aircraft; and

1.2 to set out CAD policy concerning maintenance approvals and requirements relating to aircraft NOT used for Commercial Air Transport and relating to maintained components to be fitted to such aircraft.

NOTES: (1) Applicable until 4 November 2020, ‘Maintenance’ means the performance of tasks required to ensure the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft, including any one or combination of overhaul, inspection, replacement, defect rectification, and the embodiment of a modification or repair.

(2) Applicable as of 5 November 2020, ‘Maintenance’ means the performance of tasks on an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part required to ensure the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft, engine, propeller or associated part including any one or combination of overhaul, inspection, replacement, defect rectification, and the embodiment of a modification or repair.

(3) The acceptance of components intended for installation in aircraft issued with a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness, irrespective of whether the aircraft is or is not used for Commercial Air Transport, is addressed in Airworthiness Notice No.17.

2 Introduction

2.1 The publication HKAR-145 covers the approval of organisations engaged in the maintenance of aircraft or aircraft components used for Commercial Air Transport, is available on CAD website: https://www.cad.gov.hk under “Publications and press releases”.

2.2 Other ‘maintenance’ related Hong Kong Aviation Requirements are CAD 360 Air Operator's Certificates Requirements Document - Part TWO
2.3 An organisation meeting the criteria specified in paragraph 3 of this Airworthiness Notice and holds an approval from the Director-General in accordance with HKAR-145 is deemed an approved person for the purposes of Article 11(6)(c) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2.4 Organisations approved by the Director-General to HKAR-145 requirements will have details of their approvals, including their names, addresses and capabilities published on CAD website under “Publications and press releases \ CAD Approved or Accepted Organisations (Airworthiness)”.

2.5 Authorisation of non HKAR-145 aircraft maintenance organisations is addressed in Appendix No.1 to this Airworthiness Notice No. 14.

2.6 Approval of organisation holding EASA Part 145 Approval is addressed in the Appendix No. 2 to this Airworthiness Notice No. 14.

3 CAD Approval Policy for HKAR-145 Requirements

3.1 HKAR-145 came into effect on 1 December 1995 for the maintenance of all aircraft with either a Transport Category (Passenger) or Transport Category (Cargo) Certificate of Airworthiness and when used for Commercial Air Transport (CAT). From that date the Certificate of Release to Service (CRS) required after maintenance of an aircraft or an aircraft component used for Commercial Air Transport, can only be issued by an organisation appropriately approved in accordance with HKAR-145 by the Director-General.

NOTE: It is incumbent upon Hong Kong organisations placing maintenance work with 'Recognised' organisations in the Mainland China and Macao SAR, to confirm the validity of that 'recognition' as the Joint Maintenance Management (JMM) can provisionally suspend those organisations that do not maintain the required standards.

3.2 Organisations located outside Hong Kong, and actively providing or intending to provide, Commercial Air Transport maintenance services for Hong Kong AOC Operators or Maintenance Organisations, may apply to the Director-General for the grant of a HKAR-145 approval. Such an approval, once granted, would be valid for 2 years, and may be renewed if the need were demonstrated.

4 Certifying Staff

4.1 Organisations holding or applying for approval under HKAR-145 for the maintenance of aircraft with either a Transport Category (Passenger) or Transport Category (Cargo) Certificate of Airworthiness and when used for
Commercial Air Transport will be required to demonstrate to the 
Director-General that they employ, in accordance with the requirements of 
HKAR-145, a sufficient number of appropriately qualified certifying staff.

4.2 Organisations holding or applying for Approvals for the maintenance of 
aircraft types listed in paragraph 14 of Airworthiness Notice No. 10 are 
required to demonstrate to the Director-General that they employ, in 
accordance with the requirements of HKAR-145, a sufficient number of 
appropriately qualified certifying staff.

4.3 Organisations holding or applying for Approvals for the maintenance of 
aircraft other than those aircraft types listed in paragraph 14 of Airworthiness 
Notice No. 10 and not used for Commercial Air Transport are required to 
demonstrate to the Director-General that they employ a sufficient number of 
certifying staff, who hold the appropriate HKAR-66 Type Rated Licences, to 
be authorised to issue Certificate of Release to Service for all required 
maintenance. Alternatively, these organisations may elect to comply with the 
requirements set out in paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

4.4 Organisations holding or applying for Approval for the maintenance of 
aircraft components intended for fitment to aircraft used for Commercial Air Transport, 
are required to demonstrate to the Director-General that they employ sufficient 
numbers of certifying staff (HKAR 145.30), who are qualified by the 
organisation to issue CAD Form One (Authorised Release Certificate) on the 
basis of appropriate competence, training and experience.

5 CAD Approval Policy for Approvals Other Than HKAR-145

5.1 Organisations which are solely engaged in the maintenance of aircraft not 
exceeding 2730 kg MTWA, with a Certificate of Airworthiness in any 
category, which are NOT used for Commercial Air Transport, may apply for 
the grant or variation of an approval, in accordance with the requirements of 
HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-15.

5.2 Organisations engaged in the maintenance of components for which there is no 
intended use for Commercial Air Transport may also apply for Approval in 
accordance with HKAR-145.

5.3 Application for variations to maintenance approvals granted under HKAR-1 
Section 1.8 will continue to be accepted by the Director-General from 
organisations where HKAR-145 approval is not necessary.

6 CAD Supplementary Approvals

6.1 Organisations which hold HKAR-145 Approval or an appropriate 
Maintenance Approval may continue to be granted these terms of Approval as 
a supplemental Approval if they meet the appropriate Requirements. There
are a number of functions which can be covered by a supplemental Approval that are not as yet addressed by HKAR-145 Requirements. These functions include (but are not limited to) the following:

(a) To issue Certificate of Maintenance Review in accordance with Article 9 of Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 when required.

(b) To issue Certificate of Release to Service in accordance with Article 11 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 when required.

NOTE: This Approval relates to the certification of work on aircraft and/or components NOT used for Commercial Air Transport.

(c) To issue Certificate of Fitness for Flight in accordance with the provisions of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.3-8 ('A Conditions') and to issue a Flight Release Certificate for a Permit to Fly (see paragraph 7 of Airworthiness Notice No. 110).

(d) Control of Welders Approvals.

(e) To amend Maintenance, Overhaul, Repair Manuals and Wiring Diagrams in accordance with HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.5-3.

(f) Control of NDT Schemes in accordance with Airworthiness Notice No. 94.

(g) To furnish reports to the Director-General in accordance with Article 8(8) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 in respect of: Assessments and recommendations for the Renewal of the Certificate of Airworthiness for aircraft as defined in the Maintenance Organisation Exposition, as approved in accordance with HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-14.

7 Maintenance of Aircraft - Non-Commercial Air Transport

7.1 Aircraft which are not being used for the purposes of Commercial Air Transport, may continue to be maintained by organisations approved by the Director-General for the purpose or by appropriately HKAR-66 aircraft maintenance licence holders in accordance with the privileges accorded to the licence holder (see Airworthiness Notices No. 3 and 10).

7.2 Aircraft on the Hong Kong Register, which are NOT used for Commercial Air Transport with a Certificate of Airworthiness in any category, may have components fitted, which have been released to service in accordance with HKAR-145.

7.3 The person issuing the Certificate of Release to Service for the fitting of a
component to an aircraft on the Hong Kong Register, is responsible for ensuring that the records of that component are sufficient to enable its maintenance and operating history to be established, including the embodiment of modifications and mandatory ADs, service life used etc.

8 Maintenance of Aircraft when changing from any Non Commercial Operation (non-CAT) to Commercial Air Transport Operation (CAT)

8.1 Aircraft maintained in accordance with paragraph 7.1 will require release to service by an appropriately approved HKAR-145 organisation, prior to the aircraft being used for Commercial Air Transport. The release to service may, for example, be in accordance with the alignment check required to transfer the aircraft from the current aircraft maintenance schedule to the Commercial Air Transport operator's CAD Approved Maintenance Schedule.

NOTES: (1) For aircraft with a MTWA of 2730 kg and below, the maintenance checks for this alignment shall be at minimum, but not limited to a 100-hour check for helicopters or a 150-hour check for aeroplanes in accordance with the CAD Approved Maintenance Schedule.

(2) For aircraft with a MTWA exceeding 2730 kg the Maintenance Check content for alignment shall be agreed by the Director-General to be of sufficient depth to provide a satisfactory level of assurance of airworthiness.

(3) A HKAR 145.50 Certificate of Release to Service shall be issued on completion of an alignment check as required above.

(4) Operators of aircraft that may transfer between CAT and non-CAT operations must ensure that all aircraft and component maintenance is released by an appropriately approved HKAR-145 organisation, or accept the need for assessment and re-certification as necessary by an appropriately approved HKAR-145 organisation before commercial operations are commenced.

8.2 Components (including engines and equipment) that have been overhauled or maintained in accordance with paragraph 9.1 or 9.2 will require assessment and release to service by an appropriately approved HKAR-145 organisation prior to the aircraft to which these components are fitted being used for the purposes of Commercial Air Transport.

NOTE: For components which have previously been maintained and held in storage on the 1 January 1994, reference should be made to HKAR-145. This paragraph sets out the CAD policy and as such is not affected by content of this Airworthiness Notice.

9 Maintenance of Components (including Engines, Propellers, APUs and Equipment) – Excluding Commercial Air Transport and Large Aircraft

NOTE: ‘Large Aircraft’ means an aircraft classified as an aeroplane with a MTWA exceeding 5700 kg or a multi-engined helicopter.

9.1 Components (including engines and equipment) that are intended for fitment to aircraft not used for the purpose of Commercial Air Transport may continue
to be released to service by organisations approved by the Director-General for the purpose under HKARs or by appropriately HKAR-66 aircraft maintenance licence holders.

9.2 Maintained components that are intended for fitment to Hong Kong registered aircraft not used for the purpose of Commercial Air Transport may continue to be released to service by organisations outside Hong Kong (including the United States of America and Canada), provided that they are:

(a) the manufacturer of that component or aircraft, or

(b) under the control of the aircraft or engine or propeller Type Certificate holder or are authorised by the Type Certificate holder's National Aviation Authority for the particular purpose, at the time that the component was released to service.

9.3 Components (including engines and equipment) that are intended for fitment to aircraft not used for Commercial Air Transport may be released to service by an organisation approved in accordance with HKAR-145 for that aircraft or component.

9.4 When components are fitted to an aircraft with a Certificate of Airworthiness in any category, not used for Commercial Air Transport, and in accordance with paragraph 9.1 or 9.2 above, the required records (e.g. aircraft, engine log books) must be endorsed with the following:

'This component has not been maintained in accordance with HKAR-145 and as such, may not be fitted to an aircraft used for the purposes of Commercial Air Transport until/unless released to service by an appropriately approved HKAR-145 organisation.'

9.5 Where it is intended to fit part used components which have been maintained in a state/place other than Hong Kong to an aircraft, in accordance with this Airworthiness Notice, the component shall be accompanied by an appropriate release certificate from the state of export following maintenance in that state/place and prior to fitting to the Hong Kong registered aircraft.

10 Charges

Full details of the charges associated with HKAR-145 approval are prescribed in the current Hong Kong Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations.

11 Application

Enquiries regarding the grant of a HKAR-145 approval or a Maintenance Approval other than HKAR-145 Approval should be made in writing to the CAD Airworthiness Office (See Airworthiness Notice No. 29). Further information will then be supplied,
including an application form.

12 **Maintenance Arrangements**

12.1 The Director-General has signed a Cooperation Arrangement on Mutual Acceptance of Approval of Aircraft Maintenance Organisation with the Civil Aviation Administration of China and the Macao Civil Aviation Authority, which permits both parties to accept maintenance carried out by organisations recognised under the Arrangement. See Airworthiness Notice No. 30 for details.

12.2 The Director-General has signed a Technical Arrangement on Aviation Maintenance with the Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore, which permits both parties to accept maintenance carried out by organisations recognised under the Arrangement. See Airworthiness Notice No. 30A for details.

12.3 The Director-General has signed a Technical Arrangement on Aircraft Maintenance with the Transport Canada Civil Aviation Directorate, which permits both parties to accept maintenance carried out by organisations recognised under the Arrangement. See Airworthiness Notice No. 30B for details.

13 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness No. 14 Issue 9, dated 15 November 2010, which should be destroyed.

Simon Li  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
AUTHORISATION OF NON HKAR-145 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE ORGANISATIONS

1 Applicability

This appendix is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft certified in the Transport Category (Passenger) or Transport Category (Cargo) which are required to be maintained by organisations holding HKAR-145 maintenance approval.

2 Introduction

2.1 The Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 Article 11(6)(c) provides for persons to be approved as being competent to issue certificates required by this Article. HKAR-145 defines the requirements to be satisfied for the grant of such an approval. All references in this Airworthiness Notice to HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation should be taken as meaning a person approved in accordance with the aforementioned Article.

2.2 HKAR 145.1 requires that the Certificate of Release to Service issued for maintenance carried out on aircraft with either a Transport Category (Passenger) or Transport Category (Cargo) Certificate of Airworthiness, and when used for Commercial Air Transport, must be issued by an organisation suitably approved in accordance with HKAR-145.

2.3 The purpose of this appendix is to define the procedures whereby non HKAR-145 Approved Organisations can be investigated and may be subsequently authorised for the purpose of providing line maintenance support for operation of Hong Kong registered aircraft. This authorisation, if granted, would be subject to the provisions of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 Article 11(6)(d) and is not an approval as specified in paragraph 2.1.

2.4 Subject to a satisfactory investigation, the Director-General may, if he considers appropriate to do so, issue a limited Authorisation to a non HKAR-145 Approved Organisation to issue Certificates of Release to Service in respect of work carried out on an aircraft maintained by a HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation. Such limited authorisations will only be granted to corporate bodies, not to individual persons.

2.5 An organisation which is granted a limited Authorisation will be considered by the Director-General to be acting as a subcontractor to the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation as defined in HKAR145.75.
Compliance

Compliance with this appendix is required by all affected aircraft operators.

Requirement

4.1 Prior to applying to the CAD Airworthiness Office for the issue of a limited Authorisation to a non HKAR-145 Organisation, the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation must first investigate the non HKAR-145 Organisation. The CAD Airworthiness Office will need to be satisfied that the Organisation under investigation can adequately and safely support the operation of the aircraft maintained by the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation, in a manner similar to that of a HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation. The investigation shall include:

(a) the corporate structure of the non HKAR-145 Organisation and its engineering procedures;

(b) the facilities of the non HKAR-145 Organisation in respect of hangarage, maintenance support equipment, manuals and other airworthiness information;

(c) the requirements for the qualification of persons employed by the non HKAR-145 Organisation together with their training and experience.

4.2 A non-HKAR145 Organisation should normally be currently maintaining aircraft of the same, or similar, type to that maintained by the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation. They should also hold a suitable maintenance approval granted by their own Regulatory Authority.

4.3 A contract for maintenance support must exist between the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation and the non HKAR-145 Organisation. The procedures for the control of maintenance activities performed by the non HKAR-145 Organisation and for aircraft parts procurement must be included in the applicable section of the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation's Maintenance Organisation Exposition.

4.4 The contracted maintenance arrangements must be such that they can satisfy the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation's own quality system in respect of tools, equipment, materials, airworthiness data and maintenance procedures. The non HKAR-145 Organisation must also have in place a procedure for issuing and controlling approvals to their own employees.

4.5 If, as a result of the investigation carried out, the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation submits a favourable report to the Director-General, an Authorisation permitting the nominated non HKAR-145 Organisation to issue
Certificates of Release to Service in respect of work carried out on the aircraft maintained by the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation, may be issued. Prior to the issue of an Authorisation, the Director-General may confirm with the Regulatory Authority to whom the nominated non HKAR-145 Organisation is responsible, that there is no objection to the granting of such an Authorisation.

NOTE: The Director-General reserves the right to participate in the investigation of a nominated non HKAR-145 Organisation.

5 Continuation of Authorisation of Non HKAR-145 Organisations

5.1 The non HKAR-145 Organisation shall maintain the standards necessary to undertake the work for which the Authorisation is granted.

5.2 The HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation which nominated the non HKAR-145 Organisation shall establish an audit procedure for the purpose of confirming to the Director-General that the necessary standards are being maintained.

5.3 Subject to paragraphs 5.1 and 5.2 above, the Authorisation of non HKAR-145 Organisation will be issued for the period of the contract with the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation but not normally exceeding one year.

5.4 Prior to the expiry date of an Authorisation, it will be the responsibility of the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation to apply to the Director-General for renewal.

NOTE: If the contract for maintenance support between the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation and the non HKAR-145 Organisation is terminated, the Authorisation will automatically be cancelled.

6 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.14 Appendix No.1 Issue 4, dated 30 June 1999, which should be destroyed.

AN-14 A1 P.3 31 January 2002
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
APPROVAL OF ORGANISATIONS HOLDING
EUROPEAN JAR-145 or EASA PART 145 MAINTENANCE APPROVAL

1 Purpose

The purpose of this Appendix is to record policy and provide guidance to organisations located outside of Hong Kong which are approved by the European Joint Aviation Authorities (JAA) or European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) and are required to undertake maintenance on Hong Kong registered aircraft. This Appendix is applicable to aircraft base and line maintenance activities.

2 Introduction

2.1 Article 11 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (hereinafter referred to as the Order), as amended prescribes that an aircraft registered in Hong Kong, for which a Certificate of Airworthiness is in force, shall not fly unless a Certificate of Release to Service has been issued in accordance with the Order if the aircraft or any part of the aircraft or such of its equipment as is necessary for the airworthiness of the aircraft has been overhauled, repaired, replaced or modified, or has been inspected as provided in the Order, as the case may be.

2.2 Airworthiness Notice No.14 prescribes that with effect from the 1 December 1995, a Certificate of Release to Service, as required by Article 11 of the Order, for aircraft or an aircraft component used for commercial air transport can only be issued by an organisation appropriately approved in accordance with HKAR-145.

2.3 The Director-General is satisfied that the standards required by the JAA/EASA for the approval of maintenance organisations are equivalent to the standards required by HKAR-145. This will be taken into account with a view to reducing CAD involvement to the minimum necessary to process the application.

3 General

3.1 Following acceptance of an application for approval of an organisation already approved by the JAA or EASA in accordance with JAR-145 or EASA Part 145 respectively, the Director-General will carry out an investigation which will concentrate primarily on the legal and procedural differences between JAR-145 and HKAR-145, or EASA Part 145 and HKAR-145 respectively.
3.2 The organisation will be required to submit to the Director-General a copy of the Maintenance Organisation Exposition (MOE) Part 1 with suitable amendments to address the following subjects:-

(i) Accountable Manager's statement HKAR 145.70(a)(1).

(ii) Revised contents list reflecting amendments for HKAR-145.

(iii) Reporting of unairworthy conditions HKAR 145.60.

(iv) Scope of work applicable to Hong Kong approval HKAR 145.70(a)(9).

(v) Notification procedure for organisational changes HKAR 145.70(a)(10).

(vi) The documentation to release an aircraft HKAR 145.50.

These amendments can be in a stand-alone supplement to the MOE Part 1. In addition, the organisation will need to assess whether procedures need to be added to other parts of the MOE to cater for the particular requirements of the Hong Kong Operator.

3.3 HKAR-145 Approvals granted in accordance with the policy defined above will normally be subject to monitoring by the Director-General when Hong Kong registered aircraft are undergoing maintenance at the organisation. Such approvals will be issued for a two-year period and the organisation will need to reapply if the approval is to continue past this period.

4 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 14 Appendix No.2 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.
LIMITED AUTHORISATION - GUIDANCE MATERIAL

1 General

1.1 Appendix No.4 to Airworthiness Notice No.14, permits persons to be granted, by the holder of a HKAR-145 approval issued by the Director-General, Limited Authorisations to issue Certificates of Release to Service when the conditions of such authorisations have been agreed by the Director-General. This Appendix provides guidance to HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisations that wish to issue Limited Authorisations, to assist in determining conditions which may be agreed by the Director-General.

NOTE: It should be noted that the introduction of HKAR-66 will render the Limited Authorisation concept that is defined here obsolete. New Limited Authorisations may not therefore be issued to certifying staff after 1 April 2004. Limited Authorisations in effect at that date may continue until the individual leaves the employ of the relevant organisation.

1.2 Organisations wishing to issue Limited Authorisations shall develop suitable procedures to determine the competence of staff to hold such authorisations, and to manage and control the process within their Organisation. These procedures shall clearly define the limits to which Limited Authorisations can be granted. The proposed procedure shall be submitted to the Director-General for approval. These procedures will require to be amended or deleted by 1 April 2004 to reflect the introduction of HKAR-66 and its effect upon authorisation systems.

1.3 The Director-General has chosen not to define in detail, acceptable boundaries in respect of defect diagnosis and the types of test equipment which are compatible with the Limited Authorisation concept. Such definitions would inevitably produce a set of unnecessarily restrictive requirements.

1.4 The following guidelines shall be observed in developing suitable procedures in addition to detailed guidance given in later paragraphs:

(a) Limited Authorisation tasks, with the exception of Paragraph 5.2 and 5.3 Avionic Extension Authorisations, must not include any element of defect diagnosis and must be restricted to:

- unscheduled line maintenance tasks
- minor scheduled maintenance tasks
(a) one aircraft type or comparable tasks on different aircraft types
(b) periods of validity not exceeding three years.

(b) Checks to determine serviceability must not require anything other than operational checks, simple functional tests or the use of Built in Test Equipment (BITE). On non-complex systems simple general test equipment may be used.

(c) Responsibility for the determination of serviceability in relation to a Limited Authorisation task rests solely with the person authorised. This cannot be fulfilled by a presumption of the competence of any other person therefore the signatory can only certify for tasks they have personally performed, or in the situation described in Paragraph 2.2, have personally seen performed.

(d) Formal training, including on the job training (OJT) on the specific task to be authorised, will always be necessary for the grant/extension of a Limited Authorisation. Periodic continuation or refresher training will be required in order to revalidate the Authorisation. A record of such training must be maintained.

2 Assessment of Tasks and Criteria for the Issue of Limited Authorisation

2.1 The Director-General will normally only agree Limited Authorisation procedures the limits of which are determined by Approved Organisations using the following criteria:

(a) It must be assumed that the limited authorisation holder is only proficient in the tasks for which they are authorised.

(b) The Organisation shall carefully consider the scope of the authorisation to ensure that the holder is fully aware of the limits of the authorisation. For example, the Limited Authorisation holder should be aware that what appears to be a straightforward condition indication may be a symptom of a defect with totally different diagnostic implications, an example of this would be a heat pack which may be worn to limit as a result of progressive normal wear or by premature excessive wear caused by a braking system defect. The Limited Authorisation holder should therefore be aware of the implications of repetitive defects and that suitable steps should be taken to ensure that the defect does not fall into this category and as a result lie outside the limits of authorisation.

(c) Use of test equipment should be limited by a determination of whether or not the test sequence is in itself self-explanatory. The test sequence should be straightforward and produce a clear GO or NO GO result and
not require further analysis of the results.

2.2 A task may be acceptable for Limited Authorisation, but the associated functional test may be more complex. For example, replacement of a pressure switch on an engine could be considered a Limited Authorisation task but the required low power ground run to check for leaks would not. In such circumstances the requirement to complete the task in accordance with the approved airworthiness data and issue a Certificate of Release to Service cannot be met. However, the low power ground run, to check for leaks, can be carried out by operational flight crews with the Limited Authorisation holder completing the task by personally seeing the effects of the ground run and issue a Certificate of Release to Service for the completed task as required by HKAR145.50(a).

3 **Limited Authorisations** — Non LWTR Maintenance personnel

3.1 Paragraph 2.2.2 of Appendix No.4 to Airworthiness Notice No.14 introduces the concept of 'Line Maintenance Certifying Mechanic' (LMCM) that is a Limited Authorisation intended for issue to maintenance personnel who do not hold a HKAR-AMEL LWTR. This Limited Authorisation is task specific although authorised personnel may hold multiple authorised tasks on one or more aircraft types.

3.2 With effect from 1 April 2002, previously unapproved 'Line Maintenance Certifying Mechanic' schemes will need to meet the requirements of HKAR-66. This requires the applicant to hold a HKAR-66 Category A Aircraft Maintenance Licence in the appropriate basic category. Existing schemes may continue as agreed with the Director-General until 1 April 2004. Thereafter, schemes for the issue of such authorisations will need to have been amended to reflect the full requirements of HKAR-66 for Category A certifying staff. The procedures associated with such authorisations will need to be submitted to the Director-General for approval.

NOTE: Existing schemes may not be eligible for the transfer of privileges under the provision of 'protected rights' in accordance with HKAR-66 until such times as the Director-General can be satisfied that the basis for qualification represents equivalence to that provided for by HKAR-66 Category A.

3.3 Limited authorisations, when granted in accordance with this LMCM concept, will permit the holder to issue a Certificate of Release to Service following minor scheduled line maintenance and defect rectification for those tasks listed in the authorisation. Minor scheduled line maintenance is limited to checks up to daily inspections. It is important to note that the rectification of any defects revealed during the course of such scheduled line maintenance may not fall within the certification authorisation privileges of the individual.

3.4 Holders of Limited Authorisations issued in accordance with this paragraph will not be permitted to defer defects.
Limited Authorisations — Flight Crew

4.1 Paragraphs 2.2.1 (b) and (c) of Appendix No.4 to Airworthiness Notice No.14 make provision for the issue of Limited Authorisations to certain holders of Flight Crew Licences. These authorisations are intended to allow the accomplishment and issue of a Certificate of Release to Service for specified simple tasks or inspections whilst operating away from a supported maintenance location. It should be borne in mind that the authorisations are issued by the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation to an operator's flight crew and will be valid only whilst that HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation is contracted to provide maintenance support. As such, personnel authorised in this manner are responsible to the maintenance organisation when performing authorised tasks and shall adhere to procedures and instructions issued by the HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation.

4.2 Holders of a valid Commercial Pilot's licence for the type of aircraft concerned may be granted Limited Authorisations for recurring short periods inspections required by Airworthiness Directives.

4.2.1 Where there is a requirement to carry out inspections associated with short period recurring Airworthiness Directives (AD), authorisations may be granted only where the AD (see also Airworthiness Notice No.36 Paragraph 3.2) specifies that:

- the inspections may be performed by flight crew
- the inspections do not require disassembly or removal of components
- the inspections can be readily achieved by visual inspection or function tests not requiring test equipment.

It is not intended that these limited authorisations obviate the need for line support where aircraft regularly use certain airports. They may however be used for ad-hoc or charter operations subject to the need for such authorisation being agreed by both the Airworthiness Office and Flight Standards Office in CAD.

4.3 Holders of a valid Flight Engineer's Licence may in respect of the types for which that licence is valid, be granted Limited Authorisations for recurring AD inspections as for paragraph 4.2 above. In addition, they may also be authorised for defect rectification in accordance with the guidance specified in paragraph 3 of this Appendix. The scope of such authorisations shall be agreed by the Director-General.

4.4 Limited Authorisations granted under the provisions of this paragraph 4 accept
that the Flight Crew member has a knowledge of the type as a result of his/her existing qualifications and experience. HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisations shall, however, prior to the grant of any such authorisation, establish the competence of the individual in respect of each task and provide specific OJT on the task to be authorised and any additional basic theory if required. The HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisation shall provide continuation training to Flight Crew members who have been granted Limited Authorisations to ensure that they retain the competence to accomplish the authorised tasks.

5 Limited Authorisation – Extended privileges for other Categories

5.1 Personnel who hold at least an authorisation in one complete Category (HKAR-AMEL: LWTR Categories) on the aircraft type concerned may be granted Limited Authorisations in other Categories. With the exception of paragraph 5.2 below these authorisations shall be granted as for the provisions of paragraph 3 of this Appendix.

NOTE: It should be noted that provision for Limited Authorisations is not included in HKAR-66 and HKAR-145. This reflects the broader scope of the Category B1 and B2 licence coverage under HKAR-66. The Limited Authorisations provided for under this paragraph, and including paragraphs 5.2 to 5.6, will not be able to be issued after 1 April 2004 and the associated procedures will need to be withdrawn. Existing authorised staff will be able to continue to hold such authorisations under ‘protected rights’ until they are HKAR-66 licensed.

5.2 Personnel who hold authorisations on an aircraft type in both HKAR-AMEL Categories 'A' Aircraft and 'C' Engines may be granted extended privileges, which may be referred to as an Avionic Extension, on that type to allow the replacement and certification of certain Avionic Line Replaceable Units.

NOTE: This Limited Authorisation provision is automatically included in the basic scope of a full Category B1 licence under HKAR-66.

5.3 The following guidance shall be considered when developing a scheme, to propose to the Director-General, for Limited Authorisations, with extended privileges to other Categories.

5.3.1 An Avionic Line Replaceable Unit (ALRU) is defined as a unit which has no mechanical input or output mechanism, but contains electronic components that:

- control
- monitor
- display
which forms an integral part of the operation of an aircraft system and does not require specialist knowledge or techniques to:

- secure
- connect
- test

5.3.2 In relation to ALRUs, it should be noted that the primary responsibility for certification of maintenance shall be that of the certifying technician responsible for the system of which the ALRU forms an integral part, as defined by the manufacturer's ATA designation. In the main, therefore, the extended privileges for the HKAR-AMEL 'A' and 'C' certifying technician relate to those ALRUs found in systems covered by ATA Chapters 22, 23, 24, 31, 34 and 45.

NOTE: Replacement of certain ALRUs affects the status of Autoland systems. Unless specifically agreed by the Director-General, Limited Authorisation holders may not certify for Automatic Landing System re-instatement checks and the autoland status must be downgraded until the appropriate checks are performed and certified by an appropriately fully authorised avionic certifying technician.

5.4 The extended privileges conferred via a paragraph 5.2 Limited Authorisation system allow the diagnosis of defects where the checks to determine serviceability do not require anything other than operational checks, simple functional tests or the use of BITE. Defect diagnosis beyond this level is not permissible and would require the involvement of an avionic certifying technician. It should also be noted that these authorisations are limited to those components, including where identified in the relevant aircraft maintenance manuals printed circuit boards or electronic cards, considered to be Line Replacement Units (LRU). This does not permit the adjustment of items supplied as bench tested units or the replacement of internal components within the LRU.

NOTE: The same principles apply to the Category B1 avionic privileges under HKAR-66.

5.5 It is clear that advances in aircraft technology have introduced more comprehensive BITE and centralised fault reporting and diagnostic system (CFDS) to modern aircraft. With such systems, the ability to determine serviceability across a wider range of ALRUs and systems is improved, requiring less use of specialised test equipment or complex procedures. Furthermore where the aircraft makes use of CFDS (or equivalent), the aircraft systems themselves and the Maintenance Manual guide the technician in the diagnosis and rectification process. The benefits of such technology warrant consideration of a wider range of components under the extended privileges. Organisations should therefore, in the development of authorisation systems,
consider the technology level and the use of integrated systems in determining the limits of authorisations on different aircraft types.

5.6 Organisations shall ensure, as for previous Limited Authorisation systems, that the basic knowledge of the individual is adequate prior to authorisation. Where necessary, basic training in avionic system fundamentals and system operation may be required. In relation to the tasks to be authorised, specific training should be carried out on the item and task(s) concerned and should include OJT and classroom system training as appropriate. All training should also include instruction on the use of BITE on individual units, integrated systems and CFDS as applicable.

6 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 14 Appendix No.5 Issue 1, dated 30 June 1999, which should be destroyed.
ACCIDENT, SERIOUS INCIDENT AND MANDATORY OCCURRENCE REPORTING

1 General

This notice gives advice on the reporting of accidents, serious incidents and mandatory occurrences.

2 Aircraft Accident and Serious Incident

2.1 As defined in the Hong Kong Civil Aviation (Investigation of Accidents) Regulations (Chapter 448 Subsidiary Legislation B of Hong Kong Law):

"Accident" means —

(1) An occurrence associated with the operation of an aircraft that takes place after the time any person boards the aircraft with the intention of flight and no later than the time all persons who boarded with that intention have disembarked, if applicable, in which—
   (a) a person is fatally or seriously injured as described in paragraph (2);
   (b) the aircraft sustains structural failure or damage as described in paragraph (3); or
   (c) the aircraft is missing or is completely inaccessible.

(2) For paragraph (1)(a)—
   (a) the death or injury must result from the person’s—
      (i) being in the aircraft;
      (ii) direct contact with any part of the aircraft, including parts that have become detached from the aircraft; or
      (iii) direct exposure to jet blast;
   (b) the death or injury must not be from a natural cause, self-inflicted or inflicted by another person; and
   (c) the person must not be a stowaway hiding outside the areas normally available to the passengers and crew.

(3) For paragraph (1)(b), the failure or damage must—
   (a) adversely affect the structural strength, performance or flight characteristics of the aircraft;
(b) be such as would normally require major repair or replacement of the affected component; and
(c) be none of the following—
   (i) engine failure or damage that is limited to a single engine (including its cowlings or accessories);
   (ii) damage that is limited to propellers, wing tips, antennas, probes, vanes, tires, brakes, wheels, fairings, panels, landing gear doors, windscreens or the aircraft skin (such as small dents or puncture holes);
   (iii) minor damage to main rotor blades, tail rotor blades, landing gear, or resulting from hail or bird strike (including holes in the radome).

"Incident" means an occurrence, other than an accident, associated with the operation of an aircraft that affects or could affect the safety of the operation.

"Serious Incident" means an incident that—
(1) is associated with the operation of an aircraft involving circumstances indicating that there was a high probability of an accident; and
(2) takes place after the time any person boards the aircraft with the intention of flight and no later than the time all persons who boarded with that intention have disembarked;”.

"Serious Injury" means an injury which is sustained by person in an accident and which—
(1) requires hospitalization for more than 48 hours commencing within 7 days from the date on which the injury was received; or
(2) results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes or nose); or
(3) involves lacerations which cause nerve, muscle or tendon damage or severe haemorrhage; or
(4) involves injury to any internal organ; or
(5) involves second or third degree burns or any burns affecting more than 5 per cent of the body surface; or
(6) involves verified exposure to infectious substances or injurious radiation,
and "seriously injured" shall be construed accordingly.

2.2 Aircraft accidents and serious incidents shall be notified in accordance with the procedure laid down in Regulation 5 of the said Regulations.

3 Mandatory Occurrence Reports

3.1 Article 86 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 specifies the provisions under which a person or persons shall make a report to the Chief Executive of any reportable occurrence which is of a description specified in Regulation 16 in Schedule 15 to the said Order.
3.2 These reports, using reporting forms acceptable to the Director-General, shall be forwarded to the Flight Standards and Airworthiness Division within the period specified in paragraph (3) of Regulation 16 in Schedule 15 to the said Order.

3.3 The Director-General encourages the use of company reporting procedures to produce timely and consistent reports using agreed forms. However, this does not absolve a person specified in the Order from making a report if he/she is legally required to do so, should a report not have been submitted by the company.

3.4 CAD Document No. 382 (CAD 382) describes the Civil Aviation Department Mandatory Occurrence Reporting (MOR) Scheme and provides guidance to those personnel who, by the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, are involved in its operation. CAD 382 is available on CAD website http://www.cad.gov.hk.

3.5 To enable assessments to be made by the organisation responsible for: (i) the type design of the aircraft or (ii) the design of the modification when the continuing airworthiness safety issue is associated with a modification, the owner or the lessee of a Hong Kong registered aeroplane over 5700 kg or helicopter over 3150 kg Maximum Total Weight Authorised, shall ensure that information on faults, malfunctions, defects and other occurrences that cause or might cause adverse effects on the continuing airworthiness of the aircraft, in addition to the MOR made to the CAD, is transmitted to the above-mentioned organisations.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 15 Issue 8, dated 31 May 2017, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
THE PROCESS FOR ACCEPTANCE OF USED ENGINES, ENGINE MODULES, AUXILIARY POWER UNITS (APUs) AND PROPELLERS FOR USE ON AIRCRAFT REQUIRING A HONG KONG CERTIFICATE OF AIRWORTHINESS

1 Introduction

1.1 For the purposes of this Notice, engines, engine modules, APUs, and propellers are hereafter referred to as Powerplants.

1.2 Article 8(7) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 states that a Certificate of Airworthiness shall cease to be in force if the aircraft is overhauled, repaired or modified otherwise than in a manner and with material of a type approved by the Director-General.

Similarly, for non Commercial Air Transport, Article 11(5)(a) requires that a Certificate of Release to Service (CRS) be issued when an aircraft has been overhauled, repaired, modified or maintained in a manner and with material of a type approved by the Director-General.

For Commercial Air Transport, HKAR 145.50(a) requires that a CRS be issued when all the required maintenance has been carried out by the HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation in accordance with a HKAR145.70 Maintenance Organisation Exposition.

1.3 For Powerplants obtained from sources not under the direct airworthiness control of the Director-General, this Notice defines a procedure which owners/operators must follow in order to meet the requirements of Article 8(7) and 11(5)(a) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 and HKAR 145.50(a).

1.4 Instructions are also included regarding both pool and lease/loan/power-by-the-hour arrangements and the alignment of maintenance programmes.
2 General Requirements

It must be established by the owner's/operator's HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation or an appropriately type rated Hong Kong Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineer, as appropriate, that:

(a) The Powerplant is of a type approved by the Director-General.

(b) Civil identification plates are fitted.

(c) Log books or their equivalent, as appropriate, are issued.

(d) Original or certified true copies of any relevant documents (e.g. confirming modification standard, test results, etc) arising from construction or previous Hot Section Inspection (H.S.I.)/Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration are provided with the CRS.

(e) The Powerplant is in compliance with all applicable Airworthiness Directives/Additional Airworthiness Directives of the State of Design and the Director-General.

NOTE: In the case of a Pool Powerplant only the Airworthiness Directives of the State of Design are required as a minimum (see paragraph 8).

(f) Any period of storage has been in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations and that the Powerplant has not become unserviceable due to operational abuse, damage or the removal of components.

(g) The hours and cycles accrued of any life limited parts are clearly defined.

(h) All modifications and repairs embodied in the Powerplant have been approved by the Type Certificating Authority of the Powerplant or the Director-General.

3 Alignment of Maintenance Programmes

Powerplant types which, in the Hong Kong operator's maintenance programme are subject to fixed H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration intervals, must have the time remaining to these intervals agreed by the Director-General when the previous operator's maintenance programme does not specify the same shop visit requirements or intervals.
Powerplants with a Joint Aviation Authorities (JAA), European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), Federation Aviation Administration (FAA), Joint Maintenance Management (JMM), Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore (CAAS), Transport Canada (TC) or Civil Aviation Safety Authority Australia (CASA) Authorised Release Document

Note: Pursuant to the Cooperation Arrangement signed between HKCAD, CAAC and AACM, Authorised Release Document under JMM means the Authorised Release Certificate issued by the aforementioned civil aviation authorities. Details can be referred to AN 30.

4.1 Powerplants which meet the requirements of paragraph 2 of this Notice and have not been operated since the last H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration are acceptable for use if received with an Authorised Release Document as defined in paragraphs 4.3 to 4.9 of Appendix 1 to Airworthiness No. 17.

4.2 If the Powerplant satisfies all of the requirements of paragraphs 2 and 4.1 of this Notice, then the following statement, signed by the person issuing the CRS must be entered into the appropriate log book:

'Part .............. S/N .............. has been accepted under procedures complying with Airworthiness Notice No.16, paragraphs 2 and 4'.

5 Powerplants Operated under the Airworthiness Control of the JAA, EASA, FAA, JMM, CAAS, TC or CASA since Last H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration

5.1 Powerplants which meet the requirements of paragraph 2 and the Authorised Release Document requirements of paragraph 4 of this Notice, but which have been operated since last H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration, will be acceptable for use provided that:

(a) A serviceability statement is obtained from the previous operator declaring any restrictions in hours or cycles relating to inspection, lubrication, replacement or overhaul as necessary to maintain the airworthiness of the Powerplant.

(b) All defects have been rectified or recorded.

(c) The Powerplant has been maintained to a JAA, EASA, FAA, JMM, CAAS, TC or CASA approved maintenance programme.

Note: Approved maintenance programme under JMM means the AN-16 P.3 31 July 2014
maintenance programmes approved by HKCAD, CAAC or AACM.

5.2 If the Powerplant satisfies all of the requirements in paragraphs 2 and 5.1 of this Notice, then the following statement, signed by the person issuing the CRS, must be entered into the appropriate log book:

'Part .............. S/N .............. has been accepted under procedures complying with Airworthiness Notice No.16, paragraphs 2 and 5.'

6 Powerplants from Other Sources or Those Requiring Further Substantiation

6.1 This paragraph applies when any of the following circumstances exist:

(a) It is not possible to satisfactorily confirm the origin, traceability or serviceability of the Powerplant.

(b) The Powerplant is obtained without a JAA, EASA, FAA, JMM, CAAS, TC or CASA Authorised Release Document (as described in paragraph 4 of this Notice).

(c) The Powerplant has not been under the airworthiness control of the JAA, EASA, FAA, JMM, CAAS, TC or CASA operator since the last H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration.

(d) The Powerplant does not meet all of the requirements of paragraph 2.

NOTE: Owners and operators are advised to review the requirements of paragraphs 6.2 and 6.4 of this Notice prior to entering into a commercial agreement to purchase a Powerplant.

6.2 The owner's or operator's HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation or an appropriately type rated Hong Kong Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineer, as appropriate, must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Director-General the Powerplant's acceptability, taking into account the following:

(a) The details of any un-approved modifications and repairs which have been embodied in the Powerplant.

(b) Confirmation that military Powerplants which are similar to a civil equivalent have been modified to comply with civil requirements in conjunction with the manufacturer in each particular case, unless agreed otherwise with the Director-General.

(c) Confirmation that the last H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration was undertaken to a specification, and by an organisation
or person, acceptable to the Director-General.

(d) A statement certifying serviceability (i.e. an Authorised Release Document or equivalent), issued by either the last H.S.I./Refurbishment/Overhaul/Performance Restoration organisation or the previous operator's maintenance organisation, as appropriate. This organisation must be appropriately authorised by its national airworthiness authority to make such a statement. Alternatively, a statement certifying serviceability issued by the appropriate national airworthiness authority may be acceptable.

6.3 If the Director-General accepts the Powerplant, the following statement, signed by a CAD Airworthiness Officer, must be entered into the appropriate log book:

'Part .............. S/N .............. has been accepted by the Director-General in accordance with Airworthiness Notice No.16, paragraphs 2 and 6.2.'

6.4 If the serviceability cannot be adequately established then the Powerplant must be dismantled and inspected.

A suitably approved HKAR-145 maintenance organisation or an appropriately type rated Hong Kong Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineer, must dismantle and inspect the Poweplant. The manufacturer's recommendations must be used as the basis of the work scope for this activity, which must be sufficient to determine if either of the declarations below can be made. Rectification action must be taken where necessary.

If it cannot be established that the records are accurate and complete, all life limited parts must be scrapped. In addition, the applicant must make reference to the Director-General for a decision on whether any other parts should be scrapped in the absence of satisfactory records.

6.5 If serviceability is established, one of the following statements, signed by the HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation or the appropriately type rated Hong Kong Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineer, as applicable, must be entered into the appropriate log book, either:

'Part .............. S/N .............. has been examined in accordance with Airworthiness Notice No.16 paragraph 6.4, and no evidence of operational abuse, inadequate maintenance or unsuitable storage has been revealed.'

or

'Part .............. S/N .............. has been examined in accordance with Airworthiness Notice No.16 paragraph 6.4, and appropriate action has
been taken to restore serviceability.'

7  Lease/Loan/Power-By-The-Hour Powerplants

7.1 When a Powerplant is obtained on a long-term lease, loan or 'power-by-the-hour' arrangement from a supplier who is either (a) the original manufacturer, or (b) a JAA, EASA, FAA, JMM, CAAS, TC or CASA approved maintenance organisation or repair station defined in paragraph 4 of this Notice, then the operator must confirm that:

(a) The Powerplant complies with the requirements of paragraph 2.

(b) The supplier has issued a serviceability statement declaring any restrictions in hours or cycles relating to inspection, lubrication, replacement or overhaul as necessary to maintain the airworthiness of the Powerplant.

(c) The Powerplant has been maintained to either the manufacturer's maintenance programme, or a JAA, EASA, FAA, JMM, CAAS, TC or CASA approved maintenance programme.

(d) All defects have been rectified or recorded.

7.2 The following statement, signed by the person issuing the CRS for the Powerplant, must be entered into the appropriate log book:

'TPart .............. S/N ............ has been accepted under procedures complying with Airworthiness Notice No.16 paragraph 7.'

8  Pool Powerplants

8.1 A 'Pool' Powerplant, interchanged between certain operators on a temporary basis (limited to a maximum of 200 hours), is permitted provided:

(a) The conditions relating to airworthiness which apply to the pooling agreement are laid down in advance by the operator, agreed by the Director-General and lodged permanently in the operator's Maintenance Management Exposition. These conditions require consideration of not only the history of the Powerplant but also the acceptability of the source of the H.S.L/Refurbishment/Repair/Overhaul/Performance Restoration where this is other than by the pool partner.

(b) The Powerplant is in compliance with all applicable Airworthiness Directives of the State of Design.
(c) The Hong Kong operator obtains from the previous operator a signed statement certifying the Powerplant is airworthy when released on loan, declaring any restrictions in cycles or hours, etc., relating to inspection, lubrication, replacement or overhaul as necessary to maintain the airworthiness of the Powerplant during the period of loan.

8.2 The following statement, signed by the person issuing the CRS for the Powerplant, must be entered into the appropriate log book:

'Part ............... S/N ............. has been accepted under procedures complying with Airworthiness Notice No.16 paragraph 8.'

9 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.16 Issue 10, dated 31 January 2010, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
1 Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to provide guidance on the acceptance of aircraft components to the persons issuing the Certificates of Release to Service for the installation of the components such that responsibilities under the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 may be satisfied in a manner acceptable to the Director-General.

1.2 Where the criteria in the Airworthiness Notices referred to in Appendix No.2 is at variance with this Notice, then the relevant Appendix No.2 Notice shall be complied with.

2 Introduction

2.1 The Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 prescribes that an aircraft registered in Hong Kong, for which a Certificate of Airworthiness is in force, must not fly unless a Certificate of Release to Service has been issued in accordance with the Order if the aircraft or any part of the aircraft or such of its equipment as is necessary for the airworthiness of the aircraft has been overhauled, repaired, replaced, modified, maintained, or has been inspected as provided in the Order, as the case may be.

NOTE: The Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 also requires a Certificate of Release to Service to be issued for radio and certain specified equipment.

2.2 The Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 further prescribes that the Certificate of Release to Service will certify that the overhaul, repair, replacement, modification or maintenance, as the case may be, has been carried out in a manner and with material of a type approved by the Director-General either generally or in relation to a class of aircraft or the particular aircraft. The Certificate must identify the task to which it relates and must include particulars of the work done. The foregoing requirements also apply to the inspection specified in an Approved Maintenance Schedule and Mandatory Inspections.

2.3 Where an aircraft component is replaced with a newly manufactured (unused) component, the Authorised Release Certificate issued with the component by the manufacturer may form the basis for the issue of the required Certificate of
Release to Service and, therefore, the fitness of a correctly identified component to be installed on an aircraft.

2.4 It follows that the person issuing the Certificate of Release to Service for the installation of an aircraft component must be satisfied that its overhaul, repair, modification or inspection was carried out in a manner and with material of a type approved by the Director-General, or that the component is new. The following paragraphs provide guidance on how this can be established.

Note: Authorised Release Document accepted under this Airworthiness Notice and its appendices are only for the purpose of maintenance or manufacturing of component and do not constitute the approval of design data. Modifications or repairs that consist of design approval recorded on the Authorised Release Document are subject to approval by the Director-General under HKAR-21.

3 Definition

For the purpose of this Airworthiness Notice the following definitions apply:-

(a) **Aircraft Component** means any part of an aircraft including a complete powerplant and any operational or emergency equipment.

(b) **Standard Parts** A part is considered as a standard part where it is designated as such by the design approval holder responsible for the product, part or appliance in which the part is intended to be used.

In order to be considered a standard part, all design, manufacturing, inspection data and marking requirements necessary to demonstrate conformance of that part must be in the public domain and published as part of a national or international specification.

Note: Parts which are the subject of specific product or equipment approvals such as Technical Standard Orders (TSO), Joint Technical Standard Orders (JTSO) or European Technical Standard Orders (ETSO) are not considered as standard parts.

When designating a standard part, the design approval holder should ensure that the effect on the design of any manufacturing tolerances within the specification are fully taken into account in the intended application. If it is found necessary to apply additional qualification or selection criteria over and above the published specification in order to satisfy the intended design requirements (such as enhanced levels of inspection, burn-in, or environmental tests etc.) then the design approval holder should allocate its own part number reference and such parts cannot be considered as standard parts.

(c) **Certifying Person** is a person appropriately authorised in accordance with the Exposition of an Approved organisation, or an appropriately Type Rated HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence holder who issues the Certificate of Release to Service for a complete aircraft, or the installation of a component(s)
Part-used Components are parts or equipment which have a defined overhaul, inspection or finite (retirement) life, which have been previously installed in an aircraft, or by virtue of the expiry of a calendar period, have been used for a known proportion of their available working life.

4 Certifying Person - Responsibilities in Respect of Aircraft Components from Sources Acceptable to the Director-General

4.1 The Certifying Person is entitled to accept aircraft components which have been either manufactured, overhauled, repaired, modified or inspected by organisations approved by the Director-General for the purpose or by an organisation acceptable to the Director-General and have been released on the correct certification documentation. Appendix No.1 lists the approved and accepted organisations.

4.1.1 Part-used components which have been overhauled, repaired, modified or inspected must be accompanied by release documentation pertaining to the life used and the maintenance/build history except where the component was submitted for overhaul, repair, modification or inspection by the Certifying Person already holding such records.

4.2 The Certifying Person must ensure that the component is to the required design and modification standard for fitment. This may be determined by reference to the aircraft, engine or propeller manufacturers parts catalogue as appropriate. Care must also be exercised in ensuring compliance with applicable Airworthiness Directives and the status of any life limited parts fitted to the aircraft component.

4.3 The Certifying Person is responsible for ensuring that the component is in a satisfactory condition for fitment and that all required functional and installation checks are carried out to ensure the particular system serviceability or structural integrity.

5 Certifying Person - Responsibilities in Respect of Aircraft Components From Sources other than those Specified in Paragraph 4

5.1 Extreme caution must be exercised by the Certifying Person before acceptance of components which have been manufactured, overhauled, modified, repaired or inspected by an organisation not specified in Appendix No.1.

5.1.1 Unless specifically exempted by the Director-General in a particular case, such an aircraft component must be dismantled sufficiently to establish satisfactory condition and any necessary replacement of life limited parts, and that the component is to the required design and modification standard. Only parts listed in the Equipment Maintenance Manual parts list may be fitted. The issue of a component Certificate of Release to Service, after all certification
requirements have been completed on reassembly by an organisation listed in Appendix No.1, is thus permitted. Life-limited parts do not need to be replaced when satisfactory evidence of life used is available and such parts are in a satisfactory condition. (See also paragraph 4.2.)

5.1.2 The requirements of sub-paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 must also be satisfied before the Certifying Person may issue the Certificate of Release to Service for installation of the component in the aircraft.

5.2 Aircraft Component Distributors

Distributors provide an essential service in the supply of aircraft components and whilst the Certifying Person remains responsible for acceptance of the aircraft component, the Distributor can provide good support to the Certifying Person by compliance with paragraph 5.2.1 and providing reasonable access to enable paragraph 5.2.2 to be satisfied.

5.2.1 Aircraft Component Distributors are not required to be approved by the Director-General and when acting in the Distributor role are not required to possess the necessary technical expertise to establish the status of aircraft components. It follows that Distributors must use Appendix No.1 organisations if they wish Certifying Persons to accept such components with a minimum of investigation. Where a Distributor uses an Appendix No.1 source, it is acceptable for Distributor documentation to be endorsed:

'The aircraft components identified above have been obtained from or maintained by a CAD AN 17 Appendix No. 1 source.'

When the source utilised by the Distributors is not listed in Appendix No.1, then paragraph 5.1.1 must be complied with by the user to verify the condition of the component.

5.2.2 It is necessary for the Certifying Person to establish confidence that the Distributor can demonstrate traceability to an Appendix No.1 organisation. Whilst the Certifying Person may demand source authentication in all cases to satisfy the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 it is reasonable, as an alternative and subject to the approval of the Director-General, for the Certifying Person to establish a frequent and random sampling system of source authentication combined with an on-receipt inspection and internal feedback on the in-service performance of aircraft components acquired from Distributors. The Director-General, in carrying out its audit of the Certifying Person, may also require evidence of source authentication in a particular case.

5.3 Aircraft components obtained from another Operator or Maintenance Organisation

31 July 2014 AN-17 P.4
When an aircraft is grounded at a location other than the main line station or main maintenance base due to the non-availability of an aircraft component with the appropriate release certificate, it is permissible to temporarily fit an aircraft component without the appropriate release certificate for a maximum of 30 flight hours or until the aircraft first returns to the main line station or main maintenance base, whichever is the sooner, subject to the aircraft operator agreement and said component having a suitable serviceable tag but otherwise in compliance with CAD 360 Air Operator's Certificate Requirements Document and all other HKAR-145 requirements. Such aircraft components must be removed by the specified time unless an appropriate release certificate has been obtained in the meantime.

Aircraft components from the above sources which are then sold surplus to requirements must be accompanied by the original Certification Documentation and maintenance records, as appropriate. Where the original Certification Documentation is not available, the maintenance organisation may issue a CAD Form One (if approved for the maintenance of the particular component by the Director-General) endorsed 'Inspected', but it must be remembered that the organisation issuing this CAD Form One bears full responsibility for ensuring the original organisation was an Appendix No. 1 organisation.

6 The Director-General is aware that some Distributors are using a release form which is almost identical to the Authorised Release Certificate JAA Form One / FAA 8130-3 / CAD Form One, but omitting the reference to the Regulatory Authority and Authorisation. Such documents are not acceptable alternatives to the JAA Form One/FAA 8130-3 / CAD Form One.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 17 Issue 8, dated 31 January 2010, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-17 P.5 31 July 2014
The following organisations are considered to be acceptable sources for aircraft components when certifying work within the scope of their approval or authorisation.

Authorised Release Document is required for any aircraft component which is to be installed in an aircraft, except that it is not required for standard parts as defined in Airworthiness Notice No. 17.

Unless otherwise specified, Authorised Release Document must be in accordance with the particular National Aviation Authority (NAA) requirements and completed in English.

**Newly Manufactured Components (Exclude Parts Manufacturer Approval Components)**

- **2.1** Any organisation approved by the Director-General in accordance with HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-2 or HKAR-21 Subpart G which releases the aircraft component on the Authorised Release Certificate, CAD Form One (see HKAR-2), however, where such task was certified prior to 1 July 1989, the CAD Approved Certificate may be used until further notice.

- **2.2** Organisations located in Australia and appropriately approved under Civil Aviation Safety Authority Australia (CASA) with CASA Form 1

- **2.3** Organisations located in Canada and appropriately approved under Transport Canada (TC) with TCCA Form One (previously Form 24-0078).

- **2.4** Organisations located either in or outside the European Union and approved under EASA Part 21, with EASA Form 1 issued under the terms of their respective Approval.

- **2.5** Organisations approved under JAR-21 with JAA Form One issued before 28 September 2005.

- **2.6** Organisations located in the United States of America (USA) and appropriately approved by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), which arrange for the release of aircraft component on FAA Form 8130-3 Authorised Release Certificate / Airworthiness Approval Tag. Further information regarding the use of FAA Form 8130-3 is contained in FAA Order 8130.21H or later revisions.
2.7 Some aircraft components may be manufactured by organisations that do not fall within the foregoing group classifications. Where the organisation is the original manufacturer, the Director-General may be prepared to permit acceptance of such aircraft components without the foregoing release documentation, subject to the organisation being under the control of the aircraft, engine or propeller Type Certificate holder and authorised by the Primary National Aviation Authority for that particular purpose at the time that the component was manufactured.

NOTE: The Primary National Aviation Authority is that of the country of the Type Certificate holder.

3. Parts Manufacturer Approval (PMA) Components

3.1 Any Organisation approved by the Director-General in accordance with HKAR-21 Subpart K which releases the aircraft Parts Manufacturer Approval (PMA) component on the Authorised Release Certificate, CAD Form One (see HKAR-2, chapter 31).

3.2 The Director-General's position regarding FAA-PMA (Parts Manufacturer Approval of FAR 21.303) parts is that a company can accept all non-critical PMA components and all PMA 'licence' components (i.e. with the permission of the Design Holder to make the part) using an appropriate FAA 8130-3 release and without further conditions being imposed. Other critical PMA components may be accepted with an appropriate Form 8130-3 release, providing that they are for fitment to an aircraft, engine or propeller where the FAA is the authority of the State of Design, or with prior authorisation from the Director-General, where the PMA component is manufactured with the permission of the Type Certificate / Supplemental Type Certificate holder.

Critical means a part for which the failure analysis shows that hazardous effects, or worse, are not to occur at a rate in excess of extremely remote. This can also include parts for which a replacement time, inspection interval, or related procedure is specified in the Airworthiness Limitations section of the manufacturer's maintenance manual or Instructions for Continued Airworthiness.

3.3 Under the Cooperation Arrangement on Mutual Acceptance of Parts Manufacturer Approvals (PMA) between Civil Aviation Administration of China (CAAC) and CAD, the Director-General accept all non-critical CAAC-PMA parts manufactured from organisations located in mainland China provided that the part is released in the form of CAAC Form AAC-038.
Further information regarding the Cooperation Arrangement and the Schedule of Implementation Procedures for PMA can be found in HKCAD website: http://www.cad.gov.hk

4 Maintained (Used) Components

NOTE: For the purposes of this Appendix 'Maintenance' means any one or combination of overhaul, repair, inspection, modification or defect rectification of an aircraft component.

4.1 Any organisation approved by the Director-General in accordance with BCAR Chapter A8-3 which releases the aircraft component on the Authorised Release Certificate, CAD Form One (see HKAR-2) for aircraft components which have been maintained except that where such tasks were certified prior to 1 July 1989, the Authorised Release Certificate may be used until further notice (see HKAR-145).

4.2 Any Organisation approved by the Director-General in accordance with HKAR-145 for the maintenance of components, i.e. with an appropriate approval in Rating 'B' and/or 'C'.

4.3 Any Organisation appropriately approved by the NAA of any member of the Joint Aviation Authorities (JAA) where the NAA has complied with JAA requirements for the grant of JAR-145 approval and the NAA procedures have been the subject of a satisfactory audit by the JAA Maintenance Standardisation Team (MAST), and with the Authorised Release Certificate, JAA Form One, issued before 28 November 2004.

4.4 Organisations located in Australia and appropriately approved under Civil Aviation Safety Authority Australia (CASA) with Authorised Release Certificate CASA Form 1.

4.5 Organisations located either in or outside the European Union and approved under EASA Part 145, with EASA Form 1 issued under the terms of their Approval.

4.6 Any repair station appropriately certificated under FAR Part 145 located in the USA which releases the aircraft component on the FAA Form 8130-3 Authorised Release Certificate / Airworthiness Approval Tag.

4.7 Any organisation recognised under the Cooperation Arrangement of Mutual Acceptance of Approval of Aircraft Maintenance Organisation between CAAC, CAD and AACM (detailed in Airworthiness Notice No. 30) and appropriately approved in the aircraft component rating(s). Reference should be made to the CAD website http://www.cad.gov.hk for the list of recognised maintenance organisations.

All aircraft components must be released on an Authorised Release Document. The Authorised Release Document from recognised organisations approved by
CAAC should be Form AAC-038 and the document may be completed in Chinese. The Authorised Release Document from recognised organisations approved by AACM should be AACM Form One.

4.8 Under the Technical Arrangement on Aviation Maintenance between the CAD and the Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore (CAAS) (detailed in Airworthiness Notice No. 30A), any organisation located in Singapore and appropriately approved in the aircraft component rating(s) by CAAS. Reference should be made to the CAAS website http://www.caas.gov.sg for the list of recognised maintenance organisations.

All aircraft components must be released on an Authorised Release Certificate, Form CAAS(AW)95.

4.9 Under the Technical Arrangement on Aircraft Maintenance between the Transport Canada Civil Aviation Directorate (TCCA) and the Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong (detailed in Airworthiness Notice No. 30B), any organisation located in Canada and appropriately approved in the aircraft component rating(s) by TCCA. Reference should be made to the CAD website http://www.cad.gov.hk for the list of recognised maintenance organisations.

All aircraft components must be released on an Authorised Release Certificate TCCA Form One (previously Form 24-0078).

4.10 Some aircraft components may be maintained by organisations that do not fall within the foregoing group classifications. Where the organisation is also the original manufacturer, the Director-General is prepared to permit acceptance of such aircraft components without the foregoing release documentation, subject to the organisation being under the control of the aircraft or engine or propeller Type Certificate holder and is authorised by the Primary National Aviation Authority for that particular purpose at the time that the component was maintained.

NOTE: The Primary National Aviation Authority is that of the country of the Type Certificate holder.

5 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 17 Appendix No. 1 Issue 15, dated 30 November 2011, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-17 A1 P.4 31 July 2014
Specific guidance on particular aircraft component sources can be found in the following:

Airworthiness Notice No. 16  The Process for Acceptance of Used Engines, Engine Modules, Auxiliary Power Units (APUs) and Propellers for Use on Aircraft Requiring a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness.

Airworthiness Notice No. 19  The Problem of Bogus Parts.

Airworthiness Notice No. 39  The Selection and Procurement of Electronic Components.

Airworthiness Notice No. 97  Return to Service of Aircraft Items Recovered From Aircraft involved in Accidents/Incidents and Unserviceable Aircraft.

Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.17 Appendix No.2 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO  
Director-General of Civil Aviation
ACCEPTANCE OF AERONAUTICAL PRODUCTS

1 Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to specify the Special Requirements that shall be met when importing civil aeronautical Class I, II and III products to the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR).

2 Definition

2.1 For the purpose of this Airworthiness Notice the following definitions apply:

(a) Class I Product means a complete aircraft, aircraft engine, or propeller.

(b) Class II Product means a major component of an aircraft, aircraft engine, or propeller, the failure of which would jeopardize the safety of a Class I product; or any part, material, or appliance, approved and manufactured under the Hong Kong Technical Standard Order (HTSO) system in the “C” series.

(c) Class III Product means any part or component that is not a Class I or Class II product and includes standards parts.

(d) Standard Parts are defined in Airworthiness Notice No. 17.

3 Acceptance Of Design For Imported Aeronautical Products

3.1 The Civil Aviation Department of HKSAR (CAD) will require the information necessary to become conversant with the design of aircraft intended for the issue of a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness. CAD may then issue Additional Requirements to cover certain features that would otherwise not meet the standards and that are implicit to HKAR-1, HKAR-21 and the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

3.2 Aircraft that are type certificated by the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) or the United States Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) are
accepted by CAD as in full compliance with the basic certification of the Hong Kong airworthiness standards.

3.3 An aircraft engine or propeller that is part of the aircraft is accepted by CAD and requires no further approval when listed on the aircraft’s EASA or FAA approved type certificate data sheet.

3.4 An aircraft engine or propeller that is not part of an offered aircraft may require a preliminary CAD investigation to establish the standards for Hong Kong certification and, where necessary, any Additional Requirements that may apply.

3.5 An appliance approved under an EASA European Technical Standard Order (ETSO) or FAA Technical Standard Order (TSO) will be accepted provided it is accompanied by the documents specified in Paragraph 4.2 of this Notice.

3.6 A replacement or modification part produced under an FAA Parts Manufacturer Approval (PMA) will be accepted provided it satisfies Airworthiness Notice No. 17 and is accompanied by the documents specified in Paragraph 4.3 of this Notice.

3.7 Noise certification. CAD acknowledges that the FAA does not issue a separate noise or emission certificate. Noise certification is accomplished as part of the aircraft type certification as stated on the FAA aircraft type certificate data sheet. Any change in the product’s type design that effects noise or emissions will require re-substantiation of the noise and emission data.

4 Acceptance of Aeronautical Products

4.1 Class I Products

4.1.1 New Aircraft

4.1.1.1 Each imported aircraft of a type/model/series validated/accepted by CAD must be accompanied by the following documents:

(a) Export Certificate of Airworthiness (Export C of A). The year of manufacture and serial number of the aircraft, as well as the serial number for each aircraft engine and/or propeller, must be stated on the Export C of A.

(b) Statement of non-registration or de-registration.

(c) *A copy of the type certificate and type certificate data sheet.
(d) Statement of Build Standard and Letter of Definition / Letter of Conformity.

(e) A listing of all incorporated Supplemental Type Certificates (STC) for approved major modifications.

(f) A listing of all customer options (modification, equipment, service bulletins) incorporated.


(h) List of applicable and incorporated Airworthiness Directives, to include:

(1) A declaration of compliance with all Airworthiness Directives issued by the State of Design, and where optional means of compliance are offered, the means chosen shall be stated; and

(2) Identification of Airworthiness Directives containing repetitive compliance requirements. Information as to when the next compliance is due must also be provided.

(i) List of incorporated Service Bulletins as part of the aircraft records retained.

(j) Service Life & Time Limits Manual.

(k) * Master Minimum Equipment List.

(l) Current weight and balance report.

(m) Aircraft/engine/propeller/APU logbooks.

(n) Production flight test report, if available.

(o) A list of defects to be rectified by the HKSAR operator at the time of issue of the Export C of A, if any.

(p) ** Seating configuration approval document, where appropriate.

(q) *** Maintenance Review Board Report, where applicable.

(r) Electrical Load Analysis Report.
Manuals including:

2. Weight and balance loading procedures.
9. Structural Significant Items, where applicable.
11. Illustrated Parts Catalog.

Record of compass system and magnetic compass swings.

Record of rigging checks.

Detailed list of radio equipment constituting the radio station.

List of serial number of significant component parts.

Antenna performance pattern, when available.

Software criticality list.

* Noise type certificate.

List of manufacturing concessions/deviations.

Declaration of Mode 'S' code.

Declaration of emergency locator transmitter code (406 MHz).

Declaration of SELCAL code.

Flight data recorder/cockpit voice recorder
4.1.2 Used Aircraft

4.1.2.1 In addition to the requirements in 4.1.1.1 (a) through (gg) above, the following is required for used aircraft:

(a) Maintenance records (historical records, logbooks, or equivalent).

(b) Record of most recent altimeter and transponder tests and inspection.

(c) ** The maintenance program to which the aircraft has previously been maintained including:

   (1) Previous check cycle.

   (2) Future check cycle.

   (3) Certification Maintenance Requirements status and compliance, as applicable.

(d) ** Component overhaul life summary, including details of service life remaining and modification standards.

(e) ** Compliance with structural inspection program and corrosion prevention and control program. This should include details of any structure-sampling program in which the aircraft has been included, together with details of the aircraft position in the program.

(f) Modification status report and repair records.

(g) Operational approval status and compliance, such as Reduced Vertical Separation Minima, Required Navigation Performance, All Weather Operation and Extended Range Twin Operation status.
Note: ** Normally only required for aircraft over 2,730 kg (6,000 lbs.) in Public Transport Category.

4.1.3 Aircraft Engine or Propeller (not installed on an aircraft)

4.1.3.1 Each imported new or used aircraft engine or propeller not installed on an aircraft shall be accompanied by the following documents:

(a) An Export C of A or an Authorised Release Document as defined in AN16 paragraph 4.

(b) A list of all incorporated STC for approved major modifications.

(c) A list of applicable and incorporated Airworthiness Directives, to include:

1. A declaration of compliance with all Airworthiness Directives issued by the State of Design, and where optional means of compliance are offered, the means chosen shall be stated; and

2. Identification of State of Design Airworthiness Directives containing repetitive compliance requirements. Information as to when the next compliance is due must also be provided.

(d) A list of incorporated Service Bulletins.

(e) Identification of life limited parts.

(f) Maintenance records (historical records, logbooks, or equivalent) as applicable.

4.2 Class II Products

4.2.1 The following documents are required for each imported new or used Class II product:

(a) Documents specified in Airworthiness Notice No. 17.

(b) List of incorporated Airworthiness Directives, as applicable.

(c) List of incorporated Service Bulletins, as applicable.

(d) Maintenance related documents and repair records, if applicable.
(e) Record of life limited parts, if applicable.

4.3 Class III Products

4.3.1 Documents specified in Airworthiness Notice No. 17 are required for imported Class III products.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 17A Issue 1, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO  
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-17A P.7  
31 January 2010
1 Introduction

1.1 The Director-General has published the Hong Kong Aviation Requirements HKAR-21 entitled "Certification of Aircraft and Related Products, Parts and Appliances, and of Design and Production Organisations".

1.2 The Director-General has published the Hong Kong Aviation Requirements HKAR-183 entitled "Representatives of the Director-General".

1.3 HKAR-21 and HKAR-183 supersede some requirements regarding certification in Hong Kong Aviation Requirements HKAR-1 entitled "Airworthiness Procedures".

1.4 The purpose of this Notice is to prescribe the implementation plan to phase in the certification activities under HKAR-21 and HKAR-183 and phase out the corresponding certification activities under HKAR-1 in an orderly manner.

2 Phase-In of HKAR-21/HKAR-183

2.1 HKAR-21

2.1.1 HKAR-21 has become effective on 1 February 2007.

2.1.2 Approvals for “Certification of Aircraft and Related Products, Parts and Appliances, and of Design and Production Organisations” under HKAR-21 may be applied for on or after the effective date.

2.2 HKAR-183

2.2.1 HKAR-183 has become effective on 21 March 2012.

2.2.2 Approvals for “Representatives of the Director-General” under HKAR-183 may be applied for on or after the effective date.

3 Phase-Out of HKAR-1
3.1 Classification of Certification Activities under HKAR-1

For the purpose of this Notice, certification activities under HKAR-1 are classified as Class A or Class Z as shown in Table 1. As Class A certification activities are covered in HKAR-21 or HKAR-183, these activities are to be phased out. Class Z certification activities are not covered in HKAR-21 or HKAR-183 yet and hence they will be dealt with in a later stage.

Note: Certification activities under HKAR-1 referred in this Notice include the approval of design and manufacture of aeronautical products, the approval of organisations, and the activities for approval of other airworthiness functions.

3.2 New Applications for Approvals for Certification Activities under HKAR-1

3.2.1 New applications for Class A approvals under HKAR-1 will no longer be accepted.

3.2.2 (Deleted)

3.2.3 New applications for Class Z approvals under HKAR-1 will continue to be accepted until further notice.

3.3 Certification Activities Privileges Exercised by HKAR-1 Approved Organisations

3.3.1 Organisations holding HKAR-1 approvals shall no longer exercise their privileges for Class A activities.

3.3.2 (Deleted)

3.3.3 (Deleted)

3.3.4 (Deleted)

3.3.5 (Deleted)

3.3.6 Organisations holding HKAR-1 approvals may continue to exercise their privileges for Class Z activities until further notice.

3.4 (Deleted)

3.5 Approval of Modifications and Repairs

3.5.1 New application for approval of modifications and/or repairs shall be made under relevant subparts of HKAR-21.

3.5.2 Approval of modifications and/or repairs issued under HKAR-1 before 5 August 2011 remains valid. Embodiment of these modifications
and repairs is not allowed on or after 31 July 2018.

Note: Modifications and/or repairs embodied before 31 July 2018 are not affected.

4 **Surrender of HKAR-1 Organisation Approvals**

HKAR-1 Organisation Approvals will be revoked upon issuance of corresponding approvals for Certification of Design and/or Production Organisations under HKAR-21 or Representatives of the Director-General under HKAR-183. HKAR-1 organisation approval certificates shall be returned to the Director-General for cancellation within 30 days.

5 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 18 Issue 3, dated 31 May 2012, which should be destroyed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Sub-section</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>General – Categories of Aircraft</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approval of the Type Design</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Certificates of Airworthiness and other Provisions for Legal Flight</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Design and Manufacture of Products other than Aircraft</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continued Airworthiness – Responsibilities of the Type Design Organisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-2</td>
<td>Maintenance Review Board</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-3</td>
<td>Maintenance, Overhaul and Repair Manuals</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-4</td>
<td>Weight and Balance of Aircraft</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-6</td>
<td>Mandatory Modifications and Inspections: Procedures for Classifications</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-7</td>
<td>Master Minimum Equipment Lists</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5-8</td>
<td>Mandatory Action on Aircraft Operating in accordance with a Permit to Fly</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continued Airworthiness – Responsibilities of the Operator</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Procedures for the Approval of Documents and Manuals for Operation and Maintenance of Aircraft</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approvals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-1</td>
<td>(Deleted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-2</td>
<td>(Deleted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-3</td>
<td>(Deleted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-4</td>
<td>(Deleted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-5</td>
<td>(Deleted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-6</td>
<td>Test Houses Group B4</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-8</td>
<td>(Deleted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-9</td>
<td>Organisations – Approval for Flight under ‘B’ Conditions</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-10</td>
<td>Approval of Welders</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-11</td>
<td>Procedures for Approval of Organisations Concerned with Radiographic Inspection of Aircraft during maintenance and Overhaul</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-12</td>
<td>Procedures for Approval of Organisations Concerned with Ultrasonic Inspection of Aircraft during maintenance and Overhaul</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-13</td>
<td>Aircraft and Aircraft Component Maintenance Organisations</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-14</td>
<td>Approval of Organisations to Recommend Certificate of Airworthiness Renewal of Aeroplanes &amp; Rotorcraft Above 2730 Kg MTWA</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8-15</td>
<td>Aeroplanes and Rotorcraft Not Exceeding 2730 KG – Maintenance Organisation – Group M3</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Classification of HKAR-1 Certification Activities**
THE PROBLEM OF BOGUS PARTS

1  Introduction

1.1  The Director-General is aware of the worldwide concern about the quantity and variety of unapproved parts which are finding their way on to aircraft, in particular helicopters. These counterfeit and/or fraudulently identified parts are being imported from various sources.

1.2  Installing bogus parts onto aircraft has serious airworthiness implications. To illustrate just how serious, the following two examples are quoted:

(a)  A helicopter main rotor blade complete with release documentation was traced as having been scrapped by the manufacturer during the manufacturing process.

(b)  An engine mount described as fitted new to an aircraft in 1979 was traced as having been factory installed in 1966.

2  Unapproved Part

2.1  For the purpose of this Notice an unapproved part is a part or material intended for installation on a type certificated product/aircraft, which has been neither manufactured according to approved procedures, nor conforms to an approved type design; or it fails to conform to declared specifications or accepted industry standards (i.e. standard parts).

2.2  Unapproved parts include, but are not limited to:

(a)  Parts specified in the Illustrated Parts Catalogues (IPC) of a type certificated aircraft, but which have been manufactured, reclaimed or reworked and then marked by an unauthorised source and provided with documents which indicate falsely that the part(s) are genuine and conform to the approved type design, or meet a particular industry standard and are offered for use as conforming with an aircraft manufacturers authorised IPC.
(b) Parts shipped directly to users by, manufacturers, suppliers, or distributors who do not themselves hold appropriate production approvals for the parts, and have not been authorised to make direct shipments to users or stockists, by the type certificate holder, who alone has production approval e.g. production overruns.

(c) Parts which have not been maintained, overhauled or repaired in accordance with the requirements of approved airworthiness data and/or statutory requirements, or that have been maintained, overhauled or repaired by persons not authorised to perform and certify these functions.

3 Parts Originating from the Surplus United States Military Stock

3.1 The United States Department of Defence (DOD) has a programme called 'BREAKOUT'. Under this programme the DOD use manufacturers' approved drawings, obtained under the terms of production contracts with the original equipment manufacturer and seek bids from anyone who wishes to make the parts.

3.2 The suppliers of the BREAKOUT parts may not have the stringent quality controls that are required by the aircraft/component type certificate holder to satisfy FAA requirements. For example, periodic conformity inspections and destructive tests to assure the continued quality of the product may not have been undertaken.

3.3 The United States government may also substitute military specifications in lieu of originally approved material and process specifications, thereby developing parts that do not conform to the FAA approved civil type design.

4 FAA Suspect Unapproved Parts Notifications

4.1 The FAA has intensified efforts to educate the public regarding the potential safety threat posed by aeronautical parts that do not meet applicable design, manufacturing or maintenance requirements. To achieve this, the FAA established a Suspect Unapproved Parts programme (Sups) and issued guidance in an Advisory Circular 21-29C.

4.2 Suspect Unapproved Parts Notifications can be found on FAA Internet site: "www.faa.gov/aircraft/safety/programs/sups/".

5 Mandatory Occurrence Reporting Procedures
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5.1 Users of aircraft components and spares are reminded that suspected unapproved parts should be reported to the Director-General through the Mandatory Occurrence Reporting procedures (MOR).

5.2 Although the MOR procedure does not extend to piston engined aircraft used for Aerial Work or privately operated, and any aircraft with a Permit to Fly, users of aircraft parts or material for this class of aircraft are encouraged to use the procedure where suspect parts are identified.

5.3 On receipt of an MOR, and where appropriate, the Director-General will pass the details to the FAA. In addition to assisting the FAA, who is implementing a vigorous campaign against unapproved parts, this procedure will enable the Director-General to establish the dimensions of the problem as it affects Hong Kong SAR.

5.4 To assist in tracing unapproved parts or material, persons raising an MOR should, as far as possible, provide the following information on their report:

(a) The name of the suspected unapproved part.

(b) Part number, or any other number on the part.

(c) Serial number of part.

(d) List next higher assembly that suspected unapproved part is assembled into (i.e. fuel pump, engine, and landing gear) and list part number, if known.

(e) Quantity of suspected unapproved parts found or identified.

(f) Make and model number of the aircraft or component that the suspected unapproved part is applicable to.

(g) The identification of the commercial source of the suspected unapproved part. If the part is identified with Part Manufacturer or Distributor marking, this should be quoted.

(h) Describe any pertinent facts relating to the suspected unapproved part and identify where part may be inspected (provide photos, invoices, etc., if available). The description should also include particular colours, markings, dimensions, and features common to the unapproved part which distinguish it from the genuine item, and the nature of any accompanying documentation.

(i) The date suspected unapproved part was discovered.

(j) Name and address in full or the location where suspected unapproved part(s) was discovered.
5.5 Further to 5.1 above, users of aircraft components and spares should also report to the Type Certificate holders and their regulatory authorities, of any suspected unapproved parts in addition to the MOR procedures. Users may approach CAD for assistance on such reporting.

6 Foreign aircraft and approved component manufacturers can be contacted by users through their agent or direct, for verification that specific serial numbered items purported to be manufactured by them are in fact recorded in their archives.

7 The Certifying Person and User Responsibility

7.1 The Certifying Person (User) can be either the approved organisation, a person authorised in accordance with that organisation's Exposition, or an appropriately Type Rated HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence holder, who issues the Certificate of Release to Service for installation of an aircraft part into an aircraft, its engine(s), propeller(s) or equipment.

7.2 The User of an aircraft part is responsible for ensuring that the part is serviceable and conforms to the standard determined by the appropriate type certificate holder as being suitable for the intended application. In order to discharge this responsibility to the satisfaction of the Director-General, the User must, when obtaining an aeronautical part from a supplier, ensure that the purchase order contains accurate definition of the aircraft parts and full details of the quality control and certification requirements to be met by the supplier in satisfying the order. The User must also take all necessary steps to verify that the supplier is meeting the requirements of the purchase order. This may require the User visiting the supplier’s facilities.

7.3 In order to contain the serious problem of unapproved parts, Commercial Air Transport Operators (Public Transport) and associated Maintenance Organisations who are users of aircraft spares should ensure that their aircraft spares purchasing policy and procedures are unequivocally stated in their company expositions/engineering procedural documents. They should also ensure that any deviation from that policy must be approved by the quality manager in accordance with procedures acceptable to the Director-General.

7.4 Other organisations and private owners who purchase aircraft parts or materials can only be advised to exercise extreme caution and remember they will have to convince the user of the authenticity of such spares.

8 Airworthiness Notice Numbers 16, 17 and 97 provide advice on the acquisition of aircraft or material parts for aircraft with Certificates of Airworthiness.
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9 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No. 19 Issue 6, dated 31 January 2008, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
This Notice gives details of a mandatory action for cotton, linen and synthetic fabric-covered aircraft.

1 Introduction

This Notice contains requirements in respect of the issue or renewal of Certificate of Airworthiness and Permit to Fly applicable to aircraft excluding microlights, that have fabric covering. Fabric coverings may be manufactured from natural materials such as linen or cotton, or other aviation approved fabrics produced from polyester or glass fibre.

2 Structural Damage and Deterioration

2.1 Removal of the fabric covering of some older types of aircraft has revealed cases of unsuspected structural damage and deterioration. It is therefore important that during routine inspections, any sign of distortion, slackness, wrinkling or discoloration of the covering material is investigated and the cause established.

2.2 The use of good maintenance practices, incorporation of adequate and correctly placed drain holes, regular cleaning and storage of the aircraft in a dry hangar will retard deterioration. Damage will be reduced by using proper ground handling techniques and equipment. Planned periodic inspections of aircraft coverings, structural elements and their attachments are essential in preventing damage and deterioration from going unnoticed.

2.3 Following incidents such as heavy landings, high 'g' loadings, ground loops and collisions, the aircraft must be inspected to detect any hidden damage or distortion.

2.3.1 This may involve removal of the covering material or provision of access openings and may include inspections using NDT techniques. Experience has shown that structures can appear undamaged until manually loaded during a physical check. Wherever possible, the manufacturer's inspection recommendations should be used. In the absence of specific guidance, refer to UKCAA CAP 562 Civil Aircraft...
2.4 Details of the incident, inspections/repairs carried out should always be entered in the aircraft log book.

3 Fabric Coverings

3.1 Many factors can influence the life and condition of covering fabrics, such as age, contamination, exposure to high humidity, ultra violet light, utilisation and type of operation for which the aircraft has been employed. The type of covering material used will also need to be ascertained as natural materials are much more susceptible to adverse climatic conditions than synthetic materials. However, the improved longevity of synthetic materials often means that internal structures are inspected much less frequently and deterioration can go undetected.

3.2 The airworthiness of covering fabrics should be assessed using a method acceptable to the Director-General, these being detailed in the manufacturer's airworthiness data or where appropriate UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-150.

NOTE: With suitable training and experience an engineer can usually assess the condition of fabric covering by its appearance, tension and reaction to thumb pressure. Failing this ability, a suitable type of fabric tester should be used. The tester and its method of operation are described in UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-150.

3.3 Cotton and linen fabrics may be replaced with synthetic materials providing they are of a type manufactured and approved for aeronautical use in their country of origin and acceptable to the aircraft manufacturer as an alternative covering material. Replacement materials must also be appropriate for the intended purpose having properties no less than the original fabric in terms of strength and durability. Application must be in accordance with the manufacturer's procedures with control surfaces re-balanced to the original limits specified. Rib stringing and other materials must have a compatible life expectancy to the replacement covering.

NOTE: Care must be exercised when tautening synthetic fabric using the application of heat. Lightly built wooden structures covered with these materials can become distorted or crushed during the shrinking process. The application of non-tautening dope should be also considered in these cases.

4 Certification Requirements

4.1 Certificate of Airworthiness

4.1.1 Certificate of Airworthiness will only be issued or renewed in respect of used aircraft if the requirements of paragraphs 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 have been complied with.
4.1.2 Certified evidence must be produced to show that an internal inspection sufficient to establish continued structural integrity has been carried out within the period specified in the applicable maintenance schedule. The depth of the inspection must be relative to the age of the aircraft, inspection history, known usage, storage conditions/hangarage and the elapsed time since the last full inspection. This should be determined by the certifying person using data from the organisation responsible for Type Design, a maintenance programme agreed by the Director-General and the guidance material contained in UKCAA CAAIP and Hong Kong Airworthiness Notices. Access holes may have to be cut to facilitate inspections and these reinforced in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements (refer to covering schedule).

4.1.3 Certification of the inspections and work carried out must be made by an appropriately HKAR-66 Type Rated aircraft maintenance licence holder, persons specifically authorised for the purpose or personnel operating under the approval granted to a maintenance organisation. Log book entries must be made in sufficient detail to provide an accurate record indicating the extent of the access, inspections carried out, repairs and overhauls performed and any re-covering required since the last structural inspection.

NOTE: Airworthiness Notice No. 3 describes the certification responsibilities of Hong Kong Licensed Aircraft Maintenance Engineers in relation to Articles 9 and 11 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 and HKAR 145.50.

4.2 Permit to Fly

4.2.1 Permit to Fly will only be issued or renewed in respect of used aircraft if the requirements of paragraph 4.2.2 have been complied with.

4.2.2 At initial issue or the first annual inspection (as applicable) after 1 October 1999, all fabric covered aircraft must be internally inspected to establish and suitably record their structural integrity. The depth of the inspection must be relative to the age of the aircraft, inspection history, known usage, storage conditions/hangarage and the elapsed time since the last full inspection. This must be certified by persons specifically authorised by the Director-General or an organisation approved by the Director-General to issue a Flight Release Certificate (see paragraph 7 of Airworthiness Notice No. 110) in order to qualify for issue or renewal of the Permit to Fly. Thereafter, inspections must be performed at a frequency not exceeding 3 years. Access holes may have to be cut to facilitate inspections and these reinforced in accordance with the design requirements (refer to covering schedule).
4.2.3 Log book entries must be made in sufficient detail to provide an accurate record indicating the extent of the access, inspections carried out, repairs and overhauls performed and any re-covering required since the last structural inspection.

5 Guidance

5.1 Guidance material relating to fabric covered aircraft may be found in a number of publications which include:

- UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-150 Fabric Covering
- UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-160 Doping
- UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-10 Inspection of Wooden Structures
- UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-50 Inspection of Metal Aircraft Structures
- UKCAA CAAIP Leaflet 51-120 Rigging checks on Aircraft
- FAA AC 43.13 Acceptable Methods, Techniques and Practices

5.2 Attention is drawn to Airworthiness Notice No. 50 which refers to deterioration in wooden structures and in glued joints in aircraft, and Airworthiness Notice No. 110 ‘Issue and Reissue of Permits to Fly’.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No. 20 Issue 6, dated 30 May 2006, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

28 June 2019 AN-20 P.4
MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAMINATION OF FUEL TANKS OF TURBINE ENGINED AIRCRAFT

1 Introduction

1.1 Reports have been received that aircraft regularly operating in climatic conditions such as those prevailing between the latitudes 30° North and 30° South have been contaminated in the fuel tanks by a fungus. Another aircraft, regularly operating from the United Kingdom, was found to have localised areas of heavy growth when inspected after standing in a heated hangar for two months with fuel in the tanks. It is considered that the storage conditions were a contributory factor.

1.2 In one case contamination was found during an investigation into the cause of erratic fuel contents indication, when white crusty deposits and brown stains were seen on the probes. Further examination revealed the presence of brown/black slimes adhering to horizontal upward facing surfaces within the tanks. Examination by the Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, confirmed that this substance was a fungal growth of the type Cladesporium Resinae.

2 Effects of Contamination

2.1 The problems associated with microbiological growths have been known for some years and research into their behaviour has been conducted throughout the world. In the case of Cladesporium Resinae, the spores of the fungus can exist in a dormant state in kerosene fuels in most parts of the world. These will only develop when in contact with water in fuel at temperatures such as those reached when the aircraft or storage tanks are exposed to a warm ambient temperature such as radiation from the sun for long periods in a tropical or sub-tropical environment, or prolonged periods in a heated hangar. If developing fungus forms on water not drained off and which adheres to the tank surfaces, the fungus is able to absorb water later introduced with fuel or condensing following a cold soak.

2.2 Where fungus has formed there is a probability that corrosion will occur. Corrosion has been found where fungus had formed on the bottom tank skin, on the chordal support member in the wing root and on fuel pipes within the tank. In some cases aircraft have been sufficiently affected to necessitate replacement.
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of some component parts.

2.3 The fungus itself, if dislodged by fuel during refuelling, can obstruct fuel filters.

3 Inspection

3.1 Operators uplifting fuel or operating regularly in areas having high normal ambient temperatures and high humidity or where fungus development is known to have been encountered, are advised to scrutinise tank areas for signs of fungus whenever access is gained for any purpose. It is further recommended that, for aircraft operating under these conditions, Maintenance Schedules should be amended to include a visual internal tank check at periods prescribed by the aircraft constructor. It is also important, whenever fuel tanks are inspected, to ensure that all passage ways between rib cleats, etc., are not obstructed, so that a drainage path for water is maintained at all times. If the aircraft has been standing in a heated hangar for prolonged periods the fuel in the tanks should be treated with a biocide (see paragraph 4).

3.2 If contents gauges give suspect indications, immediate consideration should be given to the possibility that tank probes may be contaminated with water and/or fungus and appropriate inspections should be carried out.

3.3 Whenever fuel filters are checked they should be closely examined for the presence of slimes of any colour.

3.4 The need to prevent water collection by good maintenance practices and control of fuel supplies is emphasised. A high degree of protection can be maintained by strict adherence to water drain checks before and after refuelling and, if the aircraft has been standing for any length of time, again before the next flight. Fuel quality control checks should be rigorously applied.

4 Treatment

4.1 If fungus is discovered, the fuel system should be cleaned as soon as possible by a method approved by the aircraft constructor and the engine manufacturer. It must be appreciated that if the fungus is allowed to develop, cleansing and rectification could become a major operation involving grounding of the aircraft for a long period.

4.2 It is strongly recommended that when aircraft operate in an area where fungal growth can be encountered, or where there is any possibility of temperatures in the fuel tanks frequently rising above 25°C, a fungicide additive should be used in the fuel as approved by the aircraft constructor and the engine manufacturer. The frequency of treatment and the dilutions prescribed by the aircraft constructor and the engine manufacturer must be adhered to. Introduction of an unapproved fungicide or inhibitor may jeopardise the safe operation of the aircraft.
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CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 26
Issue 4
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

GROUND PROXIMITY WARNING SYSTEM

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2 Introduction

2.1 The introduction of ground proximity warning system (GPWS) equipment resulted in a significant reduction in controlled flight into terrain (CFIT) accidents.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has published Standards in Part I, II and III of Annex 6 that require GPWS to be installed in certain aircraft as defined in the Standards and Recommended Practices.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

3.1 Compliance requirements for aeroplanes certificated in the Transport Category

3.1.1 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised in excess of 5,700 kg or with Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC) of more than nine passengers shall be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function.
3.1.2 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised of 5,700 kg or less and with MAPSC of more than five but not more than nine passengers, for which the individual Certificate of Airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 June 2016, shall be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function.

3.1.3 A ground proximity warning system, as required in this paragraph 3.1, shall provide automatically a timely and distinctive warning to the flight crew when the aeroplane is in potentially hazardous proximity to the earth’s surface.

3.1.4 A ground proximity warning system, as required in this paragraph 3.1.1 to 3.1.2 shall provide, unless otherwise specified therein, warnings of the following circumstances:

(a) excessive descent rate;
(b) excessive terrain closure rate;
(c) excessive altitude loss after take-off or go-around;
(d) unsafe terrain clearance while not in landing configuration;
   (i) gear not locked down;
   (ii) flaps not in a landing position; and
(e) excessive descent below the instrument glide path.

3.1.5 All piston-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised in excess of 5,700 kg or with MAPSC of more than nine passengers shall be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which provides the warnings in paragraph 3.1.4 (a) and (c), warning of unsafe terrain clearance and a forward looking terrain avoidance function.

3.2 Compliance requirements for aeroplanes certificated in the Aerial Work, Private and Special Categories

3.2.1 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised in excess of 5,700 kg or with MAPSC of more than nine passengers shall be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function.

3.2.2 A ground proximity warning system, as required in this paragraph 3.2,
shall provide automatically a timely and distinctive warning to the flight crew when the aeroplane is in potentially hazardous proximity to the earth's surface.

3.2.3 A ground proximity warning system, as required in this paragraph 3.2.1 shall provide as a minimum, warnings of at least the following circumstances:

(a) excessive descent rate;

(b) excessive altitude loss after take-off or go-around; and

(c) unsafe terrain clearance.

3.3 Compliance requirements for helicopters certificated in the Transport Category

3.3.1 All helicopters when operating in accordance with Instrument Flight Rules and of a maximum total weight authorised in excess of 3,175 kg or with MAPSC of more than nine, for which the individual Certificate of Airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 June 2016, shall be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function meeting the standards of FAA TSO C-194 or EASA ETSO C-194.

4 Additional Information

Nil.

5 Recommendations

5.1 ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 recommends all commercial air transport turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised of 5,700 kg or less and with MAPSC of more than five but not more than nine passengers, should be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which provides the warnings of paragraph 3.1.4 (a) and (c), warning of unsafe terrain clearance and a forward looking terrain avoidance function.

5.2 ICAO Annex 6 Part II Chapter 2 recommends all turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised of 5,700 kg or less and with MAPSC of more than five but not more than nine passengers, should be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function.
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5.3 ICAO Annex 6 Part II Chapter 2 recommends all piston-engined aeroplanes of a maximum total weight authorised in excess of 5,700 kg or with MAPSC of more than nine passengers, should be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function.

5.4 ICAO Annex 6 Part II Chapter 2 recommends a ground proximity warning system should provide, as a minimum, warning of at least the circumstances stipulated in paragraph 3.1.4 (a) to (e).

5.5 ICAO Annex 6 Part III Section II Chapter 4 recommends all helicopters when operating in accordance with Instrument Flight Rules and of a maximum total weight authorised in excess of 3,175 kg or with MAPSC of more than nine, should be equipped with a ground proximity warning system which has a forward looking terrain avoidance function.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No. 26 Issue 3, dated 31 July 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-26 P.4
1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness or Permit to Fly.

2 Introduction

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has published Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 6 that require emergency locator transmitters to be installed in certain aircraft as defined in the Standards and Recommended Practices.

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Interpretation

The following terms are interpreted for the purpose of this Airworthiness Notice:

**Emergency locator transmitter (ELT)** A generic term describing equipment which broadcast distinctive signals on designated frequencies and, depending on application, may be automatically activated by impact or be manually activated. An ELT may be any of the following:

- **Automatic fixed ELT (ELT(AF))**. An automatically activated ELT which is permanently attached to an aircraft.

- **Automatic portable ELT (ELT(AP))**. An automatically activated ELT which is rigidly attached to an aircraft but readily removable from the aircraft.
- **Automatic deployable ELT (ELT(AD)).** An ELT which is rigidly attached to an aircraft and which is automatically deployed and activated by impact, and in some cases, also by hydrostatic sensors. Manual deployment is also provided.

- **Survival ELT (ELT(S)).** An ELT which is removable from an aircraft, stowed so as to facilitate its ready use in an emergency, and manually activated by survivors.

NOTE: ELT(AF), ELT(AP) and ELT(AD) shall have means to detect the occurrence of a crash, automatically activate the transmitter and radiate a signal through an antenna. In the case of ELT(AD), the equipment shall have provision for manual deployment before a crash, and automatic deployment during a crash. Refer EUROCAE standard ED-62 ‘Minimum Operational performance Specification for Aircraft ELT’ for details.

An Automatic ELT is either an ELT(AF), ELT(AP) or ELT(AD).

**Performance Class 1 helicopter**  A helicopter with performance such that, in case of critical power-unit failure, it is able to land on the rejected take-off area or safely continue the flight to an appropriate landing area, depending on when the failure occurs.

**Performance Class 2 helicopter**  A helicopter with performance such that, in case of critical power-unit failure, it is able to safely continue the flight, except when the failure occurs prior to a defined point after take-off or after a defined point before landing, in which cases a forced landing may be required.

**Performance Class 3 helicopter**  A helicopter with performance such that, in case of power-unit failure at any point in the flight profile, a forced landing must be performed.

4 **Compliance for applicable aeroplanes**

4.1 All aeroplanes authorised to carry more than 19 passengers shall be equipped with either:

(a) at least two ELTs, one of which shall be automatic; or

(b) at least one ELT and a capability that meets the requirements of ICAO Annex 6 Part I paragraph 6.18 (Location of an aeroplane in distress).

Note: In the case where the requirements of ICAO Annex 6 Part I paragraph 6.18 (Location of an aeroplane in distress) are met by another system, no automatic ELT is required.

4.2 Except as provided for in paragraph 4.1, all aeroplanes shall carry one automatic ELT.
4.3 ELT equipment carried to satisfy the requirements of paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2, shall operate in accordance with the relevant provisions of ICAO Annex 10, Volume III, Part II, Chapter 5 (See paragraph 6 of this Notice).

4.4 The choice of ELT type and placement of the ELT on aeroplanes and associated floatable life support systems must ensure the greatest chance of ELT activation in the event of an accident for aircraft operating over water or land. Placement of transmitter units is a vital factor in ensuring optimal crash and fire protection. The placement of the control and switching devices (activation monitors) of automatic fixed ELT and their associated operational procedures will also take into consideration the need for rapid detection of inadvertent activation and convenient manual switching by crew members. These shall be acceptable to the Director-General.

5 Compliance for applicable helicopters

5.1 All Performance Class 1 and 2 helicopters operating on flights over water at a distance from land corresponding to more than 10 minutes at normal cruise speed shall be equipped with one automatic ELT and one Survival ELT for each raft carried (but not more than a total of two Survival ELT are required).

5.2 Except as provided for in paragraph 5.1, all Performance Class 1 and 2 helicopters shall be equipped with one automatic ELT and one Survival ELT.

5.3 All Performance Class 3 helicopters operating on flights over water beyond autorotational or safe forced landing distance from land shall be equipped with one automatic ELT and one Survival ELT for each raft carried (but not more than a total of two Survival ELT are required).

5.4 Except as provided for in paragraph 5.3, all Performance Class 3 helicopters having a Maximum Total Weight Authorised of 2730 kg or less shall be equipped with one automatic ELT.

5.5 Except as provided for in paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4, all Performance Class 3 helicopters shall be equipped with one automatic ELT and one Survival ELT.

5.6 ELT equipment carried to satisfy the requirements of paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 shall operate in accordance with the relevant provisions of ICAO Annex 10, Volume III, Part II, Chapter 5 (See paragraph 6 of this notice).

5.7 For paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5, the choice of ELT type and placement of the ELT on helicopters and associated floatable life support systems must ensure the greatest chance of ELT activation in the event of an accident for aircraft operating over water or land. Placement of transmitter units is a vital factor in ensuring optimal crash and fire protection. The placement of the control and switching devices (activation monitors) of automatic fixed ELT and their associated operational procedures will also take
into consideration the need for rapid detection of inadvertent activation and convenient manual switching by crew members. These shall be acceptable to the Director-General.

6 Additional Information

6.1 Highlights of ICAO Annex 10, Volume III, Part II, Chapter 5:

6.1.1 ELT shall operate on 406 MHz and 121.5 MHz simultaneously.

6.1.2 The technical characteristics for the 406 MHz component of an integral ELT shall be in accordance with paragraph 5.3 of ICAO Annex 10, Volume III, Part II, Chapter 5.

6.1.3 The technical characteristics for the 121.5 MHz component of an integral ELT shall be in accordance with paragraph 5.2 of ICAO Annex 10, Volume III, Part II, Chapter 5.

6.2 Coding and registration of Hong Kong 406 MHz ELT

6.2.1 Details regarding the coding and registration of Hong Kong 406 MHz ELT are contained in Appendix No. 1 to this notice.

7 Recommendation

ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 and Part II Chapter 2 recommend that all aeroplanes should carry an automatic ELT.

8 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 27 Issue 6, dated 31 October 2016, which should be destroyed

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-27 P.4
1 General

1.1 This is to provide information to Hong Kong operators on how to comply with the requirements that all 406 MHz ELTs installed on aircraft are to be registered with the Civil Aviation Department. In Hong Kong, 406 MHz ELT register is maintained by:

Civil Aviation Department Headquarters
Flight Standards and Airworthiness Division
Airworthiness Office
1 Tung Fai Road
Hong Kong International Airport
Lantau
Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2910 6179
Fax: (852) 2362 4250

Register information regarding the ELTs will be updated immediately and make it available for our Search and Rescue (SAR) Section.

1.2 In addition to ICAO Annex 10, further information related to 406 MHz ELTs may be obtained from the Cospas-Sarsat system documents which are available to be downloaded from their website at http://www.cospas-sarsat.org. The documents that are felt to be of prime interest are:

a) G.003 – Introduction to the C-S System;

b) G.005 – Guidelines on 406 MHz Beacon Coding, Registration and Type Approval; and

c) S.007 – Handbook of Regulations on 406 MHz and 121.5 MHz Beacons.

2 Coding

2.1 Each message sent by a 406 MHz ELT must include the unique identification of the ELT. The complete ELT identification code includes protocol flag, protocol code, country code and identification data.
2.2 The acceptable coding options are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Identification Data</th>
<th>Protocols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELTs (Aviation)</td>
<td>Unique ELT Serial Number *</td>
<td>Serial User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unique ELT Serial Number *</td>
<td>User-Location</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (*) Serial number means a unique number assigned by a beacon manufacturer. Assigned serial numbers must provide a unique beacon identification when used with the Country Code. Serial numbers assigned by a manufacturer must provide a unique beacon identification when used with the Cospas-Sarsat type approval certificate number assigned to that beacon model. The Cospas-Sarsat type approval certificate number is required to be encoded into the beacon identification in order to signify the equipment is a CAD approved type.

2.3 The detail of the abovementioned coding methods is available in Chapter 3 of Cospas-Sarsat G.005 document.

2.4 The Country Code used for Hong Kong Aviation ELTs must be 477 (three-digit decimal country code assigned by International Telecommunication Union (ITU) to Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China).

3 Registration

3.1 All 406 MHz ELTs must be registered with the Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department, even if not fitted to an aircraft. Many ELTs are inadvertently activated when in storage or transit, and these false alerts invariably result in SAR action if the owner cannot be identified and questioned. It is of extreme importance that a 24-hour telephone contact number is provided when registering ELTs. The form to be used when registering ELTs is DCA 406, which is obtainable from the address shown in paragraph 1.1.

3.2 Whenever an ELT is replaced, re-registration is required to be made as per paragraph 3.1.

4 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.27 Appendix No.1 Issue 3, dated 30 May 2007, which should be destroyed.
GUIDANCE ON TESTING 406 MHz EMERGENCY LOCATOR TRANSMITTERS (ELT)

1 Introduction

1.1 This Airworthiness Notice is to provide general guidance material to aircraft maintenance organisations, maintenance personnel and AOC holders relating to the procedures to be used for testing Emergency Locator Transmitters (ELT). It includes information on the precautions to be considered when testing ELT to prevent unnecessary deployment of emergency rescue services.

2 Logistical Problems Associated With Testing of ELT

2.1 ELT that transmit in the 406 to 406.1 MHz band are required to have a test facility which, when selected, changes the signal so that it is not reported as a genuine Search and Rescue (SAR) alert. Although this minimises the risk of false alerts, COSPAS-SARSAT satellites have a finite capacity to store data and any 406 MHz ELT activated in a non-distress situation degrades the capacity of the system to the detriment of genuine alerts.

3 Testing Considerations

3.1 Previously, it has been possible, to request for permission to perform a live ELT test, providing that the request was supported by the appropriate justification. However, the volume of ELT being installed in aircraft and marine vehicles is now so great that the SAR organisations and the Distress and Diversion cells distributed throughout the world are no longer able to deal with requests for live testing. As a consequence of this, live testing of ELT is now prohibited.

3.2 Although many ELT in the 406 to 406.1 MHz band have self test functions that emit a recognisable test pulse that would not result in SAR teams being deployed, these transmissions do require satellite processing time. The volume of ELT now installed in aircraft and marine craft has also had a serious impact on the ability of the COSPAS-SARSAT system to process distress calls and the extent of this problem has now become so great that the
ban on live testing of ELT has also been extended to those which transmit on 406 MHz.

3.3 It is accepted that aircraft operators do need to test their ELT on a regular basis to demonstrate their continued serviceability and the Director-General has established that the following options exist:

(a) For ELT that can be removed from the aircraft (e.g. Survival ELT and Automatic Portable ELT) the operator can remove the ELT from the aircraft and test it in either a shielded room or a shielded bag. Shielded ELT test bags can be obtained from most ELT manufacturers.

(b) For ELT that cannot be removed from the aircraft (or those which the operator wishes to test in situ) an antenna cap should be used to prevent the ELT transmission from going beyond the aircraft. Antenna caps can be obtained from either an antenna manufacturer or, in some cases, from the ELT manufacturer. Operators may also use self-manufactured antenna caps provided that they can be shown to prevent transmission from the aircraft.

(c) Some ELT have test functions that do not actively transmit on the emergency frequencies or which send codes that are not recognised by the COSPAS-SARSAT satellites. In these cases live testing can be performed as long as the operator can demonstrate that it will not cause an interaction with any of the SAR services.

3.4 In all cases, procedures for testing ELT should be based on the manufacturer's recommended testing practices and, where applicable, should be performed using their recommended test equipment unless this would result in unshielded testing.

4 Additional Reference Information On Aircraft ELT

4.1 Additional information on COSPAS-SARSAT, including information on the carriage, coding, registration and testing of ELT, can be found at the following website address: www.cospas-sarsat.org.

4.2 Many ELT manufacturers also provide Frequently Asked Question (FAQ) sheets on related topics.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2005 AN-27A P.2
FALSE ALERTS OF EMERGENCY LOCATOR TRANSMITTERS (ELT)

1 Introduction

1.1 ELT should only be activated when the aircraft or person is in grave and imminent danger and requires immediate assistance. Upon receipt of a distress signal, search and rescue crews will treat it as real distress to prepare and deploy the search and rescue services.

1.2 However, worldwide data illustrated a high percentage of false alerts. This Airworthiness Notice is to emphasise the importance of preventing false alerts in order to avoid unnecessary deployment of emergency search and rescue services.

2 Impact of ELT False Alerts

2.1 A false alert is an activation of an emergency beacon in a non-distress situation. Examples of false alerts include accidentally turning on or improperly testing an ELT, or improperly handling of stored or disposed ELT.

2.2 False alerts have a negative impact on search and rescue resources worldwide. They occupy personnel, equipment and resources that would otherwise be available to respond to a real distress call. False alerts are costly and may put search and rescue personnel at risk in adverse environments.

2.3 A false alert may interfere with genuine emergency transmissions and hinder or prevent the timely location of crash sites. Frequent false alerts may also reduce the vigorous reaction that must be attached to all ELT distress signals.
Factors Contributing to False Alerts

3.1 Mishandling of ELT

It includes improper installation, testing, maintenance, disposal of an ELT with battery installed, and inadvertently turning on an ELT.

3.2 Malfunction of ELT

Faulty activation switch, water ingress, low battery, and test mode malfunction may cause inadvertent activation of an ELT.

3.3 ELT Mounting Failure

Mounting failure, such as strap or bracket damage, release mechanism damage and faulty mounting for externally mounted ELT, may dislodge the ELT and trigger the transmission of distress signal.

Prevention of False Alerts

4.1 All ELTs shall be registered as stipulated in AN 27 Appendix 1 such that the ELT can be promptly identified after triggered. It is of extreme importance that a contact telephone number is provided and manned 24 hours a day for the search and rescue crews to determine whether it is a real distress.

4.2 ELT testing shall follow the guidance as stipulated in AN 27A.

4.3 ELT shall be properly maintained in accordance with an approved maintenance schedule. Low battery can cause erroneous signals and generate false alerts.

4.4 Operators shall establish procedures to handle the transportation, storage and particularly the disposal of ELT. Disposed ELT should be made inoperable by removing its battery. If an ELT is to be returned to workshop or manufacturer with the battery still installed, it should be well protected to prevent inadvertent transmission of signals during shipment.

4.5 The vast majority of false alerts are caused by human factors due to inadequate knowledge. Operators have the responsibility to educate users about the consequences of false alerts and should therefore establish procedures to handle and prevent ELT false alerts.

NOTE: When maintenance or flight crews are aware that an ELT is inadvertently turned on, they should report at once to Air Traffic Control Centre.
Additional Information

5.1 Conclusion APANPIRG/27/25 of the 27th Meeting of the ICAO Asia/Pacific Air Navigation Planning and Implementation Regional Group

5.2 United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) - Search and Rescue Satellite Aided Tracking (SARSAT) website: www.sarsat.noaa.gov/index.html

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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APPROVAL OF ORGANISATIONS

1 Introduction

This Notice gives general guidance on the procedure to be followed by an organisation seeking the approval of their premises for the purpose of providing facilities and support services for the type of work for which such approval is sought.

1.1 The Director-General approves organisations to authorise suitable persons to make certifications and furnish reports providing they are employed by the organisation and have suitable facilities and support services for those persons. The approval, when granted, permits those persons to certify work in accordance with the requirements of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, as amended as specified in the Schedule of Approval.

1.2 Organisations are divided into a number of groups for the purpose of the approval and these are described in HKAR-1 Section 1.8, HKAR-21, HKAR-145 and HKAR-147, which also contain the requirements prescribed for the grant, renewal and variation of approval.

NOTE: Airworthiness Notice No.14, which refers to organisations approved to HKAR-145, should be read in conjunction with this Airworthiness Notice No. 28.

2 Eligibility for Approval

2.1 Any organisation based in Hong Kong may make application for the approval provided that activities are classified as being within any of the groups in paragraph 1.2 under which approval may be granted.

2.2 Any organisation based outside Hong Kong may make application for approval under the same conditions as in paragraph 2.1 but evidence must be shown that there is a need to cater for the Hong Kong aviation industry solely on the premises for which application for approval is made.

3 Application and Investigation Procedures

3.1 Application, by letter, in the first instance should be made to the Civil Aviation Department (CAD), Airworthiness Office at 1 Tung Fai Road, Hong Kong International Airport, Lantau, Hong Kong. If acceptable, the applicant
will be sent an application form and personal detail forms for senior personnel and approved signatories. In addition, a list of requirements documentation relevant to the application will be forwarded.

3.2 On completion, the forms should be returned to the address above. On receipt, arrangements will be made for a CAD Officer to visit the organisation to discuss the application, and investigate in detail the staffing, procedures, and facilities.

3.3 The applicant will be required to produce an Exposition of the organisation which has to be approved by the Director-General. Details of the basic requirements for the Exposition are to be found in HKAR-1 Section 1.8, HKAR-21, HKAR-145 or HKAR-147, where applicable.

3.4 The scope of work to be undertaken by the organisation for which certification may be made in respect of compliance with HKAR-1, HKAR-21, HKAR-145 or HKAR-147 will be agreed with the applicant and defined in the Schedule of Approval which forms part of the approval document.

4 **Oversight of Approval**

After initial approval, the organisation is normally audited by the CAD every two years. This frequency may however be increased where necessary. Large organisations may be audited on a progressive basis over a one year period. Where the CAD officer visits an organisation that undertakes other work, an audit may be performed at the same time.

4.1 Any finding noted will be notified to the organisation for their action.

4.2 The Director-General may revoke, suspend or vary the approval granted to an organisation in accordance with Article 62 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, as amended if the Director-General thinks sufficient grounds are shown for doing so.

5 **Variation of Approval**

If, after the initial grant of an approval, an organisation wishes to obtain approval for a variation involving additional activities in their existing approval group(s) or new group(s), a new application should be made to the Director-General at the address shown in paragraph 3.

6 **Other information**

6.1 The following lists of CAD approved or accepted organisations (airworthiness) are available for access on the CAD website http://www.cad.gov.hk.

List of HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisations
List of HKAR-147 approved maintenance training organisations
List of HKAR-1 Section 1.8 approved organisations
List of HKAR-21 approved organisations
List of HKAR-145, MAR-145 and CCAR-145 approved maintenance organisations accepted under the Cooperation Arrangement with CAAC and AACM
List of HKAR-145 and TC approved maintenance organisations accepted under the Technical Arrangement with TC
List of HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisations accepted under the Technical Arrangement with CAAS

6.2 The charges payable to the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government in respect of grant, renewal and variation of approvals are those laid down in the Hong Kong Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations for the time being in force.

6.3 Appendix No. 2 to this Notice contains the HPMA organisations and the HPMA parts approved under HKAR-21 Subpart K and is kept up to date by the issue of amendments.

7 Cancellation

This notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 28 Issue 11, dated 30 September 2008, which should be destroyed.

Normal LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-28 P.3 10 December 2012
Table 1: HPMA Organisations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPMA No.</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>TAECO (Xiamen) Aerospace Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>20 Dailiao Road, Gaoqi International Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xiamen, Fujian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>People's Republic of China 361006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplement: No. Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Foam Seal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stowage Bin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Floor Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Doghouse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPMA No.</th>
<th>Sealtech.com Aviation Seals Mfg. Limited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2K/194/0316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplement: No. Rev. No. Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Rev. No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Packing-preformed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA No.</td>
<td>Supp. No.</td>
<td>Item No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA No.</td>
<td>Supp. No.</td>
<td>Item No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA No.</td>
<td>Supp. No.</td>
<td>Item No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA No.</td>
<td>Supp. No.</td>
<td>Item No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA No.</td>
<td>Supp. No.</td>
<td>Item No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA/141/0907</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21K/194/0316</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- END -
Table 3: Critical HPMA Parts

(Reserved)
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CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
AIRWORTHINESS OFFICE AND PERSONNEL LICENSING OFFICE

1 The CAD Airworthiness Office is located at:-

    CAD Headquarters
    1 Tung Fai Road
    Hong Kong International Airport
    Lantau
    Hong Kong

    Tel.: (852) 2910 6179
    Fax: (852) 2362 4250
    E-mail: awo@cad.gov.hk

2 The CAD Personnel Licensing Office is located at:-

    CAD Headquarters
    1 Tung Fai Road
    Hong Kong International Airport
    Lantau
    Hong Kong

    Tel.: (852) 2910 6095
    Fax: (852) 2329 8595
    E-mail: plo@cad.gov.hk (for HKAR-66 licensing enquiry)

3 The CAD web is available on Internet at http://www.cad.gov.hk/.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 29 Issue 8, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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10 December 2012
Introduction

1.1 The Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department (hereinafter referred to as 'HKCAD') has entered into a Cooperation Arrangement with Civil Aviation Administration of China (was then called the General Administration of Civil Aviation of China and hereinafter referred to as 'CAAC') and the Civil Aviation Authority – Macao, China (hereinafter referred to as 'AACM') on 21 May 2002.

1.2 The said Authorities signed an Addendum to the aforementioned Cooperation Arrangement on 18 February 2004. The purpose of the addendum is to extend the scope of the Cooperation Arrangement to include the maintenance of engines and propellers.

1.3 The said Authorities signed a new Cooperation Arrangement on 2 June 2006. The Cooperation Arrangement supersedes the original Cooperation Arrangement and its Addendum and extends the scope of mutual recognition to include aircraft maintenance.

The Cooperation Arrangement


Definitions

For the purpose of the Cooperation Arrangement, the terms are defined as follows:
(a) **Approval of a maintenance organisation** means the approval, acceptance, authorisation, certification or licensing of a maintenance organisation in respect of specified civil aircraft and/or aircraft components subject to the organisation's compliance with the approved procedures.

(b) **Civil aircraft component** means any part or equipment which is to be installed in a civil aircraft, its engines or propellers, and which has a part number or serial number allocated by the aircraft/engine/propeller/equipment manufacturer, unless such part or equipment has been designated by the manufacturer as standard parts. Complete engines (including engine modules) and propellers are included in this definition for the purpose of the Cooperation Arrangement.

(c) **Joint Maintenance Management (JMM)** means the management responsible for the administrative and technical implementation of the Cooperation Arrangement.

(d) **Maintenance** means the performance of inspection, overhaul, repair, preservation, modification and the replacement of parts, materials, appliances, or components to ensure that the civil aircraft and/or aircraft component remains in compliance with the applicable requirements for certification by a maintenance organisation.

(e) **Maintenance organisations** mean persons and organisations that are carrying out the business of maintenance.

4 **Applicability**

4.1 Except as stated in paragraph 4.2 or otherwise decided in a particular case between the Authorities, this Cooperation Arrangement is applicable to maintenance organisations located within the territory of the Authority granting the approval.

4.2 For line maintenance of aircraft other than in the territories of the Authorities, this Cooperation Arrangement is only applicable to a maintenance organisation which is also an operator licensed by the same Authority to operate air services.

5 **Scope of Maintenance Functions under the Cooperation Arrangement**

5.1 Subject to paragraph 4, a maintenance organisation that can satisfy the requirements as stated in paragraph 6 and is approved to perform maintenance functions under the jurisdiction of one Authority will be recognised by the
other Authorities for the performance of the same functions (hereinafter called ‘a recognised maintenance organisation’).

5.2 Maintenance functions with respect to a civil aircraft and/or aircraft component used for any purpose and regulated by any Authority can be performed and certified by a recognised maintenance organisation approved by the other Authority for those same maintenance functions subject to compliance with paragraph 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 when appropriate.

5.3 The Cooperation Arrangement does not cover designs of civil aircraft and/or aircraft components. In case of repair or modification by a recognised maintenance organisation, the design of such repair or modification shall be approved by the Authority having jurisdiction over the civil aircraft and/or aircraft component concerned.

5.4 The Cooperation Arrangement allows a recognised maintenance organisation, upon request by an operator, to use the operator's procedures that are required by the Authority having jurisdiction over the operator concerned.

5.5 In case of aircraft maintenance, the Cooperation Arrangement allows a maintenance organisation, approved by one of the Authorities, to certify the maintenance using certifying staff holding a CCAR-66 People's Republic of China Civil Aircraft Maintenance Personnel License, HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence or MAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Engineer Licence.

5.6 Pursuant to paragraph 5.2, the certification of maintenance functions by a recognised maintenance organisation approved by any Authority will be recognised by the other Authorities as follows:-

(a) a CCAR 145.33 Certificate of Release to Service, a HKAR 145.50 Certificate of Release to Service and a MAR 145.50 Certificate of Release to Service are deemed equivalent; and

(b) a Form AAC-038 Authorised Release Certificate/Airworthiness Approval Tag, a CAD Form One Authorised Release Certificate and an AACM Form One Authorised Release Certificate are deemed equivalent.

6 Application for Recognition - HKAR-145 Approved Maintenance Organisations

6.1 A HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation that wishes to be recognised under the Cooperation Arrangement shall apply to the Director-General in writing. The accountable manager of the organisation shall clearly indicate on the application letter the HKAR-145 Class/Ratings to be recognised.
6.2 The HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation must hold a valid HKAR-145 approval and be located in Hong Kong except as provided otherwise in paragraph 4.2.

6.3 The HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation shall provide a supplement to its maintenance organisation exposition which addresses at least the following issues:

(a) a commitment statement signed by the accountable manager that the organisation will comply with the maintenance organisation exposition and the supplement;

(b) the CAAC and AACM, where applicable, may access the organisation to ascertain its compliance with any applicable requirements;

(c) the organisation must accept visit of a Maintenance Standardisation Team (MAST) invoked by JMM, as appropriate;

(d) when the organisation is subject to serious non-compliance with regulations or established standards it must accept any enforcement action(s) as required by CAAC or AACM in addition to those required by HKCAD;

(e) the organisation will comply with the operator's work order taking particular note of airworthiness directives, modifications and repairs as issued or required by CAAC or AACM, where applicable. The organisation may, upon request by an operator, to use operator's procedures that are approved or accepted by CAAC or AACM, where applicable;

(f) the organisation, when carrying out aircraft maintenance, may certify the maintenance using certifying staff holding a CCAR-66 People's Republic of China Civil Aircraft Maintenance Personnel License, HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence or MAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Engineer Licence;

(g) any parts used must be manufactured or maintained by organisations approved or accepted by the other Authority that oversees the operator (i.e. CAAC or AACM, where applicable) with the exception that parts used in aircraft component maintenance can be manufactured or maintained by organisations approved or accepted by the Director-General;

(h) the organisation should ensure that the operator has obtained its Authority approval (i.e. CAAC or AACM, where applicable) on any modifications and repairs to be incorporated;
(i) the organisation should issue a Certificate of Release to Service when it has verified that all maintenance ordered has been properly carried out on an aircraft and/or aircraft component in accordance with the procedures specified in the maintenance organisation exposition. The JMM Acceptance Number (see paragraph 6.6 below) must be specified and appended to the HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation reference number on the Certificate;

(j) the organisation must report to the operator, HKCAD and CAAC or AACM, where applicable, any unairworthy condition found during civil aircraft and/or aircraft component maintenance; and

(k) the organisation shall meet the associated costs incurred by the visiting CAAC and/or AACM audit team, and the JMM MAST.

6.4 The HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation that is in compliance with the above requirements will be recommended to the JMM for recognition.

6.5 The JMM may invoke, as necessary, the MAST audit procedures on HKCAD and on the recommended HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation.

6.6 Once accepted by the JMM, the individual HKAR-145 organisation will be allocated with a JMM Acceptance Number signifying the status of a recognised maintenance organisation.

7 List of Recognised Maintenance Organisations

The list of all maintenance organisations recognised under the Cooperation Arrangement can be found on the following HKCAD website:


8 Notification of Non-Compliance

HKCAD will promptly notify the other Authorities of:

(a) serious non-compliance with any regulations or any condition made in accordance with or relating to the Cooperation Arrangement by any recognised Hong Kong maintenance organisation; and

(b) any investigation or enforcement action, including revocation, suspension or change of scope of approval in respect of any recognised Hong Kong maintenance organisation.
Revocation of Recognition

Revocation of HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation approval automatically invalidates the status of JMM recognised maintenance organisation.

Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 30 Issue 5, dated 30 September 2008, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

TECHNICAL ARRANGEMENT ON AVIATION MAINTENANCE
BETWEEN
THE HONG KONG CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
AND THE CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY OF SINGAPORE

1 Introduction

1.1 The Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department (hereinafter referred to as 'HKCAD') has entered into an enhanced Technical Arrangement with the Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore (hereinafter referred to as 'CAAS') on 29 August 2008. This Technical Arrangement is an expansion of the one signed on 16 December 2004 that allowed for both civil aviation authorities to recognise each other’s approvals given to maintenance organisations to maintain components of aircraft registered without the need to obtain separate approval. The enhancement includes the recognition of maintenance of aircraft and engines, in addition to aircraft components.

1.2 Except by mutual consent in a particular case to cater for circumstances that are not adequately addressed by this Technical Arrangement, neither Party will issue any further approvals to maintenance organisations in the Area of the other Party. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing herein will prevent either Party from approving a line station or a satellite facility of an existing domestic approved organisation in the Area of the other Party.

2 The Technical Arrangement

2.1 The HKCAD and CAAS (hereinafter referred to as 'the Parties') agree that their respective laws, regulations, standards, practices, procedures and systems for the approval and monitoring of aircraft maintenance in general, and approved maintenance organisations in particular, are sufficiently comparable to permit the acceptance of each other's maintenance certification systems, subject to the procedures described in the provisions of this Technical Arrangement.

2.2 Without prejudice to the obligations of each Party under its own laws, regulations, standards, practices, procedures and systems, the purpose of this Technical Arrangement is to save duplication of inspections and evaluations by:
Enabling each Party to recognise the other Party's inspection and evaluation findings for the approval of maintenance organisations as to its own inspection and evaluation finding; and

Enabling each Party to recognise the other Party's system for the release of civil Aeronautical Products to service after maintenance as its own release system.

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 Within this Technical Arrangement, the following terms will have the meanings as specified:

(a) **Area** in relation to the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region means Hong Kong Island, Kowloon and the New Territories and in relation to Singapore, means the national territory of Singapore.

(b) **Aeronautical Product** means any civil aircraft, and any aircraft engine, propeller, sub assembly, appliance, material, part or component to be installed thereon.

(c) **Maintenance** means the performance of inspection, overhaul, repair, preservation, modification and the replacement of parts, components, materials, appliances, of an Aeronautical Product with similar parts, components, materials, appliances.

(d) **Overseeing Authority** means the Party having jurisdiction over a maintenance organisation that performs maintenance pursuant to this Technical Arrangement.

(e) **Responsible Authority** means the Party having responsibility pursuant to the Convention for the airworthiness of an aircraft maintained pursuant to this Technical Arrangement, or an aircraft upon which parts that have undergone maintenance pursuant to this Technical Arrangement are to be installed.

(f) **Convention** means the Convention on International Civil Aviation signed in Chicago on December 7, 1944.

(g) **Technical Records** mean the documents that an owner or operator in respect of the Aeronautical Product is required to keep and that identifies in a legible and permanent manner the name, signature or personal identifier of the person who performed maintenance on the Aeronautical Product on the date as specified therein and the particulars of maintenance.
Technical Records include but are not limited to: journey, airframe, engine, propeller and component logs, weight and balance reports, technical drawings, x-ray films, Non-Destructive Testing reports, laboratory reports and flight test records.

2.4 Scope

2.4.1 This Technical Arrangement applies to:

(a) The acceptance by one Party of Aeronautical Product maintenance performed under the maintenance system of the other Party;

(b) The acceptance by one Party of the evaluation and approval of maintenance organisations performed by the other Party;

(c) The exchange of information regarding maintenance standards and maintenance certification systems; and

(d) Co-operation and assistance with respect to the maintenance of Aeronautical Products.

2.4.2 Unless otherwise agreed between the Parties in a particular case, this Technical Arrangement only applies to maintenance organisations that are located within the Area of the Overseeing Authority.

2.5 Maintenance and Certification

2.5.1 Each maintenance organisation that is approved by the Overseeing Authority to perform or certify maintenance functions and complies with paragraph 3, will be recognised by the Responsible Authority for the performance of those same functions.

2.5.2 Subject to paragraph 2.5.3, the certification of Aeronautical Product maintenance covered by this Technical Arrangement will be accepted by the Parties as follows:

(a) A Singapore Airworthiness Requirements (SAR-145) 145.50 Certificate of Release to Service issued in accordance with this Technical Arrangement will be accepted by HKCAD as equivalent to a HKAR 145.50 Maintenance Release.

(b) A Hong Kong Airworthiness Requirements (HKAR-145) 145.50 Certificate of Release to Service issued in accordance with this Technical Arrangement will be accepted by CAAS as
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equivalent to a SAR 145.50 Maintenance Release.

(c) A CAAS Form (AW) 95 Authorised Release Certificate issued in accordance with this Technical Arrangement will be accepted by HKCAD as equivalent to HKCAD Form One Authorised Release Certificate.

(d) A HKCAD Form One Authorised Release Certificate issued in accordance with this Technical Arrangement will be accepted by CAAS as equivalent to a CAAS Form (AW) 95 Authorised Release Certificate.

2.5.3 The design of any repairs and modifications of an Aeronautical Product which are not from the original equipment manufacturer shall require separate approval by the Responsible Authority.

2.5.4 Where maintenance involves the installation of an Aeronautical Product, the Aeronautical Products being installed must originate from an organisation approved under the provision of an existing Technical Arrangement entered into by the Responsible Authority, or approved by or otherwise acceptable to the Responsible Authority.

2.5.5 Technical Records shall be kept in accordance with the requirements of the Responsible Authority.

2.6 **Mutual Co-operation and Technical Assistance**

2.6.1 The Parties will provide information regarding the provisions of this Technical Arrangement, and will develop appropriate advisory publications and circulate these publications through established methods in their respective Areas to inform the public of the provisions of the Technical Arrangement and outline the special requirements necessary for persons to perform and certify work under the provisions of this Technical Arrangement.

2.6.2 The Parties agree to provide each other with technical evaluation assistance upon request, to further the purposes and objectives of this Technical Arrangement. Such assistance may include, but is not limited to, reporting on maintenance organisation's continued compliance with the requirements of this Technical Arrangement.

2.6.3 The Parties will provide each other with any and all regulations, standards, guidance material, policies, practices and interpretations relevant to this Technical Arrangement, and will ensure that such documents are updated and provided to the other Party in a timely manner. In addition, each Party will notify the other Party of any proposal or initiative to amend such documents and provide the other
Party the opportunity to review and comment on the proposals or initiatives.

2.6.4 Where urgent or unusual situations develop that are within the scope of this Technical Arrangement but are not specifically addressed therein, the Parties will review and consult each other, and upon mutual consent, take appropriate action, including but not limited to amendment or revision to this Technical Arrangement where required.

2.6.5 The Parties will by mutual co-operation and with reasonable prior notice, arrange one Party to participate in the other’s inspections and audits as an observer.

2.6.6 Each Party is permitted to request the disclosure or review of any data concerning any approval granted under the Technical Arrangement by the other Party from time to time.

2.6.7 Subject to reasonable prior notification, the Parties will endeavour to arrange each other to conduct independent inspections of each other’s maintenance organisations to investigate issues relating to aircraft safety and the effective application of this Technical Arrangement.

2.7 Notification of Non-Compliance

2.7.1 Each Party will notify the other Party of any instance of unsatisfactory compliance with any regulations or any condition set forth in this Technical Arrangement that affects the ability of an organisation to comply with the provisions of this Technical Arrangement.

2.7.2 The Overseeing Authority will promptly advise the other Party of any investigations or enforcement action, including revocation or suspension in respect of maintenance organisations approved in accordance with this Technical Arrangement.

3 Recognition of Maintenance Organisations

3.1 The Overseeing Authority will ensure that the following criteria are met by each organisation authorised to maintain products in accordance with this Technical Arrangement.

3.2 Except as provided otherwise in accordance with paragraph 2.4.2, the maintenance organisation must be located within the Area of the Overseeing Authority. Organisations outside the Area of the Overseeing Authority may be accepted in specific cases by mutual consent of the two Overseeing Authorities of the respective Party.

3.3 Work may be contracted/subcontracted by the maintenance organisation to:
3.3.1 organisations approved by the Responsible Authority;

3.3.2 organisations located within the Area of the Overseeing Authority and accepted by the Responsible Authority under the provisions of this Technical Arrangement;

3.3.3 organisations located outside the Area of the Overseeing Authority, only where the organisations concerned are accepted via other Technical Arrangements entered into by the Responsible Authority, or are otherwise recognised by the Responsible Authority; or

3.3.4 any organisation, not addressed in 3.3.1, 3.3.2 or 3.3.3, provided that the maintenance organisation responsible for issuing any of the certificates listed in paragraph 2.5.2 for the release of the work extends its quality system to that organisation.

4 Maintenance Organisation Exposition Supplement

4.1 The maintenance organisation should include in its Exposition or Maintenance Policy Manual, either within the body of the manual or by means of a suitable supplement, the following items:

4.1.1 A statement signed by the current CEO or authorised accountable executive directing that personnel of the organisation to comply with the policies and procedures contained therein relating to the provisions set out in this Technical Arrangement.

4.1.2 Confirmation that failure to comply with the provisions of this Technical Arrangement, or with the policies and procedures described in the company Exposition or Maintenance Policy Manual, may be grounds for suspension or cancellation of any privileges granted pursuant to this Technical Arrangement.

4.1.3 Confirmation that the Responsible Authority may have access to the organisation to confirm compliance with the requirements of this Technical Arrangement.

4.1.4 Procedure to ensure that:

(a) Any parts installed have been manufactured or maintained by organisations that are acceptable to the Responsible Authority.

(b) The owner or operator of the Aeronautical Product being maintained has obtained the approval of the Responsible Authority.
Authority in respect of any major modifications and repairs.

(c) Maintenance is performed in accordance with the regulations of the Overseeing Authority.

(d) Technical Records are completed in accordance with the requirements of the Responsible Authority.

(e) Any mandatory reportable conditions found in Aeronautical Products are reported to the owner or operator.

(f) Aeronautical Products are released using appropriate release certificate required by the Overseeing Authority.

(g) The release certificate clearly states that maintenance performed is released in accordance with the Technical Arrangement.

(h) Where applicable, procedures for the review and re-release of parts in respect of which the maintenance has been performed before this Technical Arrangement was signed.

NOTE: A copy of the signed Technical Arrangement and a list of CAD approved maintenance organisations under this Technical Arrangement are posted on CAD Website www.cad.gov.hk.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 30A Issue 2, dated 30 May 2006, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation
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1 Introduction

1.1 The Civil Aviation Department of Hong Kong (hereinafter referred to as 'HKCAD') has entered into a Technical Arrangement with the Transport Canada Civil Aviation Directorate (hereinafter referred to as 'TCCA') on 22 March 2006. The Technical Arrangement is available for access on the CAD website http://www.cad.gov.hk.

2 The Technical Arrangement

2.1 The HKCAD and TCCA (hereinafter referred to as "the parties") agree that their respective laws, regulations, standards, practices, procedures and systems for the approval and monitoring of aircraft maintenance in general, and approved maintenance organisations in particular, are sufficiently comparable to permit the acceptance of each other's maintenance certification systems, subject to the procedures described in the Technical Arrangement.

2.2 Without prejudice to the obligations of each of the parties under its own regulations, the purpose of the Technical Arrangement is to avoid duplication of inspections and evaluations by:

(a) Enabling each party to give the same validity to the other party's inspection and evaluation findings for the approval of maintenance organisations as to its own inspection and evaluation findings; and

(b) Enabling each party to give the same validity to the other party's system for the release of aeronautical products to service after maintenance as to its own release system.

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 Within the Technical Arrangement, the following terms have the
meanings as specified:

(a) "Area", in relation to Hong Kong means the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, consisting of Hong Kong Island, Kowloon and the New Territories and in relation to Canada, means the national territory of Canada.

(b) "Aeronautical product" means any civil aircraft, and any aircraft engine, propeller, sub-assembly, appliance, material, part or component to be installed thereon.

(c) "Maintenance" means the inspection, overhaul, repair, modification and the replacement of any aeronautical product.

(d) "Overseeing authority" means the Civil Aviation Authority having jurisdiction over a maintenance organisation that performs maintenance function covered by the Technical Arrangement.

(e) "Responsible authority" means the Civil Aviation Authority having legal responsibility for regulating and controlling an aircraft.

(f) "Technical records" means the documents that an owner or operator is required to maintain in respect of an aeronautical product. Technical records include but are not limited to: data about journey, airframe, engine, propeller and component logs, weight and balance reports, technical drawings, x-ray films, and other NDT reports, laboratory reports and flight test records.

2.4 Scope

2.4.1 The Technical Arrangement applies to:

(a) The acceptance by one party of aeronautical product maintenance performed under the maintenance system of the other party;

(b) The acceptance by one party of the evaluation and approval of maintenance organisations, performed by the other party;

(c) The exchange of information regarding maintenance standards and maintenance certification systems; and

(d) Co-operation and assistance with respect to the maintenance of aeronautical products.
2.4.2 Unless otherwise agreed between the parties, recognition by the responsible authority of maintenance organisations is only valid when they are located within the Area of the overseeing authority.

2.5 Maintenance and Certification

2.5.1 Maintenance organisations performing or certifying maintenance under the terms of the Technical Arrangement must have prior acceptance from the overseeing authority.

2.5.2 A maintenance organisation may not perform work under the Technical Arrangement that is outside the scope of the domestic approval issued by the overseeing authority.

2.5.3 Any document containing certifications made in accordance with the Technical Arrangement shall include a reference to that effect.

2.5.4 Subject to 2.5.3, the certification of aeronautical product maintenance pursuant to the Technical Arrangement will be accepted by the parties as follows:

(a) A Canadian Maintenance Release issued in accordance with the Technical arrangement will be accepted by HKCAD as equivalent to a Certificate of Release to Service issued in accordance with Hong Kong Aviation Requirements (HKAR).

(b) A HKAR Certificate of Release to Service issued in accordance with the Technical Arrangement will be accepted by TCCA as equivalent to a Canadian Maintenance Release.

(c) A TCCA Authorised Release Certificate issued in accordance with the Technical Arrangement will be accepted by HKCAD as equivalent to a CAD Form One.

(d) A CAD Form One issued in accordance with the Technical Arrangement will be accepted by TCCA as equivalent to a TCCA Authorised Release Certificate.

2.5.5 Approval of the design of any repairs and modifications shall be in accordance with the requirements of the responsible authority.
2.5.6 Where maintenance involves the installation of an aeronautical product that has undergone maintenance, the maintenance of that aeronautical products must have been performed under the terms of an existing technical arrangement entered into by the responsible authority, or by an organisation that is approved by or otherwise acceptable to, the responsible authority.

2.5.7 Technical records shall be kept in accordance with the requirements of the responsible authority.

2.6 Mutual Co-operation and Technical Assistance

2.6.1 The parties will provide information regarding the terms of the Technical Arrangement, and will develop appropriate advisory publications and circulate these publications through established methods in their respective Area to inform the public of the terms of the Technical Arrangement and outline the special requirements necessary for persons to perform and certify work under the terms of the Technical Arrangement.

2.6.2 The parties agree to provide each other with technical evaluation assistance upon request, to further the purposes and objectives of the Technical Arrangement. Such assistance may include, but is not limited to reporting on maintenance organisation's continued compliance with the requirements of the Technical Arrangement.

2.6.3 The parties will provide each other with any regulations, standards, guidance material, policies, practices and interpretations relevant to the Technical Arrangement, and will ensure that such documents are updated in a timely manner. In addition, each party will notify the other party of any proposal to amend such documents and provide the other party the opportunity to review and comment on the proposals.

2.6.4 Where urgent or unusual situations develop that are within the scope of the Technical Arrangement but are not specifically addressed therein, the parties will review and consult each other, and upon mutual consent, take appropriate action, including amendment to the Technical Arrangement where required.

2.6.5 The parties will by mutual co-operation and with reasonable prior notice, allow each party to participate in the other's inspections and audits as an observer.

2.6.6 Subject to reasonable prior notification, the parties will allow each other to conduct independent inspections of each other's maintenance organisations to investigate issues relating to aircraft safety and the effective application of the Technical Arrangement.
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2.7 **Notification of Non-Compliance**

2.7.1 Each party will notify the other party of any instance of unsatisfactory compliance with any regulations or any condition set forth in the Technical Arrangement that affects the ability of an organisation to comply with the terms of the Technical Arrangement.

2.7.2 The overseeing authority will promptly advise the other party of any investigations or enforcement action, including revocation, suspension or change of scope in respect of maintenance organisations recognised in accordance with the Technical Arrangement.

3 **Recognition of Maintenance Organisations**

3.1 The overseeing authority will ensure that the following criteria are met by each organisation authorised to maintain aeronautical products in accordance with the Technical Arrangement.

3.2 Except as provided otherwise in accordance with paragraph 2.4.2, the maintenance organisation must be located within the Area of the overseeing authority. Organisations outside the Area of the overseeing authority may be accepted in specific cases by mutual consent of the two authorities.

3.3 Work may be subcontracted by the maintenance organisation to:

3.3.1 organisations approved by the responsible authority;

3.3.2 organisations located within the Area of the overseeing authority and accepted under the terms of the Technical Arrangement;

3.3.3 any other organisations within the Area of the overseeing authority, provided that the organisation responsible for the release of the work extends its quality system in accordance with the regulations of the overseeing authority to cover the activity; or

3.3.4 organisations located outside the Area of the overseeing authority, only where the organisations concerned are directly approved by the responsible authority, are accepted via other technical arrangements entered into by the responsible authority, or are otherwise recognised by the responsible authority.

4 **Maintenance Organisation Exposition Supplement**

4.1 The maintenance organisation shall include in its Exposition or Maintenance Policy Manual, either within the body of the manual or by means of a suitable supplement:
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4.1.1 A statement signed by the current CEO or accountable executive
directing that personnel of the organisation must comply with the
policies and procedures contained therein.

4.1.2 Confirmation that failure to comply with the terms of the Technical
Arrangement, or with the policies and procedures described in the
company Exposition or Maintenance Policy Manual, may be
grounds for suspension or cancellation of any privileges granted
pursuant to the Technical Arrangement.

4.1.3 Confirmation that the responsible authority may have access to the
organisation to confirm compliance with the requirements of the
Technical Arrangement.

4.1.4 Procedure to ensure that:

(a) Any parts installed have been manufactured or maintained
by organisations that are acceptable to the responsible
authority.

(b) The owner or operator of the aeronautical product being
maintained has obtained the approval of the responsible
authority in respect of any major modifications and repairs.

(c) Maintenance is performed in accordance with the regulations
of the overseeing authority.

(d) Technical records are completed in accordance with the
requirements of the responsible authority.

(e) Any mandatory reportable conditions found in aeronautical
products are reported to the owner or operator.

(f) Aeronautical products are released using the appropriate
certification required by the overseeing authority.

(g) The authorized release certificate clearly states that
maintenance performed is released in accordance with the
Technical Agreement.

(h) Where applicable, procedures for the review and re-release
of parts in respect of which the maintenance has been
performed before the Technical Arrangement was signed.
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4.1.5 Once the above criteria are met and have been approved by the overseeing authority, the overseeing authority will notify the responsible authority of the approval and the scope of work that may be performed by the organisation.

4.1.6 The responsible authority will establish means of notifying potential clients of organisations approved under the Technical Arrangement of the identities and scope of approval of organisations so approved.

4.1.7 Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, either party may revoke the privileges of an organisation pursuant to the Technical Arrangement, where the party finds that the organisation is not maintaining the applicable standards or is otherwise not achieving the intent of the Technical Arrangement.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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COOPERATION ARRANGEMENT
ON MUTUAL ACCEPTANCE
OF APPROVAL OF AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING ORGANISATIONS
BETWEEN
CIVIL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION OF CHINA,
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT, HONG KONG, CHINA AND
CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY – MACAO, CHINA

1 Introduction

1.1 The Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department (HKCAD) has entered into a Cooperation Arrangement on 29 October 2013 with Civil Aviation Administration of China (CAAC) and the Civil Aviation Authority – Macao, China (AACM), hereinafter referred to as the ‘Authorities’.

1.2 The Authorities accept that the rules, standards, practices, procedures and systems for the approval and monitoring of maintenance training organisations in respect of civil aircraft are sufficiently equivalent to allow the mutual recognition of such organisations and the acceptance of training certificates issued by those approved maintenance training organisations between the Authorities.

1.3 Except by mutual consent in a particular case between the Authorities, no Authority will issue any further approvals to those approved maintenance training organisations located in the area of the other Authority.

2 The Cooperation Arrangement

The Cooperation Arrangement signed on 29 October 2013 is available on HKCAD website: http://www.cad.gov.hk.

3 Definitions

For the purpose of the Cooperation Arrangement, the terms are defined as follows.

(a) Approval of a maintenance training organisation means the approval or licensing of a maintenance training organisation.
(b) **Maintenance training organisations** means organisations that are carrying out the business of conducting the approved maintenance training courses subject to the organisation's compliance with the regulations/requirements of the approving Authority.

(c) **Joint Maintenance Management (JMM)** means the management responsible for the administrative and technical implementation of the Cooperation Arrangement.

4 **Applicability**

4.1 The Cooperation Arrangement covers maintenance training functions with respect to basic, aircraft type and component. Maintenance training courses shall be approved by the Authority having jurisdiction over the organisation.

4.2 With the signing of the Cooperation Arrangement on 29 October 2013 and the mutual agreement between the Authorities, the scope of mutual acceptance at this juncture covers maintenance training on aircraft type training (theoretical and/or practical) only.

4.3 Subject to paragraph 4.4, a maintenance training organisation approved to perform maintenance training functions under the jurisdiction of one Authority will be recognised by the other Authorities for the performance of the same functions (hereinafter called ‘a recognised maintenance training organisation’).

4.4 Unless otherwise decided in a particular case between the Authorities, the maintenance training organisation must be located within the territory of the Authority granting the approval.

4.5 In relation to the aircraft type training that paragraph 4.2 refers, the Authorities will accept training certificates issued by a recognised maintenance training organisation without further investigation. CCAR-147 Training Certificate, HKAR-147 Certificate of Recognition and MAR-147 Certificate of Recognition are deemed equivalent.

5 **Effective**

With effect from the signing of the Cooperation Arrangement, all applicable aircraft type training as identified in paragraph 4.2 of this Notice, with the completion date of the training course on or after 29 October 2013, shall be accepted by HKCAD as described in paragraph 4.5 of this Notice.
6 Application for aircraft type rating endorsement on HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence (AML) under the Cooperation Arrangement

6.1 Applicant must satisfy all relevant requirements as stipulated in HKAR-66 Licensing of Maintenance Personnel in particular the requirements on the endorsement of an appropriate aircraft type rating.

6.2 Applicant should demonstrate satisfactory completion of the relevant category B1 and/or B2* aircraft type training by submitting a copy of the training certificate issued by an appropriately approved CCAR-147 or MAR-147 recognised maintenance training organisation. The completion date of aircraft type training course must be on or after the effective date as stated in paragraph 5.

6.3 Pursuant to paragraph 4.5, CCAR-147 Training Certificate and MAR-147 Certificate of Recognition are deemed equivalent to HKAR-147 Certificate of Recognition, subject to the following conditions:

(a) a CCAR-147 Training Certificate on Aircraft Type II for the category of aviation mechanical engineering (ME) is equivalent to HKAR-147 Certificate of Recognition for the category B1; and

(b) a CCAR-147 Training Certificate on Aircraft Type II for the category of aviation avionics (AV) is equivalent to HKAR-147 Certificate of Recognition for the category B2*; and

(c) a MAR-147 Certificate of Recognition for the category(ies) B1 and B2 is equivalent to HKAR-147 Certificate of Recognition for the category(ies) B1 and B2* respectively; and

(d) samples of CCAR-147 and MAR-147 training certificates are attached in Appendix No.1 to this Notice.

7 Application for Recognition - HKAR-147 Approved Maintenance Training Organisations

7.1 A HKAR-147 approved maintenance training organisation located in Hong Kong, except as provided otherwise in paragraph 4.4, is automatically recognised under the Cooperation Arrangement as a recognised maintenance training organisation. No separate application is required.

7.2 Contact details of all HKAR-147 approved maintenance training organisations can be found on the following HKCAD website: http://www.cad.gov.hk/english/approved_organisations_aw.html

8 Maintenance Training Standardisation (MATS) Team Audit

8.1 The JMM may invoke, as necessary, the MATS audit procedures on HKCAD
and its recognised HKAR-147 approved maintenance training organisations.

8.2 MATS Team will visit HKCAD and its HKAR-147 approved maintenance training organisation to verify that the organisation is approved and monitored in accordance with the HKCAD published requirements and regulations.

8.3 A recognised maintenance training organisation must accept such visits from the MATS Team and it shall meet the associated costs, if applicable, incurred by the Team.

9 Notification of Non-Compliance

HKCAD will promptly notify the other Authorities of:

9.1 serious non-compliance with any regulations or any condition made in accordance with or relating to the Cooperation Arrangement by any recognised Hong Kong maintenance training organisation; and

9.2 any investigation or enforcement action, including revocation, suspension or change of scope of approval in respect of any recognised Hong Kong maintenance training organisation.

10 Revocation of Recognition

Revocation of HKAR-147 approved maintenance training organisation approval automatically invalidates the status of JMM recognised maintenance training organisation.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
SAMPLES OF CCAR-147 AND MAR-147 TRAINING CERTIFICATES

附件3:

培训证书

TRAINING CERTIFICATE

证书号 Certificate No. : __________

兹证明:
This certificate is issued to verify that:

成功完成如下课程
has successfully completed the course

（课程名称 Course Title）*

自 年 月 日 至 年 月 日

from to

培训地点 Training Location: __________

学时 Training hours: __________

培训机构名称

Name of Training Organization

中国民用航空总局批准证书号: __________

Approved by CAAC under the approval No. __________

责任经理

Accountable manager

日期

Date

F147-3 (08/2004)
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CCAR-347
CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION

MAR-147 APPROVED AIRCRAFT TYPE MAINTENANCE TRAINING COURSE OR AIRCRAFT TYPE EXAMINATION

This Certificate of recognition is issued to:

NAME

ADDRESS

By (may be pre-printed) ______________________________ an organisation approved to the requirements of MAR-147 by the AACM under approval reference __________________

This Certificate confirms that the above named person either successfully passed the approved aircraft type training course or aircraft type examination stated below:

SPECIFY AIRCRAFT TYPE COURSE or AIRCRAFT TYPE EXAMINATION AND DATE COMPLETED or PASSED

Signed: __________________________ Certificate No __________________________

For: (may be pre-printed) _______________ Date: __________________________
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 31
Issue 5
31 January 2002

APPROVAL OF AIRCRAFT RADIO STATIONS

1. Under the provisions of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, as amended (hereinafter referred as "the Order") aircraft radio stations must be approved by the Director-General.

2. The radio station will normally be inspected before such approval is given and the purpose of the inspection will be to ensure that the station complies with the requirements of the Order and Sub-sections 1.3-11 of the HKAR-1.

3. The approval of the aircraft radio station is one of the items upon which the granting of a Certificate of Airworthiness is dependent. Inspections will therefore be carried out when the Certificate of Airworthiness is due for renewal and may also be made at any other time to ensure that the radio station is maintained in a fully serviceable condition.

4. An air test of the radio station may be necessary before approval is granted and the requirements for this will be detailed to the aircraft owner.

5. Any radio equipment which is essential to the safe operation of the aircraft will be required to be of an approved type and any other radio equipment which is installed must also be of an approved type and be installed in an approved manner or else rendered inoperative and isolated so as not to cause a hazard to the aircraft.

6. No modifications to equipment of an approved radio station or its mode of installation may be made without the approval of the Director-General unless they are produced by an organisation holding suitable Design Approval.

7. Approval of the new or modified aircraft radio station will be signified by the issue of form DCA 141 'APPROVAL OF AIRCRAFT RADIO INSTALLATION'.

8. It should be noted that a licence from the Telecommunication Authority is required. This will be issued following the approval of the Aircraft Radio Station.

9. Applications for the approval of a new station or modifications to an existing station should be made to the Civil Aviation Department, Airworthiness Office.

10. Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 31 Issue 4, dated 1 November 1996.
which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation
1 Article 9 of Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 requires that aircraft registered in Hong Kong for which a certificate of airworthiness is in force be maintained in accordance with a maintenance schedule approved by the Director-General. The approved maintenance schedule requirements relating to overhaul of light aircraft piston engines are normally defined as the engine manufacturers' recommended overhaul periods, where this has been promulgated under a system approved by the airworthiness authority responsible for the engine. CAD policy in respect of extensions to the recommended overhaul periods (operating time and calendar time) for piston engines used in light aircraft is set out in this Notice.

"Light aircraft piston engine" in this context means either:-

(a) a piston engine installed in an aircraft, the Maximum Total Weight Authorised of which does not exceed 2730 kg, or

(b) a piston engine of 400 hp (298 kW) or less.

NOTE: For the purpose of this Notice 'engine' includes those components and equipment necessary for satisfactory functioning and control. The propeller and its associated equipment are excluded except for those components that are part of the engine type design.

2 It is emphasised that the Director-General has taken the decision to allow extension of overhaul periods as defined in 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 on the basis of the effect on airworthiness only. The economics of operation is not the responsibility of the Director-General, although this may have been considered by the manufacturer in establishing the recommended overhaul periods. Owners/Operators must make their own decisions on these other aspects. Unless satisfied that the engine remains in an airworthy condition, the Owner/Operator should have the engine overhauled.

3 Continuation in service shall be subject to compliance with paragraph 3.1, as qualified by paragraphs 3.1.1 to 3.1.2, as appropriate.

3.1 Unless otherwise stated, engines may be operated to the periods between overhauls which have been recommended by the manufacturer and promulgated under a system approved by the airworthiness authority responsible for the engine. All such recommendations, whether stated in terms of operating time or calendar time, constitute a recommended overhaul.
period for the purposes of this Notice, including recommendations by the manufacturer for reduced overhaul periods with particular types of operation or particular service bulletin/modification configurations.

3.1.1 Engines that have reached the operating time or calendar time limitation of a recommended overhaul period may continue in service for a further period of operation not exceeding 20% of the recommended operating time or calendar time, whichever occurs first, subject to (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e).

(a) Compliance being shown with the appropriate limitations specified in Appendix No.1 paragraph 5 to this Notice.

(b) Compliance being shown with any applicable Airworthiness Directive which requires compliance at engine overhaul, unless otherwise agreed by the Director-General.

(c) The engine must have been operated in an aircraft registered in Hong Kong for a period of at least 200 hours immediately prior to completion of the engine manufacturer’s overhaul period recommendation.

(d) The engine being inspected in accordance with paragraph 4 in order to assess its condition immediately prior to the increase, and subsequently at 100 hour or yearly intervals, whichever occurs first.

(e) The data obtained during the inspections of paragraph 4 being entered in the engine log book.

3.1.2 Engines that have complied with paragraphs 3.1 and 3.1.1, and have completed the 120% of the recommended operating time or calendar time, whichever occurs first, may continue in service indefinitely, subject to (a), (b), (c) and (d).

(a) The engine being installed in aircraft which is not used for the purpose of Public Transport or Aerial Work.

(b) Compliance being shown with the appropriate limitations specified in Appendix No.1 to this Notice.

(c) The engine being inspected in accordance with paragraph 4 in order to assess its condition before exceeding 120% of the recommended operating time or calendar time, whichever occurs first, and subsequently being inspected and re-assessed at 100 hour or yearly intervals, whichever occurs first.
The data obtained during the inspections of paragraph 4 being entered in the engine log book.

3.2 In the event that the inspection referred to in paragraphs 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 results in rejection, a thorough engineering investigation must be carried out to establish the maintenance actions required to return the engine to an airworthy condition.

4 The inspections referred to in paragraph 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 to assess the condition of engines shall be in accordance with Appendix No. 3 to this Notice and shall be carried out by persons or organisations as follows:

(a) Engines installed in aircraft that are used for the purpose of Public Transport or Aerial Work by a CAD360 Operator under an Air Operator's Certificate, shall be inspected by a HKAR-145 maintenance organisation appropriately approved for the purpose.

(b) All other engines shall be inspected by an appropriately type rated HKAR-66 Aircraft Maintenance Licence holder or an organisation specifically approved for the purpose.

5 In no case shall any mandatory restrictions be exceeded, and the compliance with mandatory bulletins/modifications/inspections shall be completed at the specified time.

6 In the case of engines not incorporating all the service bulletins/modifications or parts that would enable it to qualify for any overhaul period recommended by the engine manufacturer as defined in paragraph 3.1 of this Notice, or of engine types not included in the manufacturers' bulletins, a specific recommendation in writing must be sought from the engine manufacturer, and if this is not obtainable, an application must be made to the Director-General. The Director-General need not be consulted in a case where the only question is that an engine manufacturer's documents restrict recommended overhaul periods to engines embodying only parts specified by the engine manufacturer: the Director-General will not require such restrictions to be applied provided that all parts are acceptable under the provisions of Airworthiness Notice No. 17, and there has been no adverse experience relating to the use of such parts.

7 For clarity, the requirements of paragraph 3 are presented in tabular form in Appendix No.2.

8 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 35 Issue 5, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-35 P.3 30 May 2005
1 The concept of allowing engines to run beyond the manufacturer's recommended overhaul period depends upon it being possible to assess the condition of the engine by prescribed inspections carried out at defined intervals. It is not intended to provide a freedom to run until the engine fails.

2 Although it is possible to identify engine degradation in many areas of the engine, there are some potential failure modes (e.g. crankshaft cracking, counterweight wear) for which predictive checks would not be effective without engine disassembly.

3 For the above reasons, the overhaul period extensions defined in paragraph 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 of Airworthiness Notice No. 35 may not be applied unless adequate in service reliability has been demonstrated, particularly in relation to failures which cannot be prevented by on-wing inspection. Those engine types that are not eligible to make use of the provisions of Airworthiness Notice No. 35 are detailed in paragraph 5.

4 The UKCAA has sought the advice of the manufacturers of the majority of the piston engines currently used in light aircraft to try to identify those engine components which service experience has shown to have running time limits beyond which it would not be reasonable to operate, (i.e. components the failure of which are not susceptible to prior detection but which would result in either an unacceptably high failure rate or a hazardous failure). The Director-General has adopted the aforementioned and any limits identified are reflected in paragraph 5 below.

5 Limitations

The provisions of the Airworthiness Notice No. 35 are applicable to all light aircraft piston engines except where listed below:

5.1 Rolls-Royce Gipsy Major Engines - Prior to running beyond 120% of the manufacturer's recommended overhaul period, engines other than Major 10 and earlier marks incorporating Modification 2385 (splined propeller attachment) must have the taper portion of the crankshaft "Sulfinuz" treated by Modification 2690 or appropriate alternative. In accordance with Rolls-Royce Technical News Sheet G15, engines must not exceed an overhaul period of 1000 hours unless Modification 2495 is embodied.

5.2 The following engine types have yet to accumulate sufficient service experience to demonstrate acceptable reliability when operating at the manufacturer's recommended overhaul period. Once acceptable reliability has been demonstrated, requests to extend the applicability of Airworthiness Notice No. 35 to include the particular engine type will be considered. In the
mean time, the provisions of Airworthiness Notice No. 35 are not applicable to:

5.2.1 Societe de Motorisations Aeronautique – All types
5.2.2 Rotax – All types
5.2.3 Thielert – All types
5.2.4 Mid-West Engines – All types

6 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 35 Appendix No.1 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.
# LIGHT AIRCRAFT PISTON ENGINE OVERHAUL PERIODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Aircraft used for the purposes of Public Transport or Aerial Work</th>
<th>Aircraft not used for the purposes of Public Transport or Aerial Work (i.e. used for Private flight only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within Recommended Overhaul Period</td>
<td>Manufacturer's recommended overhaul period, defined in operating time and calendar time (if applicable), provided the engine conforms to appropriate service bulletin/modification configuration and types of operation. (Otherwise see paragraph 6 of Airworthiness Notice No. 35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensions not exceeding 20% of Recommended Overhaul Period (operating time and calendar time)</td>
<td>Acceptable subject to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compliance with Appendix No.1 paragraph 5 to Airworthiness Notice No.35.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compliance with all applicable Airworthiness Directives required to be incorporated at engine overhauls.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inspections in accordance with paragraph 4 of Airworthiness Notice No.35 at completion of recommended overhaul period (operating time or calendar time) and then at 100 hour or yearly intervals, whichever occurs first.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensions in excess of 20% of Recommended Overhaul Period</td>
<td>No further extension without written approval from the Director-General.</td>
<td>Engines may continue in service indefinitely subject to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Compliance with Appendix No.1 to Airworthiness Notice No.35.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Further inspection in accordance with paragraph 4 of Airworthiness Notice No.35 at 120% and then at 100 hour or yearly intervals, whichever occurs first.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: This Table is intended for easy reference only; for detail the Airworthiness Notice No.35 applies.

## Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.35 Appendix No.2 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.
This appendix gives guidance on the procedures which are necessary for a light aircraft piston engine to be accepted as being in a condition that will allow operation beyond the recommended overhaul period under the terms of Airworthiness Notice No.35.

A piston engine that has reached the end of its normal overhaul period may be expected to have suffered some wear to cylinders, pistons, valves, bearings and other moving parts, but an engine that has been carefully operated and maintained may still be in a condition suitable for a further period of service.

Many factors affect the wear that takes place in an engine. The most important of these include: the efficiency of the air intake filter, the techniques used in engine handling, particularly during starting, the quality of the fuel and oil used in the engine and the conditions under which the aircraft is housed when not in use. Conditions of operation are also relevant; the length of flights, the atmospheric conditions during flight and on the ground, and the type of flying undertaken. Many of these factors are outside the province of the maintenance engineer, but meticulous compliance with the approved Maintenance Schedule and any instructions provided in the form of service bulletins or constructor's recommendations will undoubtedly help to prolong the life of an engine.

The inspections and tests that may be necessary to assess the condition of an engine in compliance with Airworthiness Notice No.35 are detailed in the following paragraphs.

A number of items included in the normal scheduled maintenance of an engine may be repeated to determine the condition of an engine at the end of its normal overhaul period, and additional inspections may also be specified.
3.1 External Condition

The engine should be examined externally for obvious defects such as a cracked crankcase, excessive play in the propeller shaft, overheating and corrosion, which would make it unacceptable for further use.

3.2 Internal Condition

Significant information concerning the internal condition of an engine may be obtained from an examination of the oil filters and magnetic plugs, for metal particle contamination. These checks may be sufficient to show that serious wear or breakdown has taken place and that the engine is unacceptable for further service.

3.3 Oil Consumption

Since the oil consumption of an engine may have increased towards the end of its normal overhaul period, an accurate check of the consumption over the last 10 flying hours would show whether it is likely to exceed the maximum recommended by the constructor, if the overhaul period were to be extended.

3.4 Compression Check

Piston ring or cylinder wear, or poor valve sealing could, in addition to increasing oil consumption, result in a significant loss of power. A cylinder compression check is a method of determining, without major disassembly, the standard of sealing provided by the valves and piston rings. This should be carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. In the absence of any published recommendations for a particular engine type, one of the methods of 3.4.1 to 3.4.3 should be used.

3.4.1 On engines with a small number of cylinders, a simple compression check may be carried out by rotating the engine by hand and noting the resistance to rotational as each cylinder passes through its compression stroke. The check should normally be made shortly after running the engine while a film of oil remains on the rubbing surfaces, to assist sealing and prevent scoring the working parts. If this is not possible, the constructor may recommend that oil is introduced into each cylinder and the engine turned through a number of revolutions before making the test.

This method may be used to determine serious loss of compression on a single cylinder or the difference between the compressions of individual cylinders, but may not accurately show a similar partial loss of compression on all the cylinders of an engine.

An alternative method, which will give a more accurate result, is to fit
a pressure gauge (reading up to 1400 \text{kPa} (200 \text{lbf/in}^2)) in place of one sparking plug in each cylinder in turn and note the reading as the piston passes through top dead centre (TDC) on the compression stroke.

3.4.2 Another method of carrying out a direct compression test is by the use of a proprietary type of compression tester equipped with a means of recording cylinder pressure on a graph card. One set of plugs should be removed immediately after an engine run, and the compression tester fitted to each cylinder in turn while rotating the engine by means of the starter motor. The effectiveness of combustion charge sealing can be judged by assessment of the graph records obtained.

3.4.3 A further method of checking engine compression is the differential pressure test. In this test a regulated air supply (normally 560kPa (80 \text{lbf/in}^2)) is applied to each cylinder in turn and a pressure gauge used to record the actual air pressure in the cylinder. Since some leakage will normally occur, cylinder pressure will usually be less than supply pressure and the difference will be an indication of the condition of the piston rings and valves. By listening for escaping air at the carburettor intake, exhaust and crankcase breather, a defective component may be located. As with the previous tests, it is usually recommended that the differential pressure test is carried out as soon as possible after running the engine.

4 Power Output of Aeroplane Engines

The power developed by an aeroplane engine after initial installation is established in the form of a reference engine speed, which is recorded in the appropriate log book so that a comparison can be made during subsequent power checks. The reference engine speed is the observed engine speed obtained using specified power settings and conditions, corrected, by means of graphs supplied by the engine constructor (or those contained in UKCAA Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures - CAP 562 Leaflet 7-5 Piston Engine Overhaul – Correcting Engine Test Results), to the figure which would be obtained at standard sea-level atmospheric temperature and pressure; changes in humidity do not produce large changes of power and are ignored for the purpose of establishing a reference engine speed or subsequently checking engine power. Power checks should be corrected in the same way.

4.1 Power Checks

The majority of light aeroplane piston engines are air-cooled and rely on an adequate flow of air for proper cooling of the cylinders. This condition can only be obtained during flight, and ground runs should, therefore, be as brief as possible. Cooling can be assisted by facing the aircraft into wind, but high wind conditions must be avoided when making power checks, as they will seriously affect the results obtained. Before running the engine at high
power the normal operating temperatures should be obtained (not the minimum temperatures specified for operation) and during the test careful watch should be kept on oil and cylinder temperatures to prevent the appropriate limitations being exceeded.

4.1.1 Normally-aspirated engines are tested at full throttle and, where a controllable-pitch propeller is fitted, with fully fine pitch selected. The changes in barometric pressure affecting engine power are considered to be balanced by changes in propeller load, so that only a temperature correction is necessary. This correction factor may be obtained from a graph supplied by the engine constructor or, if this is not available, from the graph shown in UKCAA Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures (CAP 562) Leaflet 7-5 Piston Engine Overhaul – Correcting Engine Test Results (Figure 1). The observed full throttle speed multiplied by the correction factor will give the corrected speed.

4.1.2 Although normally-aspirated engines are often fitted with variable-pitch propellers, the engine speed obtained at full throttle is usually less than the governed speed and the propeller remains in fully fine pitch. With supercharged engines, however, the propeller is usually governed to a constant speed at high power settings and small changes in power will not affect engine speed. The power of a supercharged engine is, therefore, checked by establishing a reference speed at prescribed power settings.

(a) Since a supercharged engine is run at a specified manifold pressure regardless of the atmospheric pressure, corrections must be made for both temperature and pressure variations from the standard atmosphere.

(b) The procedure is to run the engine until normal operating temperatures are obtained, open up to maximum take-off manifold pressure, decrease power until a fall in engine speed occurs (denoting that the propeller blades are on their fine pitch stops), then throttle back to the manifold pressure prescribed by the constructor and observe the engine speed obtained.

(c) The correction factor to be applied to the observed engine speed of a supercharged engine may be obtained from graphs supplied by the engine constructor.

4.1.3 Although the engine speed obtained during a check of engine power is corrected as necessary for atmospheric temperature and pressure, no correction is made for humidity, ambient wind conditions or instrument errors and, consequently, the corrected engine speed is seldom exactly equal to the reference speed even if engine condition is
unchanged. However engine power may usually be considered satisfactory if the corrected speed obtained during a power check is within 3% of the reference speed.

4.1.4 If it is not possible to assess power deterioration by means of a power check (e.g. due to fitting a different propeller), a rate-of-climb flight test should be carried out.

5 Power Output of Helicopter Engines

The power developed by the engine of a single-engined helicopter is considered to be adequately checked during normal operations; any loss of power should be readily apparent. It is thus not considered necessary to check the power output of a helicopter engine separately specifically for the purpose of complying with Airworthiness Notice No. 35.

6 Power Loss

If the power check (paragraph 4) or normal engine operation reveals an unacceptable loss of power or rough running, it may be possible to rectify this by carrying out certain normal servicing operations or by replacement of components or equipment. The replacement of sparking plugs, resetting of tappets or magneto contact breaker points, or other adjustments to the ignition or carburetion systems, are all operations that may result in smoother running and improve engine power.

7 Servicing

If the engine proves to be suitable for further service, a number of servicing operations will normally be due, in accordance with the approved Maintenance Schedule. Unless carried out previously (paragraph 6) these operations should be completed before the engine is returned to service.

8 Log Book Entries

A record of the checks made, and any rectification or servicing work, must be entered and certified in the engine log book before the engine is cleared to service for its recommended or extended life under the provision of Airworthiness Notice No. 35.
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 36
Issue 16
28 February 2011

MANDATORY MODIFICATIONS, INSPECTIONS AND CHANGES TO APPROVED DOCUMENTATION

1  The requirements and procedures stipulated in this Airworthiness Notice have been transferred and incorporated in the HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.6-6.

2  Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 36 Issue 15, dated 31 January 2008, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-36 P.1 28 February 2011
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
HONG KONG MANDATORY AIRWORTHINESS REQUIREMENT

1 The Mandatory Airworthiness Requirements detailed in this Appendix No.1 to Airworthiness Notice No. 36 are Mandatory Airworthiness Requirements referred to in the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, Article 8(7), as amended as those required by the Director-General to be completed as a condition for a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness to remain in force.

Ref. : -36-A-1
Title : Installation of Engine Magnetic Chip Detectors in accordance with RRSB72- 7911
Issue date : 18.9.85
Applicability : All Rolls Royce RB211 propulsion systems
Compliance : Installation to be completed by 1st June 1986
Description : This modification introduces a new style Magnetic Chip Detector, which incorporates a baulking feature so preventing insertion of the probe unless the first oil sealing ring is located in its sealing bore.

2 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.36 Appendix No.1 Issue 4, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 36B
Issue 2
30 September 2003

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION
CARGO COMPARTMENT CLASS CHANGE

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in either the Transport (Passenger) or Transport (Cargo) Category.

Note: Aeroplanes originally certificated to FAA 14 CFR Part 25 prior to amendment 25-93 and operated under FAA 14 CFR Part 91 or Part 135 before issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Hong Kong are not required to comply with this Notice.

2 Introduction

2.1 FAR 25.857 amendment 25-93 deleted the definition of Class D cargo compartments. FAR 25.858 was also revised to include baggage compartments. And most significantly, FAR 121.314 was revised to require that all Class D cargo compartments meet the criteria for Class C compartments or, if an all-cargo airplane, for Class E compartments. The revised FAR 121.314 applies to transport category airplanes type certificated after 1 January 1958.

2.2 The Director-General adopts the FAR changes in total except the due date for retrofit.

3 Compliance

3.1 After 1 July 2003, each Class D cargo and baggage compartment classified as per FAR 25.857, regardless of volume, must meet the standards of FAR 25.857(c) and FAR 25.858 for a Class C compartment unless the operation is an all-cargo operation in which case each Class D compartment may meet the standards in FAR 25.857(e) for a Class E compartment.

3.2 After 1 July 2003, each Class D cargo and baggage compartment classified as per JAR 25.857, regardless of volume, must meet the standards of JAR 25.857(c) and JAR 25.858 for a Class C compartment unless the
operation is an all-cargo operation in which case each Class D compartment may meet the standards in JAR 25.857(e) for a Class E compartment.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 36B Issue 1, dated 1 July 2001, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 September 2003 AN-36B P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

COCKPIT SECURITY

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport (Passenger) Category:

1.1 of a Maximum Total Weight Authorised (MTWA) in excess of 54500 kg; or

1.2 of a MTWA in excess of 45500 kg with a Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC) greater than 19; or

1.3 with a MAPSC greater than 60.

2 Introduction

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Amendment 27 to the International Standards and Recommended Practices of Chapter 13 – Security, of Annex 6 to the Convention of International Civil Aviation – Operation of Aircraft. The amended Standards require the installation of a reinforced flight crew compartment door, a means to lock and unlock the door from either pilot’s station and a means to monitor the area outside the flight crew compartment.

2.2 The subsequent Amendment 43 to Chapter 13 – Security, of Annex 6 changes the applicability of all passenger-carrying aeroplanes as detailed in paragraph 1 above.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended, which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.
3 Compliance

All applicable Hong Kong registered aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 Install flight crew compartment doors to the standards of European Union Aviation Safety Agency CS 25.795 or Federal Aviation Administration 14 CFR 25.795.

4.2 Install a means for monitoring from either pilot's station the entire door area immediately outside the flight crew compartment to identify persons requesting entry and to detect suspicious behaviour or potential threat.

4.3 Install a means for locking and unlocking the cockpit door from either pilot’s station.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendations

ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 13 recommends that:

6.1 all passenger-carrying aeroplanes should be equipped with an approved flight crew compartment door, where practicable, that is designed to resist penetration by small arms fire and grenade shrapnel, and to resist forcible intrusions by unauthorised persons. This door should be capable of being locked and unlocked from either pilot’s station.

6.2 the door should be closed and locked from the time all external doors are closed following embarkation until any such door is opened for disembarkation, except when necessary to permit access and egress by authorised persons; and

6.3 means should be provided for monitoring from either pilot’s station the entire door area outside the flight crew compartment to identify persons requesting entry and to detect suspicious behaviour or potential threat.
Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 36E Issue 3, dated 8 November 2018, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT  
HONG KONG, CHINA  

Airworthiness Notice  
No. 36F  
Issue 9  
8 November 2018  

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION  

SERVICEABILITY CHECKS OF FLIGHT RECORDERS  

1 Applicability  
This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness. The requirements prescribed in this Airworthiness Notice are operational requirements in addition to Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 requirements on the subject.  

2 Introduction  
2.1 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has published Standards in Parts I, II and III of Annex 6 that require serviceability checks of flight recorders installed in aircraft.  

Note: Crash protected flight recorders comprise one or more of the following systems: a flight data recorder (FDR), a cockpit voice recorder (CVR), an airborne image recorder (AIR) and/or a data link recorder (DLR). Lightweight flight recorders comprise one or more of the following systems: an aircraft data recording system (ADRS), a cockpit audio recording system (CARS), an airborne image recording system (AIRS) and/or a data link recording system (DLRS).  

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended, which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards in accordance with the Convention.  

2.3 Airworthiness Notices Nos. AN 101 and AN 101D prescribe additional certification requirements for flight recorders.  

3 Operational requirements on continued serviceability of flight recorders  
3.1 Operational checks and evaluations of recordings from the Flight Data Recorder (FDR) and Cockpit Voice Recorder (CVR) systems shall be conducted to ensure the continued serviceability of the recorders. Procedures for the inspections of the FDR and CVR systems shall be as follows:  

3.1.1 Prior to the first flight of the day, the built-in test features on the flight deck for the CVR, FDR and Flight Data Acquisition Unit (FDAU), when installed, shall be monitored.
3.1.2 Inspections of flight recorder systems shall be carried out as follows:

(a) FDR systems or Aircraft Data Recording System (ADRS), CVR systems or Cockpit Audio Recording System (CARS) and Airborne Image Recorder (AIR) systems or Airborne Image Recording System (AIRS) shall have recording inspection intervals of one year. Subject to the approval from the Director-General, this period may be extended to two years provided these systems have demonstrated a high integrity of serviceability and self-monitoring. Data Link Recorder (DLR) systems or Data Link Recording System (DLRS) shall have recording inspection intervals of two years. Subject to the approval from the Director-General, this period may be extended to four years provided these systems have demonstrated high integrity of serviceability and self-monitoring;

(b) an analysis of the recorded data from the flight recorders shall ensure that the recorder operates correctly for the nominal duration of the recording;

(c) the analysis of the FDR or ADRS recording shall evaluate the quality of the recorded data to determine if the bit error rate (including those errors introduced by recorder, the acquisition unit, the source of the data on the aeroplane and by the tools used to extract the data from the recorder) is within acceptable limits and to determine the nature and distribution of the errors;

(d) the FDR or ADRS recording from a complete flight shall be examined in engineering units to evaluate the validity of all recorded parameters. Particular attention shall be given to parameters from sensors dedicated to the FDR or ADRS. Parameters taken from the aircraft's electrical bus system need not be checked if their serviceability can be detected by other aircraft systems;

(e) the readout facility shall have the necessary software to accurately convert the recorded values to engineering units and to determine the status of discrete signals;

(f) an examination of the recorded signal on the CVR or CARS shall be carried out by replay of the CVR or CARS recording. While installed in the aircraft, the CVR or CARS shall record test signals from each aircraft source and from relevant external sources to ensure that all required signals meet intelligibility standards;
(g) where practicable, during the examination, a sample of in-flight recordings of the CVR or CARS shall be examined for evidence that the intelligibility of the signal is acceptable; and

(h) an examination of the recorded images on the AIR or AIRS shall be carried out by replay of the AIR or AIRS recording. While installed in the aircraft, the AIR or AIRS shall record test images from each aircraft source and from relevant external sources to ensure that all required images meet recording quality standards.

3.1.3 A flight recorder system shall be considered unserviceable if there is a significant period of poor quality data, unintelligible signals, or if one or more of the mandatory parameters is not recorded correctly.

3.1.4 For flight data recording system, documentation concerning parameter allocation, conversion equations, periodic calibration and other serviceability/maintenance information shall be maintained by the operator. The documentation needs to be sufficient to ensure that the Director-General has the necessary information to read out the data in engineering units.

3.1.5 A report of the recording inspection shall be made available on request to the Director-General for monitoring purposes.

3.1.6 Calibration of the FDR system:

(a) For those parameters which have sensors dedicated only to the FDR and are not checked by other means, recalibration shall be carried out at least every five years or in accordance with the recommendations of the sensor manufacturer to determine any discrepancies in the engineering conversion routines for the mandatory parameters and to ensure that parameters are being recorded within the calibration tolerances; and

(b) When the parameters of altitude and airspeed are provided by sensors that are dedicated to the FDR system, there shall be a recalibration performed as recommended by the sensor manufacturer, or at least every two years.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 36F Issue 8, dated 30 April 2015, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-36F P.3 8 November 2018
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 36G
Issue 1
23 February 2009

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

OPERATIONS OF SINGLE-ENGINE TURBINE-POWERED AEROPLANES AT NIGHT AND/OR IN INSTRUMENT METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS (IMC) AND HELICOPTERS IN PERFORMANCE CLASS 3 IN IMC EXCEPT SPECIAL VISUAL FLIGHT RULES (VFR) FLIGHTS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered single-engine turbine-powered aeroplanes and performance Class 3 helicopters issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness. The requirements prescribed in this Airworthiness Notice are compliance requirements in addition to Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 requirements on the subject.

2 Introduction

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Standards in Annex 6 Part I subject on "Additional requirements for operations of single-engine turbine-powered aeroplanes at night and/or in IMC", and Annex 6 Part III subject on "Additional requirements for operations of helicopters in performance Class 3 in IMC, except special VFR flights".

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards in accordance with the Convention.

3 Additional requirements for operations of single-engine turbine-powered aeroplanes at night and/or in IMC

3.1 For operations of a single-engine turbine-powered aeroplane at night and/or in IMC, the operator shall ensure the airworthiness certification of the aeroplane is appropriate and that the overall level of safety intended by the provisions of ICAO Annexes 6 and 8 is provided by:

(a) the reliability of the turbine engine;
the operator's maintenance procedures, operating practices, flight dispatch procedures and crew training programmes; and

equipment and other requirements provided in accordance with Appendix 3 to ICAO Annex 6 Part I - Additional Requirements For Approved Operations By Single-Engine Turbine-Powered Aeroplanes At Night And/Or In Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC).

Note: Guidance on additional requirements for operations of single-engine turbine-powered aeroplanes at night and/or in IMC is contained in the above-mentioned Appendix 3.

3.2 All single-engine turbine-powered aeroplanes operated at night and/or in IMC shall have an engine trend monitoring system, and those aeroplanes for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 23 February 2009 shall have an automatic trend monitoring system.

4 Additional requirements for operations of helicopters in performance Class 3 in IMC, except special VFR flights

4.1 For operations of helicopters in performance Class 3 in IMC, except special VFR, the operator shall ensure the helicopter is certificated for flight under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) and that the overall level of safety intended by the provisions of Annexes 6 and 8 is provided by:

(a) the reliability of the engines;
(b) the operator's maintenance procedures, operating practices and crew training programmes; and
(c) equipment and other requirements provided in accordance with Appendix 2 to ICAO Annex 6 Part III - Additional Requirements for Operations of Helicopters in Performance Class 3 in Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC).

Note: Guidance on additional requirements for operations of helicopters in performance Class 3 in IMC is contained in the above-mentioned Appendix 2.

4.2 Operators of helicopters operating in performance Class 3 in IMC shall have a programme for engine trend monitoring and shall utilize the engine and helicopter manufacturers’ recommended instruments, systems and operational/maintenance procedures to monitor the engines.
4.3 It is recommended that in order to minimise the occurrence of mechanical failures, helicopters operating in IMC in performance Class 3 should utilize vibration health monitoring for the tail-rotor drive system.

5 Compliance

With effect from 23 February 2009, all applicable Hong Kong registered aeroplanes and helicopters in performance Class 3 shall comply with the requirements prescribed in paragraphs 3 and 4 respectively of this Notice.

6 Additional Information

Nil.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

23 February 2009
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2 Introduction

Experience has shown that a greater degree of control has to be exercised over the painting of aircraft exteriors. The term painting in this context embraces the associated processes of stripping and such terms as refinishing and refurbishing.

3 Compliance

3.1 All aircraft defined in paragraph 1 which are to have their external finish substantially altered, shall comply with the requirements of this Notice.

3.2 The Owner, Operator or the Approved Maintenance Organisation must assess the proposed task for its airworthiness implication, taking into account the aircraft manufacturers published requirements and precautions in addition to the content of paragraph 6, and make a decision as to the need for a Certificate of Release to Service. Owners and Operators should consult their Approved Maintenance Organisations prior to making such a decision.

3.3 When a Certificate of Release to Service is judged to be necessary, the signatory to the Certificate of Release to Service will take responsibility for the whole process and should, therefore, assess the extent of the work to establish the need to:-

(a) Carry out on-site supervision including stage inspections.

(b) Brief the work force to avoid any airworthiness hazard, particularly where significant problems could be concealed by subsequent work processes. The briefing should emphasise the awareness with respect to the correct use and application of sharp instruments, e.g. knives and scrapers etc., which can, if misused, cause damage to aircraft structures, particularly pressurized airframes.
(c) Ensure that any task carried out is adequately defined by documented process specification containing sufficient information to control the procedure.

(d) Ensure that all necessary guidance material, including the aircraft manufacturers published data and the paint manufacturers instructions are provided.

(e) Anticipate potential problems resulting from partial restoration which could mean additional paint weight in significant areas and the need for balancing of control surfaces.

(f) Make provision to rectify any corrosion detected following paint removal.

(g) Ensure the restoration of corrosion inhibiting compounds where washing or use of solvents or other paint removal techniques may have removed them in areas adjacent to those being repainted.

(h) Determine the basic weight and corresponding centre of gravity position.

NOTE: It may benefit the Owner to anticipate any scheduled structural inspections including Non-Destructive Inspections, which could be better accomplished following the paint removal.

4 Requirement

When the need for a Certificate of Release to Service has been judged necessary under paragraph 3 of this Notice, for an aircraft which has been externally painted or had some significant change to its finish, such as paint removal and subsequent polishing, then a Certificate of Release to Service must be issued upon completion of the process (see paragraph 6).

5 Certificate of Release to Service

5.1 The Director-General will not grant specific Approval for painting of aircraft. Therefore, specialist painting organisations will not be entitled to issue any certification in respect of the airworthiness status of an aircraft following painting, unless the organisation holds an appropriate HKAR-145 Approval.

5.2 A HKAR-66 Category B1 or B3 Licence holder appropriately type rated, has authority to issue a Certificate of Release to Service for the satisfactory completion of the external finish.

5.3 Any other signatory would require direct authorisation from the Director-General.
6 Additional Information

6.1 Examples of likely damage and hazards that must be avoided include:-

(a) Damage caused during preparation work which could adversely affect the structural integrity of the aircraft, such as:-

- Reduction in fastener head size by uncontrolled use of power tools and abrasive media.
- Use of incorrect tools and equipment to remove paint and aerodynamic sealant from lap and butt joints.
- Surface scratching by use of paint scrapers.
- Degrading of composite or plastic surfaces by abuse of particle blasting techniques.
- Aluminium surface contamination by steel wool particles.
- Use of incorrect chemical paint strippers.

(b) Damage to transparencies, composites and sealants by solvent and paint removers, due to inadequate protection and/or the retention of these products in crevices.

(c) Inadvertent deletion of placards and markings, failure to renew them, or failure to comply with the required specification for, e.g. Registration Marks, mandatory door markings and break in zone identification.

(d) Blockage of vents, drains and other openings by debris, masking tape and residues of paint remover, paint or particle blast material. The possible ingress of water into fuel tanks through vent apertures or past filler cap seals when using high pressure hoses for washing down.

(e) Loss of correct mass balance moments on flight control surfaces.

(f) Uncontrolled variations to aircraft basic weight.

(g) Variation to surface profile and aerodynamic smoothness at critical points such as surface leading edges, by the uncontrolled use of fillers or excessive paint thickness.

(h) Inadequate knowledge of the manufacturers finishing schemes for antennas and radomes.

(i) Overly aggressive paint stripping which could damage the sealant around air data ports/orifices on Reduced Vertical Separation
Minimum (RVSM) compliant aircraft. Air flow over these areas is critical for the height keeping capability of the aircraft.

(j) For fabric coverings, special procedures which ensure proper adhesion and protection from the effects of ultra-violet light. Aggressive removal of the old finish may cause fabric damage. The exposed fabric should be assessed for its serviceability prior to refinishing. The advice published by the manufacturer of synthetic fabric would have to be made available and complied with in full as well as that of the aircraft manufacturer.

(k) The effects of excessive paint thickness on the application of non-destructive testing techniques using eddy current and ultrasonic methods.

(l) Jamming of flight control and landing gear mechanisms by preparation treatments and paint.

6.2 Examples of finishing work that would require the issue of a Certificate of Release to Service:-

(a) Complete repainting from bare metal or fabric, or overcoating an existing finish.

(b) Reversion from paint finish to polished metal.

(c) Repainting or reversion to bare metal on flying control surfaces or supercritical lifting surfaces.

(d) Extensive polishing of bare metal finish using abrasive polishes where skin thickness or fastener head dimensions are critical, particularly where polishing is to be a repetitive requirement.

(e) Finishing of radomes, antennas and composite materials used in Primary and Secondary structure.

(f) Painting in areas involving critical orifices or mandatory markings.

(g) Any alteration to the finish of helicopter main rotor and tail rotor blades or any other critical parts.

NOTES: (1) It is not intended that the requirement for the issue of a Certificate of Release to Service should include minor repairs to surface finish where airworthiness implications are minimal.

(2) The above list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive.

6.3 It is recommended that aircraft issued with a Permit to Fly should be subject to the same principles of compliance with this Notice.
6.4 Operators and maintenance organisations are reminded that the use of self-adhesive decals as an alternative to painting may totally preclude both visual and eddy current inspections. Operators and maintenance organisations need to address the impact on structural inspection tasks when using such decals and ensure that the aircraft maintenance programme requires their removal at the appropriate time.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.38 Issue 6, dated 31 January 2003, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
THE SELECTION AND PROCUREMENT OF ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS

1 Introduction

The selection and procurement of electronic components for use within aircraft equipment or for direct fitment to airframes continues to present problems for those concerned with airworthiness procedures for civil aircraft. This Notice prescribes how the relevant Hong Kong Aviation Requirements (HKAR) should be applied in order to achieve design and quality control of equipment and components.

2 Definition

2.1 The term 'Electronic Components', as interpreted by the BSI and other agencies, may include such items as microswitches or electrical connectors which are likely to be fitted directly to an airframe. More conventionally the term 'Component' also refers to parts such as capacitors and resistors which have no method of mounting other than by the soldered electrical connections. The application of such terms is usually within an equipment which itself is approved for installation in an aircraft.

2.2 For the purpose of this Notice such items are referred to as:-

(a) Aircraft Components, for items fitted directly to airframe or engine, and

(b) Component Parts, for items fitted within equipment.

HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 gives further definition of the terms used in this Notice.

3 Design Responsibility

3.1 Aircraft Components The requirements for electrical aircraft components are given in HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 and JAR 25.1351 to 25.1363. The installation requirements of electrical items are further considered in BCAR Section D6-1.

3.2 For the correct interpretation of this Notice it is important to understand the significance of the terms 'Controlled' and 'Uncontrolled' as applied to
electrical components. For items used as 'Aircraft Components' as defined here, the known or probable use will determine the approval category and, for Controlled Items, the requirements of paragraph 5 of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 will need to be followed as appropriate. The term 'Uncontrolled' relates solely to the status of items in their functional role in an aircraft and does not imply that such components may be ignored. Paragraph 2 of BCAR Chapter D6-1 requires those designing installations to consider the suitability of 'Unclassified parts and Equipment' and, where necessary, these shall be controlled ... to a standard to ensure compliance with the relevant requirements of ... Section D'. Thus the design and build standard of the 'Uncontrolled Item' may still be subject to a measure of control under the CAD procedures prescribed in this Notice to ensure that no new unassessed failure modes are introduced.

3.3 The selection and use of Aircraft Components is normally the responsibility of an Approved Organisation with appropriate Terms of Approval under HKAR-1 procedure. Such organisations need to satisfy themselves, and ultimately the Director-General, as to the suitability of an equipment in a given application. This is most conveniently done by obtaining a Declaration of Design and Performance (DDP) to a format based upon that given in HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 paragraph 6, BS 3G100 Part 1 or ISOR224. The authorisation of the DDP by an Approved Signatory within an Approved Organisation and its acceptance by the user constitutes Approval under Component Procedures. If the design authority for an equipment additionally obtains approval for their product from the Director-General then the equipment is said to be 'Accessory Approved'. It is important to note, however, that 'Accessory Approval' does not absolve the user from ensuring that the item to be used as an Aircraft Component is suitable for the particular application.

3.4 The assurance that the products obtained are as declared on the DDP is a function of a clearly defined purchase order requiring 'CAD Release'. (See paragraph 5) In the context of this Notice it is essential that users appreciate that generalised claims that an item is 'approved' can only relate to the conformity with specifications, compliance with which may, or may not, have been validated by a third party. Approval for aircraft use is a function of selection by an authorised Design Authority who will, in effect, approve the application of the item.

4 Component Parts - Selection

4.1 The designer of a piece of equipment which utilises individual component parts has freedom of choice in the matter of selection provided that the equipment taken as a whole meets its design specification and the relevant Airworthiness Requirements. The Requirements of HKAR-1 have to be satisfied and this establishes the need for control of the identification, reliability and modification standard of component parts. Users and overhaulers of equipment need to be able to procure component parts which will maintain the established and accepted reliability of the total equipment. It follows that all necessary information regarding special testing, selection or condition of component parts
shall be given in Overhaul Manuals.

4.2 Items produced to BS 9000 Specifications may well be suitable if the defined level of quality is compatible with the declared reliability for the total equipment, as further noted in paragraph 7.

5 **User Responsibility**

5.1 Users and overhaulers of equipment are responsible for showing compliance with the requirements of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.6-7 when obtaining replacement 'component parts' as stated in paragraph 4 of this Notice. It is emphasized that overhaulers of equipment are required to obtain authorisation before making substitutions or in any way deviating from the spares or replacements listed in the relevant Approved Overhaul or Maintenance Manuals of a 'controlled' item of equipment. In all cases of difficulty in procurement of the items referred to in Approved Manuals, the acceptance of alternatives must include authorisation by an appropriate Design Organisation.

5.2 HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 provides guidance on the control of quality of components obtained from overseas suppliers and Airworthiness Notice No.17 relates to Acceptance of Aeronautical Parts.

6 **The CAD Form One**

6.1 Users are reminded that the function of a CAD Form One issued by a CAD Approved Organisation (who will hold an Approval Number DAI/xxxx/xx or AI/xxxx/xx) is intended to ensure that the purchaser obtains the items which are ordered. The use of a CAD Form One does not automatically confer any 'CAD Approval' status on the product and it is the responsibility of the purchaser to reference the required part or drawing number on his order, together with any other necessary definition. The issue of a proprietary item on a CAD Form One indicates that the producing organisation is approved and that appropriate CAD procedures have been followed, and will be followed in the event of any subsequent enquiry. It is apparent, therefore, that it is not necessary for products to be Design Approved by the Director-General before they are released unless this is a condition of the order.

6.2 Purchasers should note that airworthiness procedures are applicable to airborne equipment and requests for 'CAD release' should be restricted to items which fall within the requirements of HKAR and where, therefore, the release has significance.

7 **BS 9000 Electronic Components of Assessed Quality**

Users proposing to accept components supplies to any form of BS 9000 specification or other specification systems employing similar formats should note and understand the assured quality aspect which is employed. Statistical sampling techniques essentially involve an element of risk which is determined by the Acceptance Quality Level (AQL) and sampling plans referenced in the specifications. The Director-General will
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expect users of BS 9000 components to hold copies of specifications concerned and to be in a position to appreciate the significance of the quality assurance techniques employed in these and other specifications involving sampling techniques.

8 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 39 Issue 4, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2002
MAINTENANCE OF COCKPIT AND CABIN COMBUSTION HEATERS AND THEIR ASSOCIATED EXHAUST SYSTEMS

1 Introduction

Fitment of oversize nozzles to combustion heaters will increase the concentration of carbon monoxide in the exhaust gases and may cause operating difficulties with the heater. Therefore, it is imperative that only nozzles of the type quoted by the manufacturer are fitted and that servicing, overhaul and inspection standards of combustion heaters and their associated exhaust systems are maintained at a high level.

Note: Carbon monoxide (CO), a poisonous gas, is a product of incomplete combustion and is found in varying degrees in all smoke and fumes from burning carbonaceous substances. It is colourless, odourless and tasteless.

2 Servicing and Overhaul

The requirements of this paragraph 2 are applicable to all aircraft:

2.1 Except where otherwise agreed by the Director-General, servicing, overhaul and inspection of combustion heaters and their associated exhaust systems shall be in accordance with the instructions contained in the appropriate manuals produced by the aircraft manufacturer and the equipment manufacturer. If the instructions in the aircraft manufacturer's manual differ from those in the equipment manufacturer's manual, those of the aircraft manufacturer shall be assumed to be overriding.

2.2 In addition to compliance with the provisions of the approved maintenance schedule and appropriate instructions, compliance shall (unless already accomplished in the course of aircraft maintenance) also be shown with the following sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), at intervals not exceeding 500 heater operating hours or two years, whichever is the sooner (but see paragraph 2.3).

(a) Combustion heaters and their exhaust systems shall be completely dismantled and inspected, and restored to the extent necessary to ensure continued safe operation. Combustion chambers shall, in
addition, be pressure tested.

(b) The hot air outlet ducting adjacent to the heater shall be inspected for exhaust contamination and the appropriate action shall be taken where there is any evidence of contamination.

2.3 Unless equipment which records heater operating hours is installed, it must be assumed that heater hours are equal to aircraft flying hours; or some percentage of flying hours that has been agreed with the Director-General. Applications for the agreement of a flying hour percentage should be made to the Director-General.

3 Maintenance Schedule Amendment

Holders of approved maintenance schedules for those aircraft affected by this Notice are required to submit appropriate amendments for approval.

4 Proprietary carbon monoxide detectors are available. Whilst the use of such detectors may be an aid to the detection of carbon monoxide contamination in aircraft, their use is not considered to be a satisfactory substitute for the procedure detailed in this Notice.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 41 Issue 5, dated 30 September 2005, which should be destroyed.

Norman L.O

Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 March 2012 AN-41 P.2
AIRCRAFT FIELD LOADABLE SOFTWARE (FLS) AND DATABASE FIELD LOADABLE DATA (DFLD)

1 Introduction

1.1 The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to provide guidance for operators and maintenance organisations on the configuration management, procurement, embodiment and tracking of aircraft FLS and DFLD to ensure continued airworthiness and operating safety standards are met.

1.2 The content of this Notice is based upon established as well as developing international standards.

1.3 It is recognised that operators and maintenance organisations may have already implemented satisfactory alternatives that meet the intent of this Notice. It will not be necessary for those organisations to change these procedures if they already meet the intent of this Notice.

1.4 The content of this Notice should be used to supplement the content of the Type Certificate (TC) or Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) holder's instructions.

1.5 This Notice is technology focused and the content should be considered as applicable to any aircraft using this level of technology.

1.6 This Notice does not apply to software applications for Electronic Flight Bags. For guidance on this subject the reader should refer to CAD 562 ‘Electronic Flight Bag (EFB)’.

2 Definitions

2.1 For the purpose of this Notice the following definitions apply:

(a) Aircraft Configuration List (ACL)
A list of Line Replaceable Units (LRU) and modules with Loadable Software Aircraft Part (LSAP) that are applicable to a specific aircraft. This list may be contained on data supplied by the TC holder in a Service Bulletin (SB), Service Information Letter (SIL) or Illustrated Parts Catalogue (IPC), or as a separate tracking system.

(b) **Field Loadable Software (FLS)**

Software (executable code) that can be loaded without removing the system or equipment from the aircraft. FLS can be loaded onto an aircraft system by a maintenance mechanic/technician in accordance with defined maintenance manual procedures. FLS can be configured as a component of target hardware and thus affect the part number of the target hardware. There are numerous types of FLS, but most can be categorised as follows:

(i) **Loadable Software Aircraft Part (LSAP)**

FLS that is required to meet a specific airworthiness or operational requirement or regulation and not considered as a component of the target hardware, but is considered to be part of the aircraft approved design and therefore an aircraft part requiring formal controlled release documentation (JAA Form One, EASA Form 1 or FAA 8130-3) or an equivalent agreed with the Director-General.

(ii) **User Modifiable Software (UMS)**

Software declared by the aircraft TC holder's design organisation (or STC holder's design organisation) as being intended for modification by the aircraft operator.

(iii) **Option Selectable Software (OSS)**

LSAP that contains approved and validated components and combinations of components that may be activated or modified by the aircraft operator within defined TC/STC holder boundaries.

(c) **Database Field Loadable Data (DFLD)**

Data that is field loadable into target hardware databases.

(i) **Databases**

A term generally misused to describe the "data" that is field
loaded into target hardware. However, the database is actually an embedded item that resides within the target hardware and is not, itself, field loadable. The process normally described as "loading a database" actually loads a data file onto the target hardware's embedded database. The updating of the data held on a database, by the uploading of a new data file, will normally be conducted to provide for modifications to operating functions, including the revision of the aircraft performance or navigational data. It should be noted that whilst "LSAP" is only associated with FLS (executable code), certain DFLD should be treated in the same manner, in that they will have their own part number requiring control as an aircraft part and should be accompanied by controlled release documentation (JAA Form One, EASA Form 1 or FAA 8130-3). The form of release required for different types of DFLD is defined in paragraph 3.

(ii) Data File

A specific file that contains the actual data that is the object of the database and is field loaded.

(d) Target Hardware

The hardware such as LRU and modules that are intended to be loaded with FLS or DFLD.

(i) Examples of target hardware for LSAP (FLS) could be: an Electronic Engine Control (EEC), a Digital Flight Data Acquisition Unit (DFDAU), an Auxiliary Power Unit's Electronic Control Unit (ECU), a Flight Guidance Computer (FGC), or an Integrated Modular Avionics (IMA) Unit.

(ii) Examples of target hardware with databases that could be field loaded with DFLD that need to be tracked in the same manner as an aircraft part, could include: a Flight Management Computer (FMC), a Terrain Awareness Warning System (TAWS), or an IMA Unit.

(iii) Examples of target hardware for UMS could be: Aircraft Condition Monitoring System (ACMS) and In-Flight Entertainment System (IFE).

(iv) Examples of target hardware for OSS could be an IMA Unit.
(e) **Media Distribution of FLS or Data Files**

A process whereby FLS or Data files are moved from the production organisation or supplier to a remote site (generally the operator) using storage media.

(f) **Storage Media**

Device that contains a copy of the FLS or Data files such as a diskette, Personal Computer Memory Card International Association (PCMCIA) card, Compact Disc Read Only Memory (CD-ROM), Onboard Replaceable Modules (OBRM), file servers or portable data loaders.

(g) **Electronic Distribution of FLS or Data Files**

A process whereby FLS or DFLD are moved from the producer or supplier to a remote site (generally the operator) without the use of FLS storage media.

3 **Release of FLS and DFLD**

3.1 **Methods of Release**

The release of FLS and DFLD is dependent upon whether it is required to meet a specific airworthiness or operational requirement, or certification specification.

3.2 **Release of Non-Required FLS or DFLD**

For FLS or DFLD that is not required to meet a specific airworthiness or operational requirement or regulation, or certification specification, a Certificate of Conformity should be sufficient.

3.3 **Release of Required FLS or DFLD**

Where the FLS or DFLD is required to perform a function to meet a specific airworthiness or operational requirement or regulation, or certification specification, the following should be taken into account.

3.3.1 **LSAP**

A JAA Form One, EASA Form 1 or FAA 8130-3 should accompany any FLS (executable code) that is required to meet a specific airworthiness or operational requirement or regulation, or certification specification, i.e. LSAP. Examples of LSAP that would require such
release could be FLS that is associated with any of the examples of target hardware in paragraph 2.1(d)(i) above.

3.3.2 **DFLD**

A JAA Form One, EASA Form 1 or FAA 8130-3 should accompany any DFLD (data file) that is required to meet a specific airworthiness or operational requirement or regulation, or certification specification. Examples of DFLD that require such release could be those associated with IMA, as mentioned in paragraph 2.1(d)(ii) above.

3.3.3 **Navigational Data**

A "Letter of Acceptance" (LOA) as defined on EASA’s website or equivalent should accompany the release of any navigational database's DFLD, where approvals are required (e.g. Precision RNAV), because a JAA Form One, EASA Form 1 or FAA 8130-3 cannot be provided.

(a) **Type 1 LOA**

A Letter of Acceptance granted where a Navigation Database supplier complies with EUROCAE ED-76 / RTCA DO-200A documents with no identified compatibility with an aircraft system. A Type 1 LOA holder confirms that the processes for producing navigation data comply with these Conditions and the documented Data Quality Requirements. A Type 1 LOA holder may not release navigation databases directly to end-users.

(b) **Type 2 LOA**

A Letter of Acceptance granted where a Navigation Database supplier complies with EUROCAE ED-76 / RTCA DO-200A documents and provides data compatible with specified avionics system(s). A Type 2 LOA holder confirms that the processes for producing navigation data comply with these Conditions and the documented Data Quality Requirements for the avionics systems specified. The Data Quality Requirements must be provided by or agreed with the specified equipment design organisation in accordance with a formal arrangement. A Type 2 LOA holder may release navigation databases directly to end-users. Such releases may also include data packing tools, where the use of such tools has been demonstrated to be ED-76/DO-200A compliant. A Type 2 LOA holder may interface directly with data originators (such as State AIP providers and operators), or may use data supplied by a Type 1 LOA holder in which case interface with data originators may not be necessary.
3.4 **Release Equivalency**

It should be noted that Certificates of Conformance are not considered to be equivalent to either a JAA Form One, EASA Form 1 or FAA 8130-3.

3.5 **Electronic Distribution Release**

The Electronic Distribution of FLS or Data files should recognise this requirement and provide an equivalent means of formally controlled release. This will need to be agreed by the Director-General.

4 **Procurement and Documentation of FLS and DFLD**

4.1 **FLS and DFLD**

FLS and DFLD are normally delivered with the new aircraft and contained in the Target Hardware and in media sets in binders or storage bins, noting that the part number of the Target Hardware may not necessarily indicate the loaded software part number. (See Appendix No. 1 paragraph 1.4(b).)

4.2 **LSAP**

Procured LSAP must be obtained from an approved source using the part number specified and accompanied by a JAA Form One, EASA Form 1, FAA 8130-3 or an equivalent acceptable to the Director-General. The part number can typically be confirmed as approved by reference to documents such as the IPC, SB, SIL or to an appropriately approved modification (TC/Amended Type Certificate (ATC)/STC).

4.3 **DFLD**

DFLD files used for the update of databases such as Navigational Databases, Terrain Databases and Model/Engine Databases should be acquired from a source that is acceptable to the Target Hardware Manufacturer and accompanying documentation and DFLD Storage Media containing the data files should clearly identify this. The DFLD Storage Media should also be annotated with the originator identification and quality/conformity markings. The Electronic Distribution of DFLD should recognise these points and provide an equivalent level of control agreed by the Director-General. The responsibility of obtaining appropriate confirmation of the authenticity, performance specification and accuracy of the DFLD rests with the operator. It is also recommended that a "confidence" check of the received data be accomplished to ensure that the new data satisfies the intended use. The DFLD should be subjected to a configuration control process acceptable to the Director-General. (See Appendix No. 1 paragraph 1.)
4.4 UMS

UMS is FLS that is normally modified by the operator, their contracted maintenance organisation or approved vendor using the appropriate methods identified during initial certification. The responsibility for obtaining adequate documentation confirming the appropriateness of the software rests with the operator. If an instance occurs, when a change to target hardware's software that has been defined as UMS actually modifies aircraft performance information presented to the flight crew, Director-General's advice should be sought as approval maybe required and the software classification of UMS may be removed.

4.5 Distribution of FLS and DFLD

FLS and Data files can be distributed to the aircraft operator using a variety of methods, which include use of software media (such as diskettes, CD-ROMs, PCMCIA Cards) or electronically such as via the Internet. The operator is responsible for establishing a process to ensure that the FLS or data file received is the FLS or data file approved and that the FLS or data file has not been corrupted (e.g. making use of a Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC)). Complying with the aircraft manufacturers recommendations and utilising the recommended tooling could achieve this.

4.5.1 Media Distribution of FLS or DFLD

If the FLS or DFLD is to be supplied using diskettes, CD-ROMs or PCMCIA cards the following should be considered:

(a) The FLS or DFLD should be virus checked upon receipt and stored in a controlled location if not being immediately loaded onto an aircraft system. (This requirement assumes that the media store has appropriate protections and controls to prevent unauthorised access to the media. If this is in any doubt, the FLS or DFLD should be virus checked immediately prior to loading it onto an aircraft system). The Target Hardware Manufacturer should provide guidance on how this virus checking should be accomplished.

(b) The method of transportation should be appropriate to ensure that it does not result in damage or corruption of the storage media or FLS or DFLD. If this is in any doubt, the FLS or DFLD should not be loaded onto an aircraft system.

(c) The FLS or DFLD should be accompanied by the appropriate release paperwork, as stated in paragraph 3.
4.5.2 **Electronic Distribution of FLS or DFLD**

Electronic distribution is increasingly being utilised to transfer FLS or DFLD from the supplier to an operator. The obvious advantages of this are the speed of distribution and the removal of the need for physical transport media. This should be accomplished to an acceptable standard (See Appendix No. 1 paragraph 1). Such an acceptable standard would normally be that of meeting the intent of the guidance in this Notice. If the FLS or DFLD is supplied over the Internet this should be accomplished in accordance with a procedure detailed in Appendix No. 1 paragraph 1.3.

5 **FLS and DFLD Storage Media Handling**

The operator is responsible for ensuring the suitability of any storage media used. In order to ensure FLS and DFLD integrity, the storage media should be kept and processed in an environment that is not detrimental to that storage media, noting any limitations associated with that media. Additional information may be found in Appendix No. 1.

6 **FLS and DFLD Loading and Certification**

6.1 **Prior to Loading**

Prior to loading FLS or DFLD onto the aircraft the operator should consider the points in Appendix No. 1.

6.2 **Loading FLS or DFLS**

Loading FLS or DFLD onto aircraft Target Hardware should be carried out and verified in accordance with the established processes and procedures detailed in the maintenance manual or associated approved maintenance or modification data.

6.3 **Recording Aircraft FLS and DFLD Configuration**

All FLS and DFLD loading should be recorded in the Aircraft Configuration List (ACL), and a copy kept on board the aircraft with a further copy also kept in the operator's aircraft maintenance records system.

6.4 **Aircraft Release to Service**

After any loading of FLS or DFLD a Certificate of Release to Service must be issued by an appropriately authorised/licensed person.
7 Replication of FLS and DFLD

7.1 FLS or DFLD Copies

If FLS or DFLD copies are to be made by the aircraft operator for use within their organisation, this should be accomplished using the aircraft type design organisation approved FLS and DFLD Storage Media replication process. This replication should be recorded in an Aircraft FLS/DFLD Replication Register and be traceable to the original source from which copies were made. This is to ensure that this activity could be audited.

7.2 Release Documentation

A copy of the original JAA Form One, EASA Form 1, FAA 8130-3, Letter of Acceptance (for navigation databases) or other release documentation acceptable to the Director-General, as defined in paragraph 3, should accompany the FLS or DFLD Storage Media containing copies of the FLS or DFLD.

8 Procedure

8.1 Configuration Control

It is essential that operators consider use of appropriate procedures such that at any time they can determine the equipment, FLS and DFLD configuration of each aircraft in their fleet.

8.2 Use of Notice Recommendations

Operators involved in the procurement, modification and embodiment of FLS and DFLD should consider producing a documented procedure within their company procedures, Maintenance Management Exposition (MME) or equivalent that describes their means of implementing procedures recommended by this Notice. Further guidance can be found within Appendix No. 1 to this Notice. It is expected that any procedure would cover the complete cycle from procurement specification; distribution methodology (e.g. Electronic Distribution, media type etc.); receipt inspection and assessment through to embodiment; subsequent testing and release to service. This process should also be considered for inclusion in the operator's internal audit programme.

8.3 Staff

Operators should ensure that sufficient numbers of competent staff are retained in order to ensure that the intent of guidance within this Notice is met.
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9 **Recommended Reference Material**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USA</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTCA DO 178B</td>
<td>EUROCAE Doc. ED 12B</td>
<td>Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTCA DO 200A</td>
<td>EUROCAE Doc. ED 76</td>
<td>Standards for Processing Aeronautical Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Or any later versions of the above documents.

10 **Cancellation**

This Airworthiness Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 43, Issue 3, dated 30 September 2005, which should be destroyed.

**Simon LI**

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
FLS AND DFLD CONTROL, TOOLING AND LOADING

1 Procedures for the control of FLS and DFLD

The operator should consider providing the following for the control of FLS and DFLD.

1.1 FLS and DFLD Register

A controlled FLS and DFLD register, which includes the following:

(a) The version of the FLS and DFLD owned.
(b) Which aircraft the FLS and DFLD are installed on.
(c) The aircraft, systems and equipment that they are only applicable to.
(d) The functions that the recorded FLS or DFLD performs.
(e) Where it is stored (on or off aircraft location, including the Storage Media) and who has access to it.
(f) Who can decide whether an upgrade is needed and then authorise that upgrade.
(g) A record of all replicated FLS/DFLD, traceable to the original source.

1.2 Storage Facility

An appropriate storage facility for the FLS and DFLD. While selecting an appropriate location, the following should be taken into account:

(a) Access to the location should be appropriately controlled.
(b) The environmental conditions within the location should be appropriate for the storage of the FLS and DFLD media and provide protection against all forms of environmental contamination, including water, fire, heat and electrical or magnetic fields.
(c) If the main source of the FLS and DFLD are an electronic store (e.g. a
central database of software programs) that store should be:

(i) subject to configuration control processes.

NOTE: ED-12B/DO-178B provides criteria for such a process by defining the configuration control process's objectives as:
- Configuration items are identified.
- Baselines and traceability are established.
- Problem reporting, change control, change review, and configuration status accounting are established.
- Archive, retrieval, and release are established.
- Software load control is established.
- Software life cycle environment control is established.

(ii) appropriately isolated from the rest of the network to prevent unauthorised access or contamination from viruses. This isolation can be achieved using a series of access control functions and firewalls. However, protecting a networked system against viruses is a complex issue and consideration should be given to this store being separated from the network.

(d) An appropriate number of backups should be maintained utilising standard software backup techniques.

1.3 FLS and DFLD Receipt Procedures

Specific procedures implemented for the receipt of FLS and DFLD that are transferred using electronic distribution techniques should give consideration to the following:

(a) That the FLS or DFLD has come from an appropriate source and that sufficient configuration control processes are in place to ensure that the correct data and/or executable code will be supplied.

(b) That they are accompanied by suitable release documentation.

(c) That a record of purchase is created.

(d) That suitable controls are in place to prevent use of FLS and DFLD that have become corrupted during its existence in any "open" environment, such as on the Internet.

(e) That means are provided to allow detection of corruption.
That connecting a central electronic store for FLS and DFLD storage directly to the Internet is avoided wherever possible. However, where this cannot be avoided, or if the FLS or DFLD are ported straight from the Internet to a central electronic store, a means to detect interference or corruption are provided.

1.4 FLS and DFLD Loading Procedures

Specific procedures implemented for the loading of FLS and DFLD, to Target Hardware, should consider the following:

(a) The criteria identified within the FLS and DFLD control register, defined in paragraph 1.1 above.

(b) The appropriate authority to embody FLS onto the aircraft (e.g. aircraft manufacturer's Service Bulletin (SB)) has been issued and received, i.e. aircraft manufacturer's SB or a Supplemental Type Certificate (STC).

NOTE: A vendor SB does not provide the authority to embody a different part number onto the aircraft.

(c) The need for appropriate verification and recording of Target Hardware and FLS and certain DFLD part number changes:

(i) For airborne equipment having separate part numbers for hardware and FLS/DFLD, the FLS/DFLD part numbers need not be displayed on the outside of the unit, as long as it can be verified through some kind of electronic query. When new FLS/DFLD is loaded into the unit, the same requirement applies and the approved FLS/DFLD part number should be verified before the unit is released for service. It should be noted, that in circumstances where only the top level FLS/DFLD part number is displayed for an entire FLS/DFLD load, that affects several items of Target Hardware – such as might be found in Integrated Modular Avionics (IMA) applications – the verification of this number would be necessary.

(ii) It is the aircraft operator's responsibility to ensure that the maintenance organisation has entered the FLS/DFLD identification in the aircraft maintenance records such that they can update the aircraft configuration information, such as an Aircraft Configuration List (ACL).
(iii) If airborne equipment has only one part number, which represents a specific configuration of software and hardware, the unit identification on the nameplate should be changed if new software is loaded. In order for this to be embodied on the aircraft this should be accomplished in accordance with an aircraft manufacturer's SB. The software part number stored in the target computer after data loading should be verified electronically and confirmed to be an approved configuration as detailed in the SB.

(d) Verification that the upgrade actually is needed.

(i) If the system is working as required and the FLS or DFLD upgrade is not introducing a required solution or function addition or change, it is not always necessary to upgrade the FLS or DFLD simply for the sake of upgrading. Equally, it is possible that the upgrade could introduce problems that did not exist before.

(ii) It is also necessary to check any support agreements to ensure that customer support for the FLS or DFLD will remain valid.

(e) Identify what has changed between the different versions of the FLS or DFLD. This should include identifying and recording within the FLS and DFLD register the problems that have been fixed and what functionality has been added or removed. (See paragraph 1.1(d) of this Appendix.)

2 Control of FLS or DFLD Tooling

2.1 FLS or DFLD can be loaded into the Target Hardware using a variety of tools as recommended and/or approved by the aircraft or Target Hardware manufacturer. These can include Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) supplied tools, Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS) tools or in-house developed tools.

2.1.1 There are a number of issues associated with FLS or DFLD tooling that the aircraft operator would need to take into account if an alternative to the tooling recommended by the aircraft or Target Hardware manufacturer was to be considered. Prior to seeking the aircraft or Target Hardware manufacturer's approval for any alternative tools' use, the aircraft operator should take the following items into account. These are associated with the general tool selection, and the control and the suitability of those tools.
2.2  General Tool Selection

The following general issues should be considered when making a FLS or DFLD tool selection:

(a)  What function is the tool required to perform:
    (i)  Is it simply loading, leaving other tools or processes to perform compatibility checks and validation tasks?
    (ii) Is it loading and validating the final load, leaving initial compatibility checks to other tools or processes?
    (iii) Is it providing all the tasks associated with loading (compatibility checks, loading and validation) and providing a diagnostic feature?
    (iv)  Any other combination of the above should be considered.

(b)  Is the tool (which could be a laptop) able to be suitably controlled?

(c)  Does the tool supplier have any previous experience in developing tools for the required purpose?

(d)  Will the tool supplier provide support and training?

(e)  Does the tool provide all the needed functionality or will additional tools or processes be needed?

(f)  Does the tool provide the necessary confirmation of what has been loaded onto the aircraft?

(g)  Do any existing tools that have Director-General's acceptance already provide the required functionality?

(h)  How much dependence will be placed on the tool?

(i)  Will the tool supplier provide support for the tool for the duration of its use?

(j)  Is the tool widely used in the industry and does it have a good reputation?

(k)  If the tool is not widely used in the industry, is there any other way to establish its integrity?

(l)  Will special training be required to use the tool?
(m) Are there any human factors issues associated with its use?

2.3 Specific Tool Selection – OEM and COTS

The issues detailed above apply to the selection of any tool, regardless of who supplies it. The issues detailed below are specific, additional, considerations that need to be considered for OEM and COTS Tools of software tools. If a tool is going to be supplied by the aircraft Type Certificate (TC) holder (or STC holder) or the equipment manufacturer, or where COTS tooling is going to be supplied (COTS tools are considered to be those which were not supplied by the aircraft TC holder (or STC holder) or the equipment manufacturer, or developed by the airline/maintenance organisation) the following additional issues need to be considered:

(a) Does the aircraft or Target Hardware manufacturer recommend it?

(b) Is the tool needed for the FLS or DFLD and aircraft in question?

(c) Was the tool actually developed for use with the FLS or DFLD and aircraft in question?

(d) If the tool was not specifically developed for use with the FLS or DFLD and aircraft in question, is it compatible with them?

(e) Is the tool likely to be compatible with the whole fleet?

2.4 Specific Tool Selection – In-house Developed Tools

If an aircraft operator is considering developing their own tools the following additional issues need to be considered:

(a) The tool must be developed such that it cannot corrupt the functionality of the aircraft systems it is being used for.

(b) The aircraft operator or their organisation must have a sufficient understanding of the internal aircraft system functionality to be sure of creating a tool that will work correctly.

(c) The aircraft operator or their organisation must have personnel that are experienced in writing tools for aircraft maintenance functions and the associated human factors issues.

(d) The tool should be developed in a suitably controlled fashion.

(e) The operator should gain acceptance for the use of the tool from the aircraft or Target Hardware manufacturer.
2.5 **Control and Suitability of Tools**

If tools are to be used by the aircraft operator, specific processes should be introduced to control their use. These processes should ensure that:

(a) The control of tools including Portable Electronic Devices, such as laptop PCs should prevent the accidental or malicious transfer of viruses.

(b) Portable Electronic Devices should be equipped with up-to-date virus protection software or virus scanned immediately before they are used to load FLS or DFLD onto an aircraft system's Target Hardware.

(c) The ability of the tool to actually run the software that enables loading should be considered.

(d) Access to the tools should be controlled and recorded.
GAS TURBINE ENGINE PARTS SUBJECT TO RETIREMENT OR ULTIMATE (SCRAP) LIVES

1 The design of gas turbine engines in service is such that certain critical parts, notably compressor and turbine discs, experience cyclic variations of stress as a result of mechanical and thermal effects which are of sufficient magnitude to result in fatigue damage. The failure of these parts can result in damage to the aircraft since under operating conditions they may possess more energy than can be absorbed by the surrounding engine structure. It is therefore necessary to limit the life of all critical parts in order to prevent fatigue damage developing into complete failure. As fatigue damage is not detectable by current inspection techniques until cracking has begun, and because crack propagation to the point of failure can be unacceptably rapid, a safe life for each critical part will have been established and approved as part of the certification procedure.

2 These safe lives, also referred to as retirement lives, ultimate lives, scrap lives and low cycle fatigue (LCF) lives, are mandatory limits which must never be exceeded. They are required by HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.5-3 to be published, in the Engine Manuals, for all engines. Manufacturers also publish this information variously in Service Bulletins, Service Memoranda, Notices to Operators, Maintenance Manuals, etc., for the benefit of operators and engine overhaul agencies. It may be possible to extend the published lives as a result of further testing, and this is normally indicated in the publications as an aid to spares provisioning, but such amendments must be approved.

3 The Inspection and Test Certificate of an engine issued by a manufacturer or overhaul agency is required to include reference to a certified statement in which is recorded the life consumed, up to the time of release, by each of the life-limited parts fitted in the engine. This statement is normally included in the engine log book, but may be included in any other document which has been approved as an alternative for a particular operator.

4 Each operator is responsible for ensuring that parts fitted to the engines being operated do not exceed the published lives. Therefore accurate up-to-date records of the life consumed by each engine are required to be maintained, and this may involve recording flying hours, number of landings, 'touch and go' landings and take-offs, air re-starts, etc., dependent upon each manufacturer's definition of a unit of life. In order to preserve continuity of the records, an up-to-date statement of the life consumed since last release must accompany each engine when despatched by an operator to an overhaul agency for
repair, modification and partial or complete overhaul.

5 When a new type of aircraft fitted with a UK manufactured turbine engine is first introduced into service the operator is responsible for determining a 'typical flight cycle', described in engine terms, applicable to its operation. This should be done by sufficient monitoring of service flights, and as necessary training flights, to provide an adequate knowledge of actual engine flight profiles. If these appear to be in any way more severe than those assumed by the engine manufacturer, the operator shall inform the engine manufacturer and the Director-General. Amended approved lives will be published if necessary.

NOTES: (1) As differences between winter and summer operation, and differences in the installed position of engine in the aircraft may make significant difference to the usage experienced, these factors should be taken into account in the monitoring programmes. Also because autothrottle and auto-land systems can affect the envelope of engine speeds used, it is important that any changes to the characteristics of such systems are assessed.

(2) HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.5-3 requires the engine manufacturer to publish, in the engine manuals, information concerning the engine flight profile assumed for the establishment of safe lives.

6 Each operator of an aircraft type (fitted with a UK engine) which he has not previously operated shall, during the first six months of operation, establish that his engines are being used within the flight profile published by the engine manufacturer. If there is any reason to believe that the flight cyclic fatigue usage may be more adverse than currently assumed, the operator shall inform the engine manufacturer and the Director-General with a view to revision of the approved lives.

7 The Director-General will, from time to time, review with UK engine manufacturers the total experience applicable to any engine/aircraft type. When this reaches a level at which the engine operation can be regarded as well established, the need for compliance with paragraph 6 will cease, and this will be stated in the engine manufacturers' manual material dealing with this subject.

8 Additionally, all operators of UK manufactured engines will be required at yearly intervals to make a formal statement that:-

(a) in respect of engines having been assessed under paragraph 6, there has been no change to their operation, engine handling, auto-throttle systems, thrust reverse drills, etc.

(b) in respect of engines covered by paragraph 7, they are permitting no procedures which would result in their engines operating outside the prescribed flight profile to an extent which could significantly affect fatigue life usage, unless an assessment by the engine manufacturer has shown any effect to be unimportant or allowed for by appropriate adjustment of lives.

9 Operators of engines manufactured outside the UK may find that the engine manufacturers' manuals contain different information on this subject from that in
manuals for UK engines. Operators must obviously comply with any instruction given, but are additionally advised to inform the engine manufacturer of any conditions of their operation which may be at variance with his instructions. The Director-General will provide assistance if desired in obtaining the manufacturers’ assessment of the effects of operations on related lives.

10 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.44 Issue 3, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation
SOFTWARE MANAGEMENT

1 Introduction

1.1 This Notice sets out additional requirements applicable to the certification and continued airworthiness control of software used in aircraft equipment and systems.

1.2 Extensive use is now being made in aircraft of software-based equipment and systems. Typically, software may be used in primary and secondary flight controls, engine controls, electrical generation and distribution, brakes, radio and navigation equipment, flight instruments, and automatic flight control. The software may have a direct influence on aircraft safety. Hence, in meeting the appropriate aircraft requirements and, for Controlled Items, it is necessary to investigate the software design and to control its certification and post-certification configuration in a manner equivalent to that for conventional safety-critical systems.

NOTE: Controlled Items are those airframe parts and equipment:

   (1) prescribed in the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order and not specifically exempted from approval;

   (2) prescribed in the Requirements;

   (3) on which the airworthiness and safe operation of an aircraft depend;

   (4) the installation or failure of which could adversely affect the airworthiness and safe operation of an aircraft.

1.3 RTCA/EUROCAE document DO-178/ED-12, issued in May 1982, entitled ‘Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification’, provides guidance to aircraft manufacturers, equipment manufacturers and aircraft operators on software practices that would support the certification of software-based equipment and systems. This document, or later issues, is acceptable to the Director-General as a basis for the certification of the software in aircraft equipment and systems.
2 Requirements

2.1 General

2.1.1 In addition to the normal assessment of system criticality, the responsible Design Organisation (normally the aircraft manufacturer) shall assign a Software Criticality Category to each software-based equipment or system which shall relate to the severity of the effect of possible software errors within the equipment or system (see Appendix to this Notice). The Software Criticality Category assigned shall be agreed by the Director-General.

2.1.2 Where the equipment or system is to be approved under the aircraft modification procedure of HKAR-21, the Software Criticality Category of the equipment or system shall be declared.

2.1.3 Details of equipment and system Software Criticality Categories should be provided to the aircraft operators to assist in the evaluation of post-certification modifications (see paragraph 2.3).

2.2 Initial Certification

In respect of a software-based equipment or system, the responsible Design Organisation shall provide evidence to the Director-General that the software has been designed, tested and integrated with the hardware in a manner which ensures compliance with HKAR-21 (see paragraph 3.1).

2.3 Post-Certification Modifications

In respect of equipment and systems with software in the Major, Hazardous or Catastrophic Criticality Categories, a modification which affects software shall not be embodied unless it has been approved by the responsible Design Organisation (see paragraph 3.2).

3 Interpretation of Requirements

3.1 Initial Certification

3.1.1 An applicant for the approval of a software-based equipment or system may use the guidance material given in DO-178/ED-12, or later issues, (or an agreed equivalent standard) as a means of securing CAD approval of the associated software.

3.1.2 A software Configuration Management Plan, e.g. as defined in DO-178/ED-12, will be required as a means of software identification and change control to be effective throughout the life of the equipment. The plan will need to be managed by the responsible Design
3.2 Post-Certification Modifications

3.2.1 Modifications to software will be subject to the same approval procedures as are applied to hardware modifications (see HKAR-21). Modified software will need to be identified and controlled in accordance with the procedures stated in the Software Configuration Management Plan. The guidance material given in DO-178/ED-12, or later issues, (or an agreed equivalent standard) may be used as a basis for the approval of software modifications.

NOTE: The term "maintenance" is often used by software specialists when referring to modifications to software.

3.2.2 The Director-General will require the design and investigation of a modification, including those proposed by the aircraft operator, to involve the support service provided by the Design Organisation responsible for the equipment or system. It is unlikely that an aircraft operator could justify the establishment of its own software design capability. Therefore, where the Software Criticality Category is Major, Hazardous or Catastrophic, the aircraft manufacturer would normally be the appropriate Design Organisation, although tasks may be delegated to equipment manufacturers or software organisations.

3.2.3 Aircraft operators will need to ensure that their normal procedures will report software problems to the responsible Design Organisation.

4 Implementation

Software in aircraft systems and equipment are subject to the provisions of this Notice.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 45 Issue 4, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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## DEFINITION OF EUROPEAN CRITICALITY CATEGORIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect on aircraft and occupants of failure condition or design error</th>
<th>FAR 25.1309 &amp; JAR 25.1309 definitions</th>
<th>JAR 25.1309 and ACJ No.1 to JAR 25.1309 definitions</th>
<th>Prevention of continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No significant degradation of aircraft capability or crew ability</td>
<td>Reduction of the aircraft capability or of the crew ability to cope with adverse operating conditions</td>
<td>- slight reduction in safety margins, e.g. routine changes in flight plan, or - physical effects but no injury to occupants</td>
<td>- slight reduction of safety margins - slight increase in workload, e.g. physical effects but no injury to occupants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- significant reduction in safety margins - reduction in the ability of flight crew to cope with adverse operating conditions impairing their efficiency, or - injury to occupants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- large reduction in safety margins - physical distress or workload such that the flight crew cannot be relied upon to perform their tasks accurately or completely, or - injury to occupants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- serious injury to or death of a relatively small proportion of the occupants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Criticality category ACJ No.1 to JAR 25.1309 definition
- Minor
- Major
- Hazardous
- Catastrophic

### Category of criticality RTCA DO-178
- Non essential
- Essential
- Critical
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Airworthiness Notice

SOFTWARE MANAGEMENT AND CERTIFICATION GUIDELINES

1 Introduction

1.1 Airworthiness Notice No. 45 was issued to give the CAD recognition to RTCA/EUROCAE Documents DO-178/ED-12, entitled ‘Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification’. The RTCA/EUROCAE Documents have been updated to reflect industry's experience, and released under references DO-178B/ED-12B. The rigid correlation, required in DO-178/ED-12, between software verification effort and function criticality category has been relaxed. A more flexible approach, in which design techniques are permitted to influence the software verification effort for a given function criticality, is described in DO-178B/ED-12B, or later issues.

1.2 The purpose of this Notice is to extend the CAD recognition to the updated Documents and to restate the applicable requirements with appropriate guidance material. Airworthiness Notice No. 45 will continue to apply to systems and equipment for which DO-178/ED-12 was adopted.

1.3 RTCA/EUROCAE document DO-178B/ED-12B, issued in December 1992, entitled ‘Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification’, provides guidance to aircraft constructors, equipment manufacturers and aircraft operators on software design and management practices. This document, or later issues, is acceptable to the Director-General as a basis for the certification of the software in aircraft systems and equipment.

2 Requirements

2.1 General

2.1.1 In addition to the normal assessment of system and/or function criticality, the responsible Design Organisation shall assign, to each software-based system or equipment, associated Software Levels which relate to the severity of the effect of possible software errors within the system or equipment (see Appendix 1 to this Notice). The Software Levels so assigned shall be agreed by the Director-General.

2.1.2 Where the system or equipment is to be the subject of an application to
the Director-General for approval as part of an engine or propeller, the Software Levels of the system or equipment shall be declared in the Engine or Propeller Type Certificate Data Sheet or the equipment Declaration of Design and Performance, as appropriate.

2.1.3 Where the system or equipment is to be approved under the aircraft modification procedure of HKAR-21, the Software Levels of the system or equipment shall be declared.

2.1.4 Details of system and equipment Software Levels should be made available to the aircraft operators to assist in the evaluation of post-certification modifications (see paragraph 2.3).

2.2 Initial Certification

In respect of a software-based system or equipment, the responsible Design Organisation shall provide evidence to the Director-General that the software has been designed, tested and integrated with the hardware in a manner which ensures compliance with the relevant requirements of HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.3-11 (also see paragraph 3.1).

2.3 Post-Certification Modifications

In respect of systems and equipment with Level A, Level B or Level C Software, a modification which affects software shall not be embodied unless it has been approved by the responsible Design Organisation (see paragraph 3.2).

3 Interpretation of Requirements

3.1 Initial Certification

3.1.1 An applicant for the approval of a software-based system or equipment may use the guidance material given in DO-178B/ED-12B, or later issues, (or an agreed equivalent standard).

3.1.2 A Software Configuration Management Plan, e.g. as defined in DO-178B/ED-12B, or later issues, will be required as a means of software identification and change control to be effective throughout the life of the equipment.

3.2 Post-Certification Modifications

3.2.1 Modifications to software will be subject to the same approval procedures as are applied to hardware modifications (see HKAR-21). Modified software will need to be identified and controlled in accordance with the procedures stated in the Software Configuration Management Plan. The guidance material given in DO-178/ED-12, or later issues, (or an agreed equivalent standard) may be used as a
basis for the approval of software modifications.

NOTE: The term "maintenance" is often used by software specialists when referring to modifications to software.

3.2.2 The Director-General will require the design and investigation of modifications, including those proposed by the aircraft operator, to involve the support service provided by the responsible Design Organisation. The re-certification effort will need to be related to the Software Levels. Experience has shown that an aircraft operator is unlikely to justify the establishment of its own software design capability. Therefore, where the software is classified in Level A, Level B or Level C, the aircraft, engine or propeller constructor, as appropriate, would normally be the responsible Design Organisation for certification purposes, although related tasks may be delegated to equipment manufacturers or software organisations.

3.2.3 Aircraft operators will need to ensure that their defect reporting procedures will report software problems to the responsible Design Organisation.

4 Software Documentation

4.1 The documentation to be made available to the certifying authority is listed in DO-178B/ED-12B, or later issues.

4.2 Where the Director-General is validating an approval granted to a system or equipment by an airworthiness authority other than the Director-General, the Director-General will notify the applicant which documents are to be submitted. In many cases, a reasonably comprehensive Accomplishment Summary, as outlined in paragraph 11.20 of DO-178B/ED-12B, may suffice. Guidance on the preparation of an Accomplishment Summary is given in Appendix 2 to this Notice.

5 Implementation

Software in aircraft systems and equipment is subject to the provisions of this Airworthiness Notice, except for existing systems and equipment, where the provisions of Airworthiness Notice No. 45 have already been adopted.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 45A Issue 5, dated 31 January 2003, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
### RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FUNCTION CRITICALITY CATEGORY AND SOFTWARE LEVEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect on aircraft and occupants of failure conditions or design error</th>
<th>FAR 25.1309 and JAR 25.1309 definitions</th>
<th>ACJ No.1 to JAR 25.1309 definitions</th>
<th>ACJ No.1 to JAR 25.1309 definition of Criticality Category</th>
<th>FAR Advisory Circular 25.1309-1A definition of Criticality Category</th>
<th>DO-178B/ED-12B Software level*</th>
<th>Prevention of continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No significant degradation of aircraft capability or crew ability</td>
<td>- Slight reduction of safety margins, - Slight increase in workload, e.g. routine changes in flight plan, or - Physical effects but no injury to occupants</td>
<td>- Slight reduction of safety margins, - Slight increase in workload, e.g. routine changes in flight plan, or - Physical effects but no injury to occupants</td>
<td>Minor Effect</td>
<td>Non essential</td>
<td>Level D</td>
<td>Loss of aircraft and/or fatalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of the aircraft capability or of the crew ability to cope with adverse operating conditions</td>
<td>- A significant reduction in safety margins, - A reduction in the ability of the flight crew to cope with adverse operating conditions as a result of increase in workload or as a result of conditions impairing their efficiency, or - Injury to occupants</td>
<td>- Slight reduction of safety margins, - Slight increase in workload, e.g. routine changes in flight plan, or - Physical effects but no injury to occupants</td>
<td>Major Effect</td>
<td>Essential</td>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>Prevent of continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft</td>
<td>- A large reduction in safety margins, - Physical distress or a workload such that the flight crew cannot be relied upon to perform their tasks accurately or completely, or - Serious injury to, or death of, a relatively small proportion of the occupants</td>
<td>- A large reduction in safety margins, - Physical distress or a workload such that the flight crew cannot be relied upon to perform their tasks accurately or completely, or - Serious injury to, or death of, a relatively small proportion of the occupants</td>
<td>Hazardous/Severe -Major Effect</td>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>Level B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catastrophic Effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Using appropriate design and/or implementation techniques, it may be possible to use a Software Level lower than the functional categorisation.*

### Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.45A Appendix No.1 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.
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SOFTWARE ACCOMPLISHMENT SUMMARY OF DO-178B/ED-12B

1 General

1.1 The Software Accomplishment Summary is considered the primary document for use by the certifying authorities. It should identify all other documents which may be required for information or available for submission. The document is a summary, normally no more than ten pages long. However, its length will depend on the complexity and criticality of the system or equipment and the associated Software Levels. The Accomplishment Summary may evolve from the Plan for Software Aspects of Certification defined in DO-178B/ED-12B or later issue.

1.2 Where the application relates to an Equipment Approval, the summary will need to be prepared by the equipment manufacturer. For approval of the installation of the equipment into an aircraft, engine or propeller system, the installer may need only to produce a supplement to the manufacturer's summary to reflect the additional certification effort.

1.3 Where a significant change is proposed to the software of an approved system or equipment, the Accomplishment Summary should be reviewed and, where necessary, amended to take account of the change. The Director-General will normally require a copy of the amended summary prior to acceptance of a significant software change.

2 Content

As a minimum, information relevant to the particular software version should be included in the summary under the following headings:

(u) (i) System and Equipment Description

This section should briefly describe the equipment functions and hardware including safety features which rely on hardware devices or system architecture.

(ii) Organisation of Software

This section should identify the particular software version and briefly describe the software functions and architecture with particular emphasis on the safety and partitioning concepts used. The size of the final software design should be stated, e.g. in terms of memory bytes, number of modules. The language(s) used should also be stated.
(b)  **Criticality Categories and Software Levels**

This section should state the Software Levels applicable to the various parts of the software. The rationale for their choice should be stated, either directly, or by reference to other documents.

(c)  **Design Disciplines**

This section should briefly describe the design procedures and associated disciplines which were applied to ensure the quality of the software. The organisations which were involved in the production and testing (including flight testing) of the software should be identified and their responsibilities stated.

(d)  **Development Phases**

The development phases of the project should be summarised. This information could be included in sub-paragraph (h) below.

(e)  **Software Verification Plan**

This section should briefly summarise the plan and the test results.

(f)  **Configuration Management**

The principles adopted for software identification, modification, storage and release should be briefly summarised.

(g)  **Quality Assurance**

The procedures relating to quality assurance of the software should be summarised including, where applicable, those procedures which applied to liaison between the equipment manufacturer and the aircraft, engine or propeller constructor, as appropriate.

(h)  **Certification Plan**

This section should provide a schedule detailing major milestones achieved and their relationship to the various software releases.

(i)  **Organisation and Identification of Documents**

This section should identify the documents which satisfy the requirements of DO-178B/ED-12B.

(j)  **Software Status**

Any known errors, temporary patches, functional limitations or similar shortcomings associated with the delivered software should be declared and the proposed timescale for corrective action stated.
3 Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No.45A Appendix No.2 Issue 2, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.
1 Introduction

1.1 The International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has introduced an amendment to Annex 1 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation which has the effect of extending certain standards and recommended practices to all licence holders. The changes resulting from the amendment are concerned with medical fitness and the use or abuse of intoxicating liquor, narcotics or drugs.

1.2 To implement these changes the Director-General introduced this Airworthiness Notice which prohibits the exercise of the privileges of an aircraft maintenance engineer's licence when the holder knows or suspects that his or her physical or mental condition renders him or her unfit to exercise such privileges. Also, this Notice prohibits the exercise of licence privileges when the holder is under the influence of drink and/or drugs to such an extent as to impair his or her capacity to exercise such privileges.

1.3 HKAR-66 became effective on 1 April 2002. HKAR 66.50 imposes a requirement that certifying staff must not exercise the privileges of their certification authorisation if they know or suspect that their physical or mental condition renders them unfit. The associated Acceptable Means of Compliance (AMC 66.50) mentions alcohol and drugs. The guidance material in this Notice should be considered equally applicable to HKAR-66.

1.4 It should be noted that HKAR-66 also refers to mental fitness and specifically states that the holder must not exercise the privileges of their licence/authorisation if a 'mental condition renders them unfit to exercise such privileges'. In this sense, mental condition means psychological integrity.

2 General

2.1 An aircraft maintenance engineer's licence authorises the holder, subject to any conditions that may be specified on the licence, to issue various certificates relating to aircraft maintenance. The process of issuing these certificates (Certificates of Maintenance Review, Certificates of Release to
Service and Certificates of Fitness for Flight under the 'A Conditions') requires clear decisions to be made that directly affect the airworthiness of the aircraft to which they relate. It follows that the quality of these decisions is directly influenced by the physical or mental state of the certifier at the time of certification, and whether or not he or she is subject to the adverse effects of drink and/or drugs.

2.2 The corporate management of all approved maintenance organisations are required to review this Airworthiness Notice and implement suitable policies and procedures to make all maintenance staff aware of them. This Airworthiness Notice falls on those who certify the completion of maintenance, holding HKAR-66 licences or any other aircraft maintenance licences acceptable to the Director-General. The guidance material contained in this Airworthiness Notice is equally applicable to all non-licensed personnel engaged in aircraft maintenance tasks and in principle should be adopted throughout the aviation industry as a code of practice. Organisations shall also take note of items in paragraph 3 which require their participation in the areas concerned.

2.3 All persons to whom this Airworthiness Notice applies should be aware of the guidance material contained herein. It is the responsibility of the individual concerned to ensure that he or she does not report for duty or certify if he or she is genuinely unfit. Such persons should also be aware of an organisation's own internal policies and monitoring procedures to verify the above.

3 Guidance

3.1 Fitness

In most professions there is a duty of care by the individual to assess his or her own fitness to carry out professional duties. This has been a legal requirement for some time for doctors, flight crew members and air traffic controllers. Licensed aircraft maintenance engineers are also now required by this Airworthiness Notice to take a similar professional attitude.

Cases of subtle physical or mental illness may not always be apparent to the individual but as engineers often work as a member of a team any substandard performance or unusual behaviour should be quickly noticed by colleagues or supervisors who should notify management so that appropriate support and counselling action can be taken. In particular, a decrease in mental fitness in many cases may be related to stress from within the working environment or to the personal circumstances of the individual. Instances of aggressive behaviour, vagueness and slippage of personal standards (cleanliness, appearance, etc.) may be indicative of more serious mental issues. Such issues may bring into question the ability of the individual to be trusted or to maintain the necessary levels of concentration to take appropriate decisions on airworthiness matters.

30 September 2006

AN-47 P.2
3.2 **Fatigue**

Tiredness and fatigue can adversely affect performance. Excessive hours of duty and shift working, particularly with multiple shift periods or additional overtime, can lead to problems. Individuals should be fully aware of the dangers of impaired performance due to these factors and of their personal responsibilities.

3.3 **Stress**

Everyone is subject to various stresses in their life and work. Stress can often be stimulating and beneficial but prolonged exposure to chronic stress (high levels or differing stress factors) can produce strain and cause performance to suffer allowing mistakes to occur. Stress factors can be varied, physical – e.g. heat, cold, humidity, noise, vibration; they can be due to ill-health or worries about possible ill-health; from problems outside the workplace – e.g. bereavements, domestic upsets, financial or legal difficulties. A stress problem can manifest itself by signs of irritability, forgetfulness, sickness absence, mistakes, or alcohol or drug abuse. Management has a duty to identify individuals who may be suffering from stress and to minimise workplace stresses. Individual cases can be helped by sympathetic and skilful counselling which allows a return to effective work and licensed duties.

3.4 **Eyesight**

A reasonable standard of eyesight is needed for any aircraft maintenance engineer to perform his or her duties to an acceptable degree. Many maintenance tasks require a combination of both distance and near vision. In particular, such consideration must be made where there is a need for the close visual inspection of structures or work related to small or miniature components. The use of glasses or contact lenses to correct any vision problems is perfectly acceptable and indeed they must be worn as prescribed. Frequent checks should be made to ensure the continued adequacy of any glasses or contact lenses. In addition, colour discrimination may be necessary for an individual to drive in areas where aircraft manoeuvre or where colour coding is used, e.g. in aircraft wiring. Organisations should identify any specific eyesight requirement and put in place suitable procedures to address these issues.

3.5 **Hearing**

The ability to hear an average conversational voice in a quiet room at a distance of 2 metres (6 feet) from the examiner is recommended as a routine test. Failure of this test would require an audiogram to be carried out to provide an objective assessment. If necessary, a hearing aid may be worn but consideration should be given to the practicalities of wearing the aid during routine tasks demanded of the individual.

It is important to remind employers of individuals working in areas of high
ambient noise of the requirement which require employers to carry out assessments of noise levels within their premises and take appropriate action where necessary.

3.6 **Drug and Alcohol Abuse**

Drinking problems or the use of illicit or non-prescribed drugs are unacceptable where aircraft maintenance safety is concerned and once identified will lead to suspension of the licence or company authorisation and possibly further licensing action being considered.

3.7 **Medication**

Any form of medication, whether prescribed by a doctor or purchased over the counter and particularly if being taken for the first time, may have serious consequences in the aviation maintenance environment unless three basic questions can be answered satisfactorily:

(a) Must I take medicines at all?

(b) Have I given this particular medication a personal trial for at least 24 hours before going on duty, to ensure that it will not have adverse effects on my ability to work and make sound decisions?

(c) Do I really feel fit for work?

Confirming the absence of adverse effects may need expert advice from General Practitioners. Common types of medication in use and their effects are further described in Appendix No.1.

3.8 **Alcohol**

Alcohol has similar effects to tranquillisers and sleeping tablets and may remain circulating in the blood for a considerable time, especially if taken with food. It should be borne in mind that a person may not be fit to go on duty even eight hours after drinking large amount of alcohol. Individuals should therefore anticipate such effects upon their next duty period. Special note should be taken of the fact that combinations of alcohol and sleeping tablets, or anti-histamines, can form a highly dangerous and even lethal combination.

3.9 **Anaesthetics**

It should be remembered that following local, general, dental and other anaesthetics, a period of time should elapse before returning to duty. This period will vary depending upon individual circumstances, but may even extend up to 24 or 48 hours. Any doubts should be resolved by seeking appropriate medical advice.
4 Summary

The effects of illness, injury or medication on work performance are the direct concern of the individual. Where there is doubt about the ability of an individual to make sound technical decisions the implications of this Airworthiness Notice or HKAR 66.50 must be taken into account, i.e. the individual must not exercise the privileges of his or her licence or authorization whilst unfit. While this Airworthiness Notice gives some guidance on the issues to be considered it cannot be comprehensive. If individual licence holders or their managers have any doubt they should consult the medical sources for advice.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.47 Issue 5, dated 31 January 2003, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation
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The following are some of the types of medicine in common use which may impair work performance. This list is not exhaustive and care should be taken in ensuring the likely effects of any prescribed drug are adequately known before taking it.

(i) Sleeping Tablets – These dull the senses, cause mental confusion and slow reaction times. The duration of effect is variable from person to person and may be unduly prolonged. Individuals should have expert medical advice before using them;

(ii) Anti-depressants – These can depress the alerting system and have been a contributory cause of mistakes leading to fatal accidents. A person should stop work when starting anti-depressants and only return when it is clear that there are no untoward side effects. It is recommended that individuals seek medical advice from their General Practitioner or appropriate medical specialist before returning to work;

(iii) Antibiotics – Antibiotics (penicillin and the various mycins and cyclines) and sulpha drugs may have short term or delayed effects which affect work performance. Their use indicates that a fairly severe infection may well be present and apart from the effects of these substances themselves, the side effects of the infection will almost always render an individual unfit for work;

(iv) Anti-histamine – Such drugs are widely used in 'cold cures' and in the treatment of hay fever, asthma and allergic skin conditions. Many easily obtainable nasal spray and drop preparations contain anti-histamines. Most of this group of medicines tend to make the taker feel drowsy. Their effect, combined with that of the condition, will often prevent the basic three questions (paragraph 3.7 of the Notice) from being answered satisfactorily. Admittedly very mild states of hay fever etc., may be adequately controlled by small doses of anti-allergic drugs, but a trial period to establish the absence of side effects is essential before going on duty. When individuals are affected by allergic conditions which require more than the absolute minimum of treatment and in all cases of asthma, one of the above mentioned sources of advice should be consulted;

(v) 'Pep' pills (e.g. containing Caffeine, Dexedrine, Benzedrine) used to maintain wakefulness are often habit forming. Susceptibility to each drug varies from one individual to another, but all of them can create dangerous over-confidence. Over-dosage may cause headaches, dizziness and mental disturbances. The use of 'pep' pills whilst working cannot be permitted. If coffee is insufficient, you are not fit for work;
Drugs for the relief of high blood pressure are proving to be very effective in controlling this condition. However, antihypertensive agents all have some side effects and should not be administered before adequate assessment of the need for treatment. The prescribing practitioner should be able to advise on any side effects to be considered;

Drugs when prescribed for Anti-malaria in normally recommended doses do not usually have any adverse effects. However, the drug should be taken in good time so that the question in paragraph 3.7(b) of the Notice can be answered;

Oral contraceptive tablets in the standard dose do not usually have adverse effects, although regular supervision is required;

'SUDAFED' is the trade name of a preparation containing pseudo-ephedrine hydrochloride. This may be prescribed by General Practitioners for relief of nasal congestion. Side effects reported however are anxiety, tremor, rapid pulse and headache. The preparation does not contain anti-histamines which could sedate and cause drowsiness but the effects can nevertheless affect skilled performance. Sudafed, therefore, is not a preparation to be taken when making engineering decisions or performing licensed duties.

Although the above are common groups of drugs, which may have adverse effects on performance, it should be pointed out that many forms of medication, which although not usually expected to affect efficiency may do so if the person concerned is unduly sensitive to a particular drug. Therefore, no drugs or medicines, or combinations, should be taken before or during duty unless the taker is completely familiar with the effects on him or her of the medication and the drugs or medicines have specifically been prescribed for the individual alone. Again, the sources of advice mentioned earlier in this notice should be consulted in cases of doubt.

2 Cancellation

This Appendix cancels Appendix No.1 to Airworthiness Notice No.47, Issue 1, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 49
Issue 2
31 January 2003

ELIGIBILITY OF ORGANISATIONS FOR DESIGN AND PRODUCTION APPROVAL

1 Purpose

The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to clarify the criteria used by the Director-General in determining if approval of an organisation is necessary and appropriate for the regulatory control of airworthiness.

2 Introduction

2.1 Under the CAP.448 Civil Aviation Ordinance, the Director-General is entrusted through the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (AN(HK)O), as amended, with responsibility for the regulation of the airworthiness and flight safety standards of aircraft (and aircraft components which are intended for fitment to such aircraft) and the safety of aircraft occupants. To facilitate the discharge of this duty the AN(HK)O provides various means of enabling technical tasks to be undertaken by the aviation industry. One such provision is Article 101 of the AN(HK)O wherein it is prescribed that:

In relation to any of his functions pursuant to any of the provisions of this Order the Chief Executive may, either absolutely or subject to such conditions as he thinks fit, approve a person as qualified to furnish reports to him and may accept such reports.'

2.2 In this context, a body corporate will qualify as the person specified in Article 101 of the AN(HK)O. Furthermore, for the purposes of AN(HK)O organisation approval, a body corporate is deemed to be an 'organisation'. The requirements for the grant of AN(HK)O approval to organisations are prescribed in HKAR-1 Section 1.8. The intention of these requirements is to ensure that approval is only granted to applicants who are assessed by the Director-General as being competent and properly equipped to undertake the intended delegated task.

NOTE: This assessment will normally necessitate a comprehensive initial investigation of approval applications and, following approval, periodic audit to ensure that the approved standard is maintained.
Each approved organisation is required by HKAR-1 Section 1.8 to issue certain prescribed certifications in relation to the items or services it provides under the authority of the AN(HK)O organisation approval held. In some cases, the organisation makes reports to the Director-General in the form of substantiation of design submissions. In other cases, e.g. manufacturing organisations, reports are not made directly to the Director-General but each such organisation is approved to issue certifications which form the essential chain of evidence of control to the point at which the Director-General indicates his satisfaction that an aircraft or aircraft component which is intended for fitment to such an aircraft, is fit to fly e.g. by the issue of a Certificate of Airworthiness.

Many organisations regard AN(HK)O approval as a desirable business asset even though there is no regulatory need for AN(HK)O approval in the relevant industry sector. However, it is necessary to limit approvals to those areas of industry where there is a clear regulatory need for the Director-General to make a technical assessment of the organisational standards in order to 'discharge the Director-General's responsibilities as prescribed in paragraph 2.1'.

Consequently, the Director-General reserves the right to refuse new applicants and revoke certain existing approvals on the grounds that the Director-General has determined that there is no regulatory need for such approvals.

For many items which can be classified either as 'consumable' or 'standard parts' (as defined in HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 and JAA Administrative & Guidance Material Section Two, Part Three Temporary Guidance Leaflet No.11 Paragraphs 5 and 8) the Director-General has long required that the Approved Organisation using such items bear responsibility for the manner of their application. It is normal for consumable or standard parts to be identified in the technical publications for the aircraft or equipment which are provided by the responsible design authority.

Cabin interior furnishings are examples of items where approval of the manufacturers is not required, but standards are important and are subject to control in other ways such as compliance with Airworthiness Notices 58, 59 and 61 where flammability resistance is required to be verified by testing to specified standards.

The role of the Director-General is, therefore, that of regulation where it is necessary rather than performing an assessment on behalf of the prime contractor.

The Director-General has determined that there is no regulatory need for AN(HK)O approval of the following organisation types:

* "Items" are airframe parts and equipment intended to be installed in aircraft (excluding engines, propellers and radio apparatus). See HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.4-8 for details.
4.1 Organisations manufacturing consumable items, examples as follows:

(a) Paints including primer, dopes, varnish, lacquers;
(b) Adhesive tapes and masking materials;
(c) De-watering compounds, solvents, cleaning and de-icing products;
(d) Adhesives and sealants;
(e) Consumable materials employed in welding, brazing and soldering processes (including rods, powder, fluxes etc);
(f) Fuel, lubricants and fluids;
(g) Chemical, sacrificial, coating and other products used in processing of parts e.g. plating anodes, etchants, and electrolytes;
(h) Gaskets, shims and washers;
(i) Cable ties, clips, and sleeving.

4.2 Organisations who design, manufacture, or supply the following:

(a) Items which can be verified by inspection on receipt or other means;
(b) Goods or services provided under subcontract controls operated by a customer organisation whose AN(HK)O approval includes the control of subcontractors;
(c) Electronic components including circuit boards and associated hardware intended for incorporation into equipment (see Airworthiness Notice No.39);
(d) Items for which the Director-General only requires test evidence provided by an approved test house, to an approved standard of conformance to requirements such as the cabin furnishing materials flammability requirements specified in Airworthiness Notices 58, 59 and 61;
(e) Non-structural, non-metallic raw material other than pre-impregnated fabrics;
(f) Ground-based equipment, or tools, for access, testing, jigging, cleaning, de-icing, towing etc., except in the case where the performance and reliability of an airborne system depends upon the simultaneous operation of a ground-based element;
(g) Items specifically exempted from approval by AN(HK)O Schedule 5
4.3 Overseas Organisations

(a) Where there is a bilateral arrangement or other appropriate arrangement in force between the local National Airworthiness Authority and the Director-General;

(b) Providing items or services where adequate control and certification arrangements, including issue of the Authorised Release Certificate / Airworthiness Approval Tag JAA Form One, are provided by the local National Airworthiness Authority who are acceptable to the Director-General.

(c) Except where there is a very specific regulatory need for the direct support of Hong Kong registered aircraft.

4.4 Stockist distribution organisations of items other than materials and fasteners from approved manufacturers.

5 Compliance

5.1 The Director-General will normally follow the above guidelines when dealing with approval applications and enquiries. Departure from the criteria for regulatory need will only be considered:

(a) Where clear advantage to the Director-General in performing his regulatory task can be identified as a consequence of granting approval, e.g. efficient use of CAD resources; or

(b) Where the Director-General determines that the use of a particular item in a specific aviation application necessitates direct CAD regulation of the source of the item. In such cases, any organisation approval granted to the source would only relate to the specific item and aviation application involved; or

(c) Where approval is necessary to support CAD obligations under bilateral arrangements with other National Airworthiness Authorities.

6 Existing Approvals

Organisations already holding approvals which have been determined not to be necessary for regulatory purposes, will be required to relinquish their approvals. This will be done as far as possible in an even-handed manner to ensure fair and reasonable treatment.

7 Application for Approval

Enquiries regarding organisation and eligibility for approval in general, or regarding applications for specific approval should be made in writing to CAD Airworthiness
Office (See Airworthiness Notice No.29). If necessary, further information and/or application forms can then be supplied.

8  Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 49 Issue 1, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
DETERIORATION OF WOODEN AIRCRAFT STRUCTURES

1. Concern over the susceptibility of wooden aircraft structures to deterioration, and recognition that extensive dismantling and inspection may be required to ensure their continued integrity was first expressed in earlier Airworthiness Notices in the United Kingdom. The Director-General has published this Notice to alert possible owners of such aircraft to the problems they may face, especially in a tropical environment.

2. Examination of older type wooden aircraft has highlighted several serious structural failures, and continued vigilance is therefore essential if the integrity of wooden aircraft structures is to be maintained. Deterioration has occurred in those assemblies where normal inspection is impossible and has not come to light until the adjacent structure has been disturbed to embody repairs or modifications, or during extensive overhaul. These closer examinations have revealed failure of glued joints in the primary structure and patches of timber in a state of decay as a result of exposure to extremes of atmospheric conditions.

3. General conclusions which have been drawn from the results of the examinations are:
   
   (a) The external appearance of wooden aircraft may give little or no indication of the condition of the timber and glued joints beneath the surface.
   
   (b) Aircraft built with glued ply and timber torsion box construction are the most vulnerable.
   
   (c) Under extreme conditions, deterioration can be very rapid indeed.
   
   (d) Lack of proper drainage can be a significant contributory factor, particularly when associated with (c) above.
   
   (e) Many glues, especially those in use before 1950, lose strength with age and/or cycles of humidity and temperature.
Attention is drawn to the following:

(a) Evidence to demonstrate that continued airworthiness has been established, means the aircraft records show that such aircraft have been dismantled, opened up and the upholstery removed to such an extent as to ensure that an adequate sample of timber and glued joints have been inspected and, if found to be defective, made good. In cases where defective timber and glued joints are found, it will be necessary to extend a sample of areas checked in order to establish confidence in the condition of the complete structure.

(b) Wooden aircraft left continuously in the open or, conversely, stored for long periods in very dry conditions are particularly susceptible to deterioration. The type of storage provided for the aircraft must therefore be taken into consideration when assessing the amount of inspection necessary to meet the requirements of (a) above.

(c) Prospective purchasers of aircraft registered outside Hong Kong are advised:

(i) To establish with the Director-General whether the aircraft type is eligible for the issue of a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness and the requirements/conditions that would apply. Some aircraft not eligible for a Certificate of Airworthiness may qualify for a Permit to Fly.

(ii) Where such aircraft are of wooden construction, to consider carefully the condition of the structure and its history before applying for the issue of a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness. The Director-General will require information as to the type of constructions and glue used.

5 Supplementary information

UKCAA Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures Leaflet 6-1, Inspection of Wooden Structures.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.50 Issue 4, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman I.O

Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 September 2005

AN-50 P.2
MAINTENANCE CONCESSION CONTROL

Note: The title of this Notice was “Concession Control and Related Certifications”. As the control of design approvals and their deviations is already prescribed in HKAR-21, this Notice is amended to remove the control of any design related deviations and keep the control of maintenance related deviations.

1 Maintenance Concessions are written authority for an appropriately licensed aircraft maintenance engineer to accept a limited number of aircraft, systems, components or parts thereof for use on an aircraft which do not wholly comply with the relevant Hong Kong Aviation Requirements but nevertheless do meet an acceptable level of airworthiness in any particular case in the following categories:-

A Deleted.

B Items which form part of agreed CAD concession procedures approved as part of an approved maintenance schedule. This could include cases where parts and/or facilities are temporarily not available.

C Items outside category B where agreement has been reached with the Director-General for the particular item concerned.

Note: Any design related deviations/concessions shall be approved in accordance with HKAR-21 either by the Director-General or by Design Organisation Approval in accordance with their approved Design Organisation Handbook.

2 Maintenance Concession Reports shall only be issued by Persons within an Approved Organisation who hold personal Approval to furnish such reports, and subject to any conditions that may be applied.

3 Persons so authorised shall demonstrate to the Quality Manager that they have knowledge and experience commensurate with the level and scope of the authorisation sought.

4 For each concession the Quality Manager of a CAD approved organisation is responsible for ensuring that:-

4.1 Having regard to all the circumstances an acceptable level of airworthiness will be achieved and no person boarding or on board the subject aircraft will suffer hazard;
4.2 Adequate consideration has been given to the possibility of an adverse effect on airworthiness as a result of defects or malfunctions existing or likely to exist in the aircraft to which the concession is to apply;

4.3 Consultation has taken place within the Approved Organisation and with such other organisations as may be necessary to ensure that the content of the concession satisfies paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2. Any required inspections, checks or tests shall be specified in the concession.

5 Procedures for concession issue and control by an Approved Organisation shall be incorporated in the CAD approved exposition document. These procedures shall satisfy the following requirements unless otherwise agreed by the Director-General.

   (i) A reference numbering system shall be in operation to provide for traceability to the affected aircraft, component, or system.

   (ii) The procedure shall provide for retention and retrieval of all concessions issued by the organisation.

   (iii) The procedure shall provide for identification of the person responsible for authorising the concession and provide evidence of his authority to do so.

   (iv) The concession format shall include at least the following information.

(a) Name and CAD Approval reference of issuing organisation.

(b) Identification of each affected aircraft, component or system.

(c) Full details of the deviation from the approved standard.

(d) Technical justification (and approval references if applicable) to satisfy Paragraph 4 of this Notice.

(e) Details of other directly associated current concessions.

(f) Details of any inspections or tests required as part of the concession.

(g) Details of the period of validity of the concession and the inspections or tests required by item (f) above.

(h) Signature and identification of the person authorising the concession and the date of signing.

6 Persons approved to issue certificates in accordance with the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, Article 9 and 11, shall not issue a certificate for aircraft, systems, components or parts thereof which do not wholly comply with the relevant concession issued in accordance with this Notice.
No such persons shall issue a certificate if they have reason to believe the provisions of paragraph 4 of this Notice have not been properly considered or acted upon in a particular case.

7 Concessions against any inspection, modification, repair or replacement classified as Mandatory by the Director-General shall not be granted. A variation shall be applied for in this case.

8 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 52 Issue 6, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
VERTICAL SPEED INDICATORS ON IMPORTED AIRCRAFT

1 Introduction

1.1 An incident on a light aircraft imported into the UK has shown the possible danger of the presentation of false information to the pilot due to reversed indication by the vertical speed indicator during a fast rate of descent.

1.2 United Kingdom approved instruments and instruments complying with JAA JTSO Specification C8d or the United States TSO Specification C8d are fitted with stops to prevent such occurrence. It is not known whether other instruments, particularly those likely to be installed in imported aircraft of less than 5700 kg MTWA are similarly equipped.

2 Action

2.1 Before issue or renewal of the Certificate of Airworthiness of an imported aircraft, it shall be established whether the vertical speed indicator is fitted with limit stops. This may be done by test or reference to the manufacturer.

2.2 If stops are not fitted, either the vertical speed indicator shall be replaced by an instrument that has stops, or alternatively the placard defined in paragraph 3 shall be fitted.

3 Placard

3.1 The following placard shall be fitted adjacent to a vertical speed indicator not fitted with stops:

"This indicator is not fitted with limit stops and a rate of change of altitude in excess of the maximum calibration will cause indication in the reverse sense."

3.2 The placard may, as a temporary measure, be type-written on white card, but shall be replaced by a more permanent placard as soon as possible.

4 Record

A record of the action taken to comply with paragraph 2 above shall be made in the aircraft log book, quoting the serial number of the instrument.
Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.53 Issue 4, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2004    AN-53 P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT  
HONG KONG, CHINA  

Airworthiness Notice  

No. 54  
Issue 4  
31 January 2002  

AIRCRAFT, ENGINE & VARIABLE-PITCH PROPELLER LOG BOOKS  
FOR AIRCRAFT NOT EXCEEDING 2730 kg MTWA  

1 The Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, as amended makes it mandatory, for the subject aircraft, to maintain aircraft, engine and variable-pitch propeller records in log books and shall be of a type approved by the Director-General.  

2 For this purpose, the Director-General publish log books which are approved for affected aircraft registered in Hong Kong. The log books are available from the Civil Aviation Department, Airworthiness Office (see AN No.29). 

The Log Books are:-  

| CAD 398 | Aircraft Log Book |
| CAD 399 | Engine Log Book |
| CAD 400 | Variable-pitch Propeller Log Book |

and can be supplied at current CAD published prices.  

NOTE: Notwithstanding the revocation of the Notice No.54 Issue 2, dated 1 June 1987, any log book issued, made, served or granted under that Notice, if in force at the commencement of this Notice, shall continue in force until superseded.  

3 As it is essential that these log books reflect the current state in respect of compliance with Mandatory Modifications and Inspections and Airworthiness Directives, it will be necessary to cross-refer all relevant entries from previous technical records and log books.  

4 Cancellation  

This Notice cancels Notice No.54, Issue 3, dated 1 November 1996 which should be destroyed.  

Albert K. Y. Lam  
Director-General of Civil Aviation  

AN-54 P.1  
31 January 2002
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 55
Issue 2
30 September 2003

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

FUEL TANK SAFETY REVIEW

1 Introduction

In recent years the aviation industry has experienced a number of incidents or accidents involving fuel tank explosions. This experience suggests that on some aircraft types, the fuel system installation does not provide as high a level of protection against explosion as had been expected. The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to require a safety review based on JAR 25.1309 practices, and taking into consideration in-service experience, be used to identify the need for any modification action to correct unsafe conditions, revisions to Maintenance Requirements and improved maintenance practices required to maintain a satisfactory level of safety.

The FAA has issued a set of new rules related to fuel tank safety including SFAR 88 and amendments to 14 CFR Parts 21, 25, 91, 121, 125, and 129 that require fuel tank system design reviews, associated modification reviews and improved maintenance procedures and practices.

The JAA have requested the National Aviation Authorities to mandate that holders of Type Certificates (TC) and major modification approvals directly related to the fuel system installation undertake similar safety reviews, in accordance with JAA Interim Policy INT/POL/25/12 (available on internet via http://www.jaa.nl/certification/fuel_tank_safety/fuel_tank_frame.html under "D - Harmonised JAA-FAA Policy.pdf"). The initial JAA recommendation letter was revised and re-issued on 31 January 2003 in order to harmonise JAA and FAA policy. This amended Airworthiness Notice reflects the changes recommended by JAA including the newly harmonised elements. Where identified as necessary by this safety review the introduction of modifications and improved maintenance practices will be mandated.

The Director-General fully adopts these recommendations. Operators, TC holders, approved design organisations and modification approval holders will all need to comply with the contents of this Airworthiness Notice as appropriate.
2 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice applies to all Hong Kong registered turbine powered large aeroplanes certificated after 1 January 1958 in the Transport or Private Categories with a maximum type certificated passenger capacity of 30 seats or more, OR a maximum payload of 3,402 kg (7,500 lbs) or more.

Note: Maximum payload equates to maximum design zero fuel weight minus operational empty weight.

3 Requirement

Holders of TC and major modification approvals directly related to the fuel system installation on applicable aircraft types are required to carry out a safety assessment in accordance with the principles of JAR 25.1309, using the guidance material provided in the Interpretative Material (IM) to JAA Interim Policy INT/POL/25/12 (available on internet via http://www.jaa.nl/certification/fuel_tank_safety/fuel_tank_frame.html under "D - Harmonised JAA-FAA Policy.pdf"). It must be shown that an ignition source within the fuel system cannot result from a single failure and is extremely improbable. For the purposes of this analysis, the whole fuel system should be assumed to be in the flammable range. In addition it should be shown that no heat transfer can lead to fuel auto-ignition within the fuel system. All systems, including the fuel system, that can release heat, in normal and failure conditions to the fuel system should be considered.

Although the assumption is that the fuel tank will always be considered to be flammable, it is recognised that not all non-compliances with JAR 25.1309 necessarily result in an unsafe condition. Harmonised criteria have been developed to determine those non-compliances which result in a potential unsafe condition that requires mandatory corrective action.

These criteria, including assessment of the fuel tank flammability, are defined in the Attachment 3 to JAA Letter 04/00/02/07/02-L291 (available on internet via http://www.jaa.nl/certification/fuel_tank_safety/fuel_tank_frame.html under "D - Harmonised JAA-FAA Policy.pdf").

Operators are required to identify and list all aircraft fuel tank system major modifications as installed on their aircraft. It is the responsibility of the operators to satisfy themselves that the safety reviews required by this policy have been carried out for all major modifications/Supplemental Type Certificates (STC) installed on their aircraft. In cases where the approval holder(s) is(are) unable to carry out the safety review then the operator must engage the services of an appropriately approved design organisation to carry out the above safety review.

The outcome of these review exercises is expected to be the identification of modifications to address unsafe conditions, additional Airworthiness Limitations, new

* For the purpose of this Airworthiness Notice, “major modifications” includes “major repairs”.
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or revised service information, revised inspection standards and amendment of Maintenance Manuals including the revision of Standard Practices.

Operators are required to provide appropriate training for maintenance personnel, amend maintenance procedures and also to amend Approved Maintenance Programmes to introduce the tasks and inspections arising from this review.

Operators must ensure that all scheduled maintenance tasks, inspection standards and maintenance procedure revisions arising as a result of compliance with this Airworthiness Notice or SFAR 88 are complied with.

4 Compliance

TC holders and STC holders are required to have submitted and gained approval of their System Safety Analysis reports including identification of the unsafe conditions requiring mandatory corrective action no later than 31 December 2003. Mandatory corrective actions required to correct potential unsafe conditions identified by the safety reviews, which may include modifications, additional Airworthiness Limitations and revised maintenance activities will take place after 31 December 2003 with timescales agreed with the Director-General. Operators are required to have implemented all applicable maintenance actions identified by the above design reviews, before 31 December 2004.

Major modification approval holders are required to have submitted and gained approval of their System Safety Analysis reports including identification of the unsafe conditions requiring mandatory corrective action no later than 31 March 2004. Mandatory corrective actions required to correct potential unsafe conditions identified by the safety reviews, which may include modifications, additional Airworthiness Limitations and revised maintenance activities will take place after 31 March 2004 with timescales agreed with the Director-General. Operators are required to have implemented all applicable maintenance actions identified by the above design reviews, before 31 March 2005.

Where FAA and JAA member state is the primary certificating authority for the TC, STC or major modification, the Director-General will accept compliance findings made by the State of Design in accordance with this harmonized FAA/JAA policy without further showing.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 55 Issue 1, dated 31 January 2003, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-55 P.3 30 September 2003
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 56
Issue 7
29 January 2016

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

EMERGENCY FLOOR PATH LIGHTING SYSTEM

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with an Emergency Floor Path Lighting System under Article 13, Schedule 5, Scale Z(iii) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires an Emergency Floor Path Lighting System.

2.2 Some of the design standards in previous issue of this Notice are contained in CS/FAR 25.812 and need not be repeated herein.

2.3 Relevant parts of FAA Advisory Circular (FAA AC) 25-17A, FAA AC 25.812-1A and FAA AC 25.812-2 are accepted by the Director-General as providing acceptable means of compliance with Emergency Floor Path Lighting System.

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 (Reserved)

NOTE: Requirements for ‘Exit Identification’ has been relocated to Airworthiness Notice No. AN 101J.
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4.2 Floor Proximity Emergency Escape Path Marking

4.2.1 Distance between Light Sources along Cabin Aisle Floor

4.2.1.1 Where single point light sources (such as floor track markers, seat mounted incandescent light assemblies or light-emitting diode(s) (LEDs) light bulb) is employed, the distance between light sources shall be no greater than 40 inches.

NOTE 1: Distance between single point light sources no greater than 20 inches will permit a maximum distance between single point light sources of 40 inches under typical Minimum Equipment List (MEL) conditions.

NOTE 2: For aircraft with any inoperative single point light sources resulting distance between operative single point light sources greater than 40 inches, MEL shall not be applied for dispatching aircraft.

NOTE 3: Any inoperative diode(s) in a LEDs light bulb will render the light bulb itself to be considered as inoperative. MEL conditions shall not be permitted unless supported by test or analysis that the inoperative light bulb(s) is/are still permit sufficient illumination at 40-inch interval.

4.2.1.2 Where non-single point light sources (such as ‘flood lights’) is employed, the maximum distance between light sources is to be determined by test or analysis such that the cabin aisle floor is provided with illumination that is not less than 0.2 lux (0.02 foot candle) measured along a line that is within 6 inches of and parallel to the floor and is centred on the cabin aisle floor at each 40-inch interval.

NOTE 1: Only emergency lights located no more than 4 feet above the cabin floor level are regarded as ‘flood lights’ in this context.

NOTE 2: MEL conditions shall not be permitted unless supported by test or analysis with the inoperative light(s) that will permit sufficient illumination at 40-inch interval.

5 Additional Information


6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 56 Issue 6, dated 22 October 2012, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 57
Issue 4
31 January 2002

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

TOILET FLUSH MOTOR

1 Introduction

One fatal accident and other incidents have occurred in recent years involving toilet fires, the exact cause of which could not be determined. Investigations of these incidents have not been able to rule out the possibility that fires may have been started by toilet flush motor failures which caused dangerous overheating. These findings, when considered with evidence from toilet flush motors which have failed and suffered damage due to overheating, has led the Director-General to publish the requirements of this Notice, for the retrospective modification of those aircraft fitted with electric flush motors which do not already have suitable overheat protection. The relevant Airworthiness Requirements being invoked are BCAR Section D, Chapter D6-13 paragraphs 2 and 6.6 and JAR 25X1499. Reference should also be made to AMJ 25.1309(b).

2 Requirement

2.1 For all aircraft above 5700 kg MTWA certificated in the Transport or Private Categories and which have electric toilet flush motor systems installed, compliance with paragraph 2.2 or 2.3 of this Notice, or with a CAD approved alternative providing an equivalent level of airworthiness, is required as soon as practical but not later than 1 April 1986.

2.2 Unless it can be shown that:

(a) any failure of the control circuit or its associated components which causes the motor to run continuously will not create an overheat condition such as to create a smoke or fire hazard, and

(b) failures within the motor or pump which would result in such an overheat condition will cause the supply circuit protection to operate.

then compliance with paragraph 2.3 of this Notice is required.

2.3 Electric toilet flush motors must be fitted with a suitable thermal protection device to prevent them overheating such as to create a smoke or fire hazard, due
to failures of the control circuit, control circuit components, motor or pump.

3 Additional Information

3.1 The following should be taken into consideration when establishing compliance with this Notice:-

(a) Failures of any automatic control systems, e.g. automatic timer systems, which may cause the motor pump to run continuously.

(b) Short circuit failures of motor windings to each other or to the motor case.

(c) Open circuit of one phase on multi-phase motors.

(d) Motor or pump bearing failures.

(e) Motor or pump seizures.

(f) The proximity of flammable materials or fluids to the motor.

(g) The proximity of other aircraft installations to the motor.

3.2 Owners and operators are recommended to contact the manufacturer or main agent for information regarding the implementation of the intent of this Notice and regarding the corresponding modifications which may be required.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.57 Issue 3, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. Lam
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2002 AN-57 P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

FLAME RESISTANT FURNISHING MATERIALS

1 Introduction

1.1 Materials used for aircraft cabin furnishings are required to have flame resistant properties.

1.2 Materials used when carrying out repairs or modifications to an aircraft cabin furnishings are also required to have flame resistant properties, which are either at least equal to those of the materials used in the original design as accepted for Hong Kong certification, or in compliance with the current or Hong Kong equivalent requirements.

2 Requirements for Initial Acceptance of Materials

Wherever possible only inherently flame resistant materials shall be used. However, materials which meet the requirements by the use of a flame retardant process, applied either during or after manufacture, may also be used provided that (since all materials may at some time be dry-cleaned or washed) the material is shown to be flame resistant when tested both before and after being subjected to three representative cleaning processes.

3 Requirements for Maintenance of Flame Resistance

3.1 Continuance of the flame resistance properties of furnishing materials may depend upon their use in service and the methods used in their cleaning. Experience has shown that:

(a) The proprietary flame retardant processes often applied to furnishing materials during or after manufacture, in order to provide the necessary flame resistant properties, may be destroyed or seriously impaired where incorrect dry cleaning, laundering or proprietary finishing processes which enhance durability and minimise soiling, are used.

(b) The application of one flame retardant process on top of another of a different type, may have the effect of inhibiting the properties of both processes.
(c) During service, seat covers become contaminated with perspiration which leaves a deposit of body salts, etc. These deposits may accumulate, impairing the flame resistance properties of the materials.

(d) Disinfectants, etc., are often sprayed from aerosol containers in aircraft cabins. The accumulation of these agents may also affect the long term flame resistant properties of the furnishing materials.

3.2 Operators and maintenance organisations are reminded, therefore, that they must have adequate control over the cleaning of aircraft furnishing materials. For this, they need to have a knowledge of the material type, the recommended cleaning or proprietary finishing processing methods, the effects of time in service on the flame resistance properties, the flame retardant processes applied, if any, and the method of re-application of such a process, where this is necessary. It is not acceptable to place reliance on unsubstantiated claims concerning the continuance of flame resistant properties of a material after durability or additional flame retarded processes have been applied. Where such processes have been applied, there is a need to prove the continued acceptability of a particular material or process in service, and, therefore, further flame resistance tests must be conducted in accordance with requirements identified in paragraph 1.2 of this Notice and, where applicable, Airworthiness Notice No. 59.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No.58, Issue 4, dated 31 May 2003, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 September 2006
AN-58 P.2
1 Applicability

1.1 This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all seats and berths (except seats located within the flight deck) installed in Hong Kong registered aircraft (aeroplanes and rotorcraft) over 5700 kg MTWA, certificated in the Transport Category (Passenger) of a type for which a Type Certificate was issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 1958.

1.2 For the purpose of this Notice the term berths is taken to include such items as:-

(a) berth and stretcher mattresses.

(b) couch cushion assemblies.

(c) other similar upholstery assemblies.

2 Introduction

2.1 Research into post-crash fire survivability has demonstrated that the overall flammability of seat upholstery materials is an important, and in many cases a dominant, factor in the rate at which a fire spreads through a cabin. Present-day seat cushions are typically constructed of flame-resistant polyurethane foam over which there is an upholstery covering. Both in the FAA fire programme and in a related UK programme at the Fire Research Station at Boreham Wood, fire tests have clearly demonstrated how, by encapsulating the seat cushion with a fire-blocking layer, the onset of ignition of the foam core can be delayed and thereby survival time within the fuselage can be extended.

2.2 Several small-scale test methods have been used during the various programmes to assess the fire performance of seat cushions and some have been correlated to full-scale fuselage fire tests. The FAA, however, has chosen a 2 gallon (US)/hour kerosene burner as its test standard as it is also used by the American Industry for the qualification of flexible hydraulic and fuel hoses, required to be resistant to fire. In this seat cushion test the burner is the fire source and the fire contribution of a seat cushion system (squab and back
support) is assessed in a stylised form by measuring fire spread and percentage weight loss of the seat specimen during a 2 minute period of exposure to the test flame.

2.3 For those aircraft defined in paragraph 1 already in service, or to be introduced into service, the Director-General intends, by this Notice, to require, in similar manner to that defined in FAR 121.312(b) (Amendment 121-184), that such aircraft shall be equipped with seats and berths (except seats located within the flight deck) which comply with this new standard. See also JAR 26.150(b).

3 Compliance

3.1 All affected seats and berths fitted to aircraft defined in paragraph 1 above, shall comply with the requirements of this Notice.

3.2 All aircraft seats newly manufactured and which are intended for use on aircraft defined in paragraph 1 above shall also comply with the requirements of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 In addition to meeting the existing flammability requirements of UKCAA Specification No.8; BCAR Section D, Chapter D4-3, paragraph 9; BCAR Section G, Chapter G4-3, paragraph 9, JAR 25.853 or JAR29.853, as applicable, each seat cushion (squab and back support), except those fitted to seats located within the flight deck, and berths, shall meet the requirements of JAR-25, Appendix F, Part II, FAR Part 25, Appendix F, Part II (at Amendment No. 25-59) or an equivalent fire test criteria agreed with the Director-General.

5 Additional Information

5.1 The Director-General should be consulted at an early date if alternative methods are to be used.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No. 59, issue 2, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. Lam
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2002 AN-59 P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 60
Issue 6
28 June 2019

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

COCKPIT, CABIN AND TOILET FIRE PROTECTION

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft (aeroplanes and rotorcraft) over 5700 kg MTWA, certificated in the Transport Category (Passenger) for 20 or more passengers.

2 Introduction

2.1 The Director-General issued Airworthiness Notice No. 83 which required a re-assessment of the design, construction and location of waste receptacles in toilet compartments, and the prohibition of smoking in such compartments. This action, followed an accident in which a fire in a waste receptacle was uncontained and developed into a major in-flight, and subsequently a post-crash, fire with a high number of fatalities.

2.2 Following a more recent in-flight fire resulting in several fatalities, the CAD conducted a ramp inspection of aircraft toilet compartments during aircraft "turnaround" and it has been concluded that despite the modifications introduced as a result of Airworthiness Notice No. 83, "in-service" deterioration could well negate the fire containment capabilities of waste receptacles.

2.3 A repetitive inspection within toilet compartment has been called for to ensure the continued mechanical integrity of waste receptacles and thereby the initial fire containment. However, the Director-General now believes that fire containment alone is insufficient and that the provision of smoke/fire detection and improved fire fighting capability is necessary.

2.4 The purpose of this Notice is, therefore, to publish requirements for the provision of:-

(a) portable fire extinguisher in cockpit
(b) smoke detection in each toilet compartment;
(c) a minimum number of cabin-mounted Halon 1211 (bromochlorodifluoromethane BCF) fire extinguishers;

(d) an increase in the minimum number of handheld fire extinguishers in the cabin.

2.5 This Notice is complementary to Airworthiness Notice No. 83 'Fire Precautions – Aircraft Toilets' and takes into account FAR Part 121.308 and 121.309.

3 Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.

4 Requirements

4.1 At least one portable fire extinguisher shall be conveniently located in the cockpit.

4.2 Each toilet compartment shall be equipped with a smoke detection system that provides a warning light and/or aural warning in the passenger cabin, so located as to be readily seen or heard by a flight attendant performing his normal duties throughout the flight, and/or a warning light in the cockpit.

4.3 In addition to the extinguishers provided for use in the cockpit, accessible cargo compartments and upper and lower deck galleys, there shall be at least the following number of approved handheld fire extinguishers conveniently and evenly distributed throughout the passenger compartment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passenger Seating Capacity</th>
<th>No. of Extinguishers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 – 200</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 – 300</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 – 400</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 – 500</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 – 600</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601 or more</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Any portable fire extinguisher so fitted in accordance with the certificate of airworthiness of the aircraft may count as one prescribed.

4.4 At least half, but not less than two, of the required handheld fire extinguishers installed in the cabin shall contain Halon 1211 or its equivalent as the extinguishing agent.
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4.5 Portable fire extinguishers shall be of a type which, when discharged, will not cause dangerous contamination of the air within the aircraft.

Note: “dangerous contamination of the air” refers to the level of toxicity of the fire extinguishing agent. It is understood that some agents displace oxygen and this could lead to other problems but they are not necessarily toxic.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 60 Issue 5, dated 30 April 2015, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
1 Applicability

This Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2 Introduction

2.1 For over forty-five years, halogenated hydrocarbon (halon) have been the main fire extinguishing agents used in civil aircraft fire suppression systems. The halon-based extinguishing agents that are used today contribute to climate change and are no longer being produced by international agreement because they are ozone-depleting chemicals. With the signing of the Montreal Protocol on Substances That Deplete the Ozone Layer, the production of halon was banned on 1 January 1994 in developed States and on 1 January 2010 for all other States.

2.2 At the fifteenth meeting of the parties to the Montreal Protocol in 2003, the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) Ozone Secretariat was tasked to work with International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) to develop a plan for the replacement of halon in civil aircraft. Subsequently, Resolution A36-12 – Halon Replacement was adopted by the ICAO Assembly in 2007 and called for the Council of ICAO to consider a mandate for the replacement of halon within specified timeframes for newly produced aircraft. This Notice stipulates the latest Standards and Recommended Practices of ICAO on the subject.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.
3 Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued in Hong Kong or elsewhere on or after 31 December 2011 shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.2 With effect from 31 December 2018, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued in Hong Kong or elsewhere on or after 31 December 2018 shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 Lavatory Extinguishing Systems and Agents


4.2 Portable Fire Extinguisher and Agents


4.3 Minimum Performance Standards (MPS) of Fire Extinguishing Agents

Lavatory and portable fire extinguisher agents shall meet the following MPS:

4.3.1 Lavatory Extinguishing Agents:

Minimum Performance Standards laid down in Appendix D to FAA Report DOT/FAA/AR-96/122 of February 1997, which includes the ability to extinguish a Class A fire and, in case of discharge, does not create an environment that exceeds the chemical agent’s ‘No Observable Adverse Effect Level’ (NOAEL) is acceptable.

4.3.2 Portable Fire Extinguisher Agents:

Minimum Performance Standards for the agents are laid down in Appendix A to FAA Report DOT/FAA/AR-01/37 of August 2002. FAA Advisory Circular No. AC 20-42D also contains information on the acceptable criteria in selecting fire extinguishers.
Note: HFC-227ea and HFC-236fa are suitable alternatives to Halon for both lavatory extinguishing systems and portable fire extinguishers, and are acceptable by the Director-General in meeting the MPS.

5 Additional Information

5.1 The Director-General encourages the use of environmentally friendly materials and practices. Fire extinguishing agents should preferably not lead to the depletion of ozone layer nor contribute to global warming.

5.2 A possible alternative agent under development is bromotrifluoropropene (BTP). BTP is not ozone depleting substance (under Montreal Protocol) nor a greenhouse gas (under Kyoto Protocol). Investigation and research are currently undergoing to establish BTP as a drop in replacement for Halon 1211. Further testing is expected to determine whether BTP meets the MPS.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 60A Issue 2, dated 30 April 2015, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

IMPROVED FLAMMABILITY TEST STANDARDS FOR
CABIN INTERIOR MATERIALS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes over 5700 kg MTWA, certificated in the Transport Category (Passenger) to carry 20 or more passengers, and of a type for which a Type Certificate was issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 1958.

2 Introduction

2.1 Analysis of aircraft accidents in which cabin fire has been a major factor has indicated to both the FAA and the Director-General that currently approved cabin interior materials should meet more severe flammability test standards to reduce the risk of an uncontrolled in-flight cabin fire and extend the survival time in a ground fire emergency.

2.2 Cabin interior material flammability, smoke and toxic emissions are some of the critical factors which affect cabin occupant survivability. Over the past twenty years there has been extensive research carried out, particularly in the USA, in an attempt to quantify the hazards and to define meaningful test methods and airworthiness standards.

2.3 Recent FAA research work, involving full scale fire tests, has established a significant correlation between flammability characteristics and both smoke and toxic emissions. As a result they have placed great emphasis on the introduction of fire-hardened materials into aircraft (i.e. materials with higher ignition temperatures, reduced heat release rates and lower content of the thermally unstable components). The Director-General supports this approach and has already issued the more severe flammability test standards for aircraft seat and berth cushions (Airworthiness Notice No. 59).

2.4 The FAA (Docket No. 24594) had amended FAR Parts 25 and 121 (Amendments 25-61 and 121-189 respectively) to require a new flammability test standard for cabin sidewalls, ceilings, stowages, partitions, galleys, etc. As a result of an extended comment period, FAA Docket No. 24594 has been further revised and now amends FAR Parts 25 and 121 at Amendments 25-66.
and 121-198 respectively. Similar amendments have now been incorporated in JAR-25 at Change 13. This new test standard sets a limit for the heat release rate and smoke emission from cabin materials when exposed to a source of radiant heat. Using the modified Ohio State University (OSU) rate of heat release apparatus for Heat Release Rate, testing has been adopted by the FAA because of its good correlation with full-scale fire tests. The modified NBS smoke chamber is used for smoke emission testing.

2.5 The Director-General is in full agreement with the new FAA flammability and smoke emission test standards introduced by FAR Amendments 25-61 and 121-189 as amended by 25-66 and 121-198 and intends, by this Notice, to require the same standards for those aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1.

2.6 Under the provision of this Notice, aircraft already in service may continue without incorporating materials which comply with the new flammability and smoke emission test standards until such a time as the cabin interior is substantially renewed. In due course the Director-General may consider it necessary to propose dates by which all aircraft shall be in compliance.

3 Compliance

3.1 With effect from 20 August 1988, but prior to 20 August 1990, all aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1 above, which are either newly manufactured or are the subject of a substantially complete cabin interior renewal, shall comply with the requirements of paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.2 With effect from 20 August 1990, all aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1 above, which are either newly manufactured or are the subject of a substantially complete cabin interior renewal, shall comply with the requirements of paragraph 4.2 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 In addition to meeting the existing flammability test standards contained in BCAR Chapter D4-3, paragraph 6.1 or JAR 25.853, cabin interior ceiling and wall panels (other than lighting lenses), partitions, and the outer surfaces of galleys, large cabinets and stowage compartments (other than underseat stowage compartments and compartments for stowing small items such as magazines and maps), shall satisfy the test standards of Part IV of Appendix F of JAR-25, except that the total heat release over the first two minutes of sample exposure shall not exceed 100 kilowatt-minutes per square metre, and the peak heat release rate shall not exceed 100 kilowatts per square metre.

4.2 In addition to meeting the existing flammability test standards contained in BCAR Chapter D4-3, paragraph 6.1, European CS 25.853 or JAR 25.853, cabin interior ceiling and wall panels (other than lighting lenses), partitions, and the outer surfaces of galleys, large cabinets and stowage compartments (other than underseat stowage compartments and compartments for stowing
small items such as magazines and maps), shall satisfy the test standards of Part IV and V of Appendix F of JAR-25/CS-25.

5 Additional Information

5.1 For the purpose of this Notice, the term 'substantially complete cabin interior renewal', has been used to cover the renewal of all sidewall panels, ceiling panels and/or overhead stowages, whether this is done at one refurbishment or progressively over a period of time as part of a planned cabin interior renewal programme.

5.2 The requirements of this Notice are not applicable to individual cabin interior components which are refurbished or have to be replaced due to unserviceability, e.g., individual sidewall or ceiling panels or overhead stowage doors. However, where these components are newly manufactured the Director-General strongly recommends that they should meet the appropriate requirements of this Notice.

5.3 The requirements of this Notice are not normally applicable to the internal structures of galleys and overhead stowages, floor panels and floor coverings, transparent or translucent components such as lenses used in interior lights, illuminated signs and window anti-scratch panels, and other small cabin items such as door and window mouldings, curtains, window shades, seat trays, arm rests and parts of the passenger service units but see ACJ 25.853. However, these requirements would be applicable to large surface panels of passenger service units.

5.4 If there is any uncertainty as to the applicability of this Notice the Director-General should be consulted for clarification.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 61, Issue 3 dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
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FATIGUE LIVES

1 For fatigue reasons the major components (e.g. wings and centre-sections) of certain types of aircraft have lives restricted to a specific number of flying hours, flights or landings. These restrictions have, in the main, been confined to large transport type aircraft but more recently it has been found necessary to introduce similar restrictions on certain smaller types of aircraft, some of which are operated in the Private Category.

2 The 'lifing' of components is intended to prevent structural failure under the action of repeated air and ground loads experienced in service, the lives being based on the results of tests carried out by the manufacturers of the aircraft. If the specified fatigue life of a critical component is exceeded, the possibility arises of catastrophic structural failure. Where fatigue lives have been imposed, full details have been published by individual manufacturers in their Service Bulletins and compliance with such information is required by the Director-General. Structural Life limitations are determined for Likely Average utilisation of a type of aircraft. Any operations which depart substantially from the typical require reassessment of the structural life limitations for those specific operations and may require alteration in the Safe Fatigue Lives. Examples of operations in this category are low level flights in a maritime surveillance, or geological survey role (particularly using pressurised aircraft), or long endurance operations.

3 For the purpose of establishing structural life limitations a landing is defined as an occasion when the main undercarriage wheels make contact with the airfield surface and lift is significantly destroyed. A flight is associated with each landing and, therefore, the total number of flights pressurised and unpressurised is equal to the total number of landings. A pressurised flight is one in which the aircraft's pressurisation system is operated at a pressure differential of 14 kN/m² (2 lb/in²) or above.

4 Because of the transfer of components from one aircraft to another, it has, in some instances, been impossible to establish the remaining safe life of individual components. For this reason it is necessary to ensure that when a component in this category is installed in an aircraft, a record is kept with the aircraft documents showing, as applicable, the hours flown and number of flights or landings already sustained by the component at the time of installation. In the case of pressurised flights the applicable pressure differential may be significant. Certificates of Release to Service may not be signed until the signatory is satisfied that the required history of the component has been established.
Failure to comply with the above procedure may, due to the absence of evidence showing that the components in question have any remaining safe life, result in owners or operators being required to replace such components prematurely.

NOTE: In addition to recording operating hour of engines, auxiliary power units and propellers, the Director-General requires on most engines, auxiliary power units and propellers that a record be kept of cycles completed. Cycles are defined by the manufacturer of each engine, auxiliary power unit and propeller. (See Airworthiness Notice No.44 for engines.)

Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.62, Issue 3, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. Lam
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2002
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CONTINUING AIRWORTHINESS AND SAFETY STANDARDS OF PASSENGER SERVICE AND IN-FLIGHT ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEMS

1 Introduction

1.1 In recent years the aviation industry has experienced a number of incidents involving In-Flight Entertainment (IFE) systems. IFE systems now regularly include integration with a variety of related equipment, including seat movement mechanisms, video systems, lighting and telecommunications. (For the purposes of this Notice all further references to IFE will also include consideration of these integrated systems and does not differentiate between "one-off" modifications on corporate aircraft and series installations on commercial aircraft).

1.2 The availability of innovative electronic technology makes it clear that the increasing use of new technology within passenger cabins will continue. Significantly, more complex systems and their electrical wiring installations demand higher power consumption. Most IFE systems are installed as operator optional modifications and may not be subject to original aircraft manufacturer involvement. This has resulted in Instructions for Continuing Airworthiness (ICAW), scheduled maintenance and system maintenance manuals being overlooked or given minimal consideration.

1.3 The United Kingdom Civil Aviation Authority has conducted a review of the above concerns, the outcome of which identified a number of issues. The Director-General adopted the review. This Notice is published to provide guidance as to the best practice approach to gaining approval of and continuing airworthiness of IFE systems and the related passenger services. In particular it identifies the need to consider and where appropriate determine maintenance practices to assure the continuing airworthiness of the systems in service.

2 Background

2.1 The variety and complexity of passenger in-seat services and the cabin installation can involve several disparate and separately approved modifications. The lack of specific scheduled maintenance guidance from the Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) Holder(s), for the total installation,
is considered a potential weakness of the certification process. This can lead to a lack of clarity as to where the overall responsibility for the continuing airworthiness of the interface between the aircraft and the modified systems lies.

2.2 The adequacy of the certification requirements and objectives is not in question, but their practical interpretation can lead to system interface design and installation problems. In particular, defined standards for equipment and wiring installation/reinstallation are often minimal. IFE systems are often installed by organisations other than the aircraft manufacturer that can lead to differences in installation between otherwise identical aircraft, thus contributing to in-service problems.

2.3 Experience from the investigation of occurrences has shown that the condition of the installed system can deteriorate as a result of cabin environmental conditions. The constituent parts of an IFE system installation, including wiring, located within the passenger cabin are likely to be disturbed or removed on numerous occasions throughout the operational life of an aircraft. This increases their vulnerability to occurrences if the maintenance standards and instructions are imprecise.

2.4 The Joint Aviation Authorities (JAA) have published JAA Administrative and Guidance Material, Section One: General Part 3: Temporary Guidance Leaflet (TGL) number 17: Passenger Service and In-Flight Entertainment (IFE) Systems. This document provides guidance for the certification of new IFE designs. The Director-General supports the use of this document. However, it has been identified that it does not provide comprehensive information regarding the development of installation instructions, standards and ICAW.

2.5 Applicable certification codes will typically lead the assessment of IFE to be a "non-essential" system. As such the system will only be subjected to limited system safety assessment and will not initiate the traditional maintenance task development processes. The service failures seen in practice would certainly appear to suggest that this "no additional-maintenance required" assumption is invalid. Where appropriate, maintenance tasks should be identified to ensure system integrity. This is discussed further in Appendix No. 1 to this Notice.

3 Objective

This Notice is issued to supplement JAA TGL 17 and to provide additional guidance to industry in order to ensure the following:

(a) Initial installation standards and instructions are clear and concise and demonstrate equality with aircraft manufacturers' production standards.

(b) Clear "overall" continued airworthiness responsibility is defined. There should be robust and co-ordinated ICAW data developed and published. Such data should cover the interface between IFE modifications and original
design to ensure repeatable in-service removal and re-installation standards are achieved during scheduled maintenance and defect rectification.

(c) A logical method to develop IFE maintenance tasks and solutions is utilised.

(d) IFE scheduled maintenance tasks are integrated into the Approved Maintenance Schedule (AMS). Existing tasks to be reviewed and amended and when identified via the methodology above, the new IFE related tasks included.

4 Applicability

4.1 New IFE Installations

All new IFE installations and modifications should take account of and meet the intent of this Notice together with the JAA TGL 17.

4.2 Existing IFE Installation

It is expected that the applicable aspects of this Notice be incorporated in the AMS within one year of publication of this Notice.

5 Recommendation

5.1 In order to address the above concerns and objectives it is recommended that project management practices be utilised to ensure appropriate compliance with certification codes, development of applicable and effective ICAW and scheduled maintenance tasks.

5.2 An individual should be identified to the Director-General who would fulfill the role of project manager. It is expected that the project manager holds a suitable position within an approved organisation, and, if not within the operator's organisation, this role is contractually linked to the operator.

5.3 A prime objective of the project should be to address the issues in paragraph 3. All stakeholders should be identified, these would include, as a minimum, the Director-General, all the design organisations involved in the total installation, the aircraft manufacturer (where appropriate), equipment manufacturers (where appropriate), the installing organisation and the operator.

5.4 Considerations for Project Manager

The IFE system project plan should manage, as a minimum, compliance with the following:

(a) **Definition of the whole IFE Installation, e.g. the STC** - how many, their approval basis, compatibility with TC and other STC(s), continued airworthiness responsibilities etc.
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The installing organisation – that they have the necessary approvals, competence, facilities, equipment etc. (with respect to the installation in question).

Specific aircraft being modified - effect on particular airframe e.g. documents to be amended, maintenance programme amendment. Validation of all proposed documentation changes.

Operational considerations – e.g. Crew operating instructions and training (including any normal, abnormal and emergency procedures), limitations of use etc.

Stakeholders – establish communication links and identify all the relevant parties to ensure full and complete liaison with respect to their role in the whole IFE installation.

Timeline – identification of specific milestones in the process, e.g. Agreed times to involve/contact Director-General, what should be presented at these times etc.

Development of Scheduled Maintenance and Maintenance Instructions – who will be responsible for doing this and the methodology to be utilised. (See Appendix No. 1 for guidance.)

Ensure all stakeholders understand their role in order to achieve compliance with this Notice.

5.5 Considerations for Designer

The designer for an IFE System should consider, as a minimum, the following key areas:

(a) Establish communication links with the project manager and the relevant stakeholders.

(b) Ensure that all installation instructions, operating conditions and equipment limitations (e.g. European Technical Standard Order (ETSO) and Declaration of Design and Performance (DDP)) are considered and recorded in the appropriate drawings/manuals etc.

(c) It is expected that the designer will provide installation instructions (engineering drawings) that as a minimum equate to the original aircraft production standards. Statements such as "install in accordance with industry standard practices" would be unacceptable. It is considered that such statements are inadequate because the standard practices cannot alone define the location, routing or security of electrical wiring for example.
Identify any features that are believed to require new or amended scheduled maintenance tasks and participate in the scheduled maintenance task analyses. (See Appendix No. 1 for guidance.)

Ensure that all appropriate airworthiness/operational data is produced in sufficient time for the operator to incorporate into relevant manuals prior to entry into service. This should include normal, abnormal and emergency procedures.

IFE installations (equipment or wiring) may affect existing TC/STC Holder derived maintenance tasks. The designer should assess, in accordance with original scheduled AMS development processes, the effect of the modification on the existing maintenance tasks and inspections, and address accordingly.

Validated maintenance instructions to be produced so that the original installation standards are maintained after in-service activities.

Establishment of engineering liaison processes to address minor change requests required during installation, and to monitor and react to operator in-service reliability feedback.

5.6 Considerations for Installer

The installer of an IFE system should manage, as a minimum, compliance with the following:

(a) Establish communication links with the project manager and the relevant stakeholders.

(b) A verified internal competence and capability assessment should be carried out against the specific installation requirements. This should cover, as a minimum, any necessary unique skills, technologies, tools and training. Installer's competence/scope should be described within the HKAR-145 Maintenance Organisation Exposition (MOE).

(c) The pre-planning function should seek to identify any shortcomings within the installation instructions prior to installation on the aircraft, and to report to the relevant stakeholders for resolution.

(d) Provide feedback and gain approval of minor installation and instruction/drawing changes found during installation.

5.7 Considerations for Operator

The operator of an aircraft installed with an IFE system should manage, as a
minimum, compliance with the following:

(a) Establish communication links with the project manager and the relevant stakeholders.

(b) To update the existing Continuing Airworthiness (CAW) management processes to ensure that lines of communication with all of the IFE STC holders are established. These links are to be maintained to ensure that changes to relevant stakeholders are known during the in-service life of the IFE installation.

(c) Prior to entry into service, operational procedures and crew training should be accepted by the Director-General.

(d) Participate in the scheduled maintenance task analyses. Amend existing tasks and create new tasks as appropriate for addition to the AMS in collaboration with the TC/STC holder(s) and project manager. This must be approved by the Director-General prior to entry into service. (See Appendix No. 1 for guidance.)

(e) Establish an effective IFE reliability system to feedback data to the TC/STC holder(s) to ensure that the CAW of the installation is maintained at the intended design standard. If necessary this will then produce additional changes to the ICAW.

6 Conclusion

As the expectation is that the project manager will be employed by an approved organisation, this project management will form part of the routine oversight of the approval by the Director-General, when an IFE project is undertaken. The project manager should liaise with the Airworthiness Officer assigned to the organisation approval at an early stage to apprise them of the project definition and proposed plan. This will facilitate early identification of the appropriate CAD personnel to ensure all aspects are efficiently overseen. Review of continuing compliance with the relevant aspects of this Notice will form part of routine operator and design organisation oversight by the Director-General.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2006
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AIRWORTHINESS NOTICE NO. 65
APPENDIX NO. 1

Issue 1
31 January 2006

GUIDANCE ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF
IFE SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE TASKS AND SOLUTIONS

1 Introduction

As stated in paragraph 2 of Airworthiness Notice No. 65, current certification codes when applied to the installation of operator optional systems may not provide the necessary cohesion to initiate the current industry standard practice for scheduled maintenance development (e.g. application of Maintenance Steering Group-3 (MSG-3) logic, that would result in an amendment to the Maintenance Review Board Report (MRBR)). Service experience has shown that a large number of IFE related reported occurrences were smoke/fire events caused by an ignition source within the IFE system. This type of failure consequence is clearly a safety concern and needs to be addressed when identifying applicable and effective scheduled maintenance and maintenance instructions. Recognising that Passenger Services and IFE modifications will not normally trigger involvement of the relevant Maintenance Review Board, the following guidance is considered an acceptable means to develop appropriate maintenance controls for such systems.

2 Procedure

2.1 In order to apply the logic in the following flowchart there are certain precursors to understand. Design features within the modification where failure or damage may exist and could result in a degradation of system function or a safety concern are designated Maintenance Significant Items (MSI). MSIs are identified from asking the following questions:

(a) Could the failure of this item be undetectable or not likely to be detected by the operating/cabin crew during normal duties?
(b) Could the failure affect safety on the ground or in flight?
(c) Could the failure or combination of failures have a significant effect on operations?
(d) Could the failure or combination of failures have a significant economic impact?

2.2 For each MSI the function, functional failure, failure consequence and failure cause should be identified:
(a) **Function** is the chosen design solution to prevent undesirable failure consequences e.g. insulation function, cooling function etc.

(b) **Functional failure** is the failure of a chosen design solution to perform the intended function.

(c) **Failure consequence** is the result of the functional failure. The most significant failure consequences are the presence of an ignition, heat or electric shock source that could lead to smoke/fire or passenger injury.

(d) **Failure cause** is the reason for the functional failure e.g.
- Wiring prone to damage;
- Components susceptible to fluid spill;
- Dust/debris contamination causing overheat;
- Components prone to overheat;
- Passenger traffic damage;
- Components subject to frequent removal/replacement;
- Poor electrical bonding;
- Mechanical breakdown due to wear.
(List not exhaustive.)

### 2.3 Development of Scheduled Maintenance Tasks and Solutions

Once the functions and functional failures have been identified the series of questions contained in the following flowchart should be asked to determine the applicable and effective scheduled maintenance task and/or solutions to mitigate/prevent the failure of a chosen design solution. This development should be accomplished with the collaborative effort of the TC/STC Holder(s), operator and project manager as appropriate.

### 2.4 Guidance on how to use the Flowchart

**Box 1** This question must be asked for each functional failure of the chosen design solution being analysed. The intent is to segregate the evident and hidden functional failures. The operating crew consists of qualified flight compartment and cabin attendant personnel who are on duty. Normal duties are those duties associated with the routine operation of the aircraft on a daily basis.

**Box 2** Failure consequences to consider are those previously described e.g. ignition, heat or electric shock.

**Box 3** The failure in question does not directly affect safety and therefore the issue is one of reliability and/or passenger convenience. The analyst should discuss this with the operator and address the failure as necessary.
Box 4  The question takes into account failure(s) in which either the single hidden/dormant failure (from Box 1) or in combination with one additional failure could cause one of the identified undesirable consequences.

Box 5  This is to propose various applicable and effective tasks and/or preventative actions, either singularly or in combination, for the analyst to identify:

(5a) Inspection – either:
- Detailed (An intensive examination of a specific item, installation or assembly to detect damage, failure or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at an intensity deemed appropriate. Inspection aids such as mirrors, magnifying lenses, etc. may be necessary. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required).

Or
- General Visual (A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight or drop-light and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked.)

(5b) Functional Check
- A quantitative check to determine if one or more functions of an item performs within specified limits.

(5c) Restoration Task
- That work necessary to return the item to a specific standard. Restoration may vary from cleaning or replacement of single parts up to a complete overhaul.

(5d) Discard
- The removal from service of an item at a specified life limit.

(5e) Update Maintenance Practice/instructions
- Amend standard practices (either company or
manufacturers) or update maintenance manuals to provide additional guidance or precautions/warnings.

(5f) Training
• Update or introduce training programmes to address identified knowledge shortfalls in crew, maintenance and/or support staff.

(5g) Design/Modification
• Identification of a shortcoming within the design that requires correction and subsequent modification.

Box 6 If any (or combination of) boxes 5a, 5b, 5c, and 5d are selected the task(s) should be included in the operators approved maintenance programme. This will require approval by the Director-General.

Box 7 If 5e is considered the applicable and effective solution then the necessary documents should be amended and validated e.g. Maintenance Manual, Wiring Diagram Manual, Standard Practices Manual, Component Maintenance Manual.

Box 8 If training has been considered the applicable and effective solution, then the appropriate training should be identified and implemented via a documented training needs analysis.

Box 9 If the identified solution rests with a redesign then this must be accomplished via an approved process.
IFE Scheduled Maintenance Tasks and Solutions Generation Flow Chart

1. Is the occurrence of the failure or damage evident to the operating/cabin crew during the normal course of their duties?

2. Could the failure or damage produce one of the identified consequences?
   - Yes
   - No

3. The failure is an economic concern (i.e. pax satisfaction) and should be addressed as considered necessary
   - Yes
   - No

4. Could the hidden/dormant failure in isolation or in combination with one additional failure produce one of the identified consequences?
   - Yes
   - No

5. Consider one or more of the following tasks:
   - 5a Inspection?
   - 5b Functional check?
   - 5c Restoration task?
   - 5d Discard?
   - 5e Update Maintenance Practice/Instructions?
   - 5f Training?
   - 5g Design/Modification?

6. Amend Maintenance Programme

7. Amend appropriate Programme

8. Carry out training needs analysis

9. Redesign and modify
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THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

PORTABLE OXYGEN EQUIPMENT PRESSURE RELIEF

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all portable oxygen equipment carried in Hong Kong registered aeroplanes certificated in the Transport Category (Passenger) exceeding 5700 kg MTWA and to all aeroplanes manufactured after 1 July 1990 exceeding 5700 kg MTWA, in all certification Categories.

2 Introduction

2.1 In a recent cabin fire-related accident, there were at least two instances of portable oxygen equipment exploding and forming hazardous projectiles within the cabin as a result of direct involvement in the fire. The Director-General is concerned about any possible risk to the aeroplane occupants or rescue personnel from such hazards.

2.2 The explosive failure of an oxygen cylinder in a fire may be caused by high internal pressure generated by the heating of the oxygen, combined with a weakening of the cylinder material. The incorporation of an overtemperature/overpressure relief device should reduce the probability of such a dangerous explosion.

2.3 Although protection against rupture is required on the fixed and portable oxygen equipment in all newly designed aeroplanes in accordance with JAR 25.1453 and associated ACJ 25.1453, it was not a requirement in the 1950's and 1960's when many of the currently used portable oxygen equipment were first approved.

2.4 The purpose of this Notice is to publish a requirement for the provision of an overtemperature/overpressure relief device on portable oxygen equipment.

3 Compliance

All portable oxygen equipment carried in aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1 above, shall comply with the requirement of this Notice.
4 **Requirement**

Portable oxygen equipment shall be equipped with an overtemperature/overpressure relief device in accordance with the requirements of JAR 25.1453(b)(1) and associated ACJ 25.1453.

5 **Additional Information**

Compliance with this requirement would normally be achieved by the provision of a dedicated overtemperature/overpressure relief device. However, such a device may not be necessary if it can be demonstrated by appropriate fire test evidence that the failure of a part of the equipment (e.g. contents gauge) performs a similar function and satisfies the requirement of this Notice.

6 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.67, Issue 2, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

---

Albert K. Y. LAM  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 69  
Issue 6  
5 December 2014

APPROVAL OF TEST HOUSES HOLDING CNAS/HKAS/UKAS ACCREDITATION

Note 1: The title of this Notice was “Approval of Test Houses Holding UKAS Accreditation”. As the accreditation standards of China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment (CNAS) and Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) are considered equivalent to that of United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) by the Director-General, this Notice is amended to include CNAS and HKAS Accreditations as an alternative route for applying for a Test House Approval.

Note 2: “CNAS/HKAS/UKAS” means either the CNAS or HKAS or UKAS.

1 Introduction

The Director-General is satisfied that the accreditation standards achieved either by the CNAS, HKAS or UKAS are equivalent to those required by HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-6. The Director-General will, therefore, grant approval to applicants for Test House Approval to holders of CNAS/HKAS/UKAS accreditation, without a separate investigation, subject to the conditions shown in paragraph 3 below.

2 Application

2.1 Application for approval should be made on Form DCA 61, which may be downloaded from the CAD website, completed and returned to the CAD, enclosing a copy of the CNAS/HKAS/UKAS Accreditation Schedule.

2.2 The Approval, when granted, will refer to the CNAS/HKAS/UKAS accreditation and will be revoked if the corresponding accreditation ceases.

2.3 Organisations holding Group B4 approval (HKAR-1 Sub-section 1.8-6) in conjunction with other approvals may apply for amendment of their terms of approval to reflect the CNAS/HKAS/UKAS accreditation and take advantage of other provisions of this Notice.
3 **Conditions**

3.1 When an application has been received, the Director-General will communicate with the applicant to obtain a statement signed by the Chief Executive to the effect that the management of the Organisation will be conducted with due regard to the needs of airworthiness and to grant access to the Director-General to surveillance and assessment reports prepared by CNAS/HKAS/UKAS during the course of the accreditation.

3.2 Test reports furnished to customers in response to orders calling for CAD release must bear the statement below. No separate CAD Approved Certificate issuing per HKAR-1 Subsection 1.8-6 paragraph 3.8 will be required.

"Issued under the authority of CAD Approval Reference AI/  /  

3.3 Test Houses seeking CAD approval where the desired terms of approval include non-destructive testing (NDT) must also satisfy the requirements of Airworthiness Notice No. 94.

3.4 A copy of the Organisation's "Control Manual", required under CNAS/HKAS/UKAS accreditation, must also be lodged with the Director-General and maintained up to date by the applicant.

3.5 The sub-contracting of tests to other than CAD Approved Test Houses is not acceptable for CAD release purposes.

4 **Requirements for the Maintenance of Approval**

4.1 The Organisation shall be maintained at the standard necessary to undertake the work for which it is approved, and the Director-General shall, at all reasonable times, have access to the Organisation for the purpose of assessing the standard in use. Normally such access will only be required in respect of paragraph 4.4 and, from time to time, in conjunction with CNAS/HKAS/UKAS reassessment or surveillance visits.

4.2 A proposed change of the Chief Executive shall be notified to the Director-General in writing. The Director-General may require the Organisation to supply further information in order to satisfy himself of the suitability of the official concerned insofar as it may affect CAD approval of the Organisation.

4.3 The Organisation shall consult the Director-General if in any difficulty about the interpretation of the requirements or associated procedures.

4.4 The Director-General shall have the right to witness tests in any way associated with establishing airworthiness.
4.5 The Director-General may revoke, suspend or vary the Terms of Approval if, in his opinion, the conditions required for approval are not maintained.

5 **General**

It is emphasised that CNAS/HKAS/UKAS accreditation is not in itself a substitute for CAD Approval but may be utilised as an alternative route for applying such approval, without separate investigation and supervision by the Director-General.

6 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 69 Issue 5, dated 10 December 2012, which should be destroyed.

---

Norman Lo  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

TYRE BURSTS IN FLIGHT - INFLATION MEDIA

1  Applicability

1.1 This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes with a Maximum Take-off Weight Authorised (MTWA) exceeding 5700 kg, with retractable landing gear.

NOTE: For American registered aeroplanes the FAA have published Airworthiness Directive, 87-08-09, which requires that not more than 5% oxygen by volume is contained in tyres inflated and mounted on braked wheels of particular aeroplane types.

2  Introduction

2.1 EASA CS 25.729(f), JAR 25.729(f), BCAR Chapter D4-5 paragraph 1.2 and TSS Standard 5-6 paragraph 9, require equipment to be protected from the effects of tyre burst. In addition, the Director-General requires the operational hazards due to tyre bursting in flight be minimised.

2.2 The majority of in-flight tyre bursts have been attributed to the tyre carcass being weakened by foreign object damage, scuffing, etc., such that a rapid release of pressure takes place. Such failures are usually experienced when the gear has been retracted for some time and the effects of brake heat transfer, internal tyre temperature and differential pressure are combined.

2.3 A fatal accident involving cabin decompression and fire has highlighted another mode of tyre failure in flight where a tyre may fail explosively without any significant prior degradation. A tyre inflated with air and subjected to excessive heating, possibly caused by a dragging brake, can experience a chemical reaction resulting in release of volatile gases. Such a chemical reaction in the presence of the oxygen in the contained air may result in a tyre explosion in a landing gear bay and/or in-flight fire since it appears that the protection normally afforded by conventional pressure relief devices in the wheel would be incapable of responding adequately to the rapid increases in temperature and gas pressure associated with auto-ignition.

2.4 Laboratory material and tyre burst testing indicates that the risk of auto-ignition can be reduced by using an inert gas for tyre inflation and servicing.
2.5 Other potential benefits may accrue from the use of nitrogen as it will tend to reduce wheel corrosion, tyre fatigue and the risk of fire when fusible plugs melt due to brake overheating.

3 Compliance

3.1 All braked wheels of retractable landing gear units on aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1 are required to have tyres inflated with nitrogen, or other suitable inert gas, and maintained such as to limit the oxygen content of the compressed gases to not greater than 5% by volume.

3.2 To ensure compliance with this requirement suitable inflation and servicing procedures must be adopted in consultation with the airframe constructor. At airfields where suitable inert gases are not normally available it is acceptable to use air for inflation or servicing provided that a suitable entry is made in the Technical Log and that the tyre is re-inflated or serviced in accordance with the agreed procedure at the earliest opportunity or within 25 flight hours, whichever is the sooner.

4 Additional Information

4.1 In addition to compliance with the requirement of paragraph 3 above, tyre and wheel assemblies should be maintained such that greases, solvents, powders and rubber dust are excluded as far as practicable from within the inflation volume.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 70, Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman L.O
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 May 2006 AN-70 P.2
1 Introduction

1.1 Pursuant to HKAR 145.60 – Occurrence Reporting, an HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisation shall establish an internal occurrence reporting system acceptable to the Director-General to enable the collection and evaluation of such reports that have resulted or may result in an unsafe condition. The procedure shall identify adverse trends, corrective actions taken or to be taken by the organisation to address deficiencies and include evaluation of all known relevant information relating to such occurrences and a method to circulate the information as necessary. The Director-General seeks to provide an environment in which such errors may be openly investigated in order that the contributing factors and root causes of maintenance errors can be addressed using a system that would complement, not supplant, the current system for reporting maintenance errors (MOR Scheme).

1.2 The Mandatory Occurrence Reporting (MOR) Scheme exists in order that significant safety issues are brought to the notice of the Director-General. However, the MOR Scheme is not intended to collect and monitor the normal flow of day-to-day defects/incidents etc. which, in remaining an industry responsibility, forms an important part of the overall operational safety task. This Notice concerns, primarily, those events which fall below the MOR criteria but which, nevertheless, are important for an organisation to understand and control. However, the principles described in this Notice may also be applied by an organisation to their own internal investigation of incidents meeting the MOR criteria.

Note: Organisations will still be required to report MOR to the Director-General.

1.3 Maintenance errors with serious consequences such as accidents or incidents are routinely investigated by organisations, Civil Aviation Department or Air Accident Investigation Authority. Other operationally significant events (e.g. technical delays, cancellations, etc.) may not be legally required to be reported externally but are frequently investigated by organisations albeit too often only to apportion responsibility for the event, rather than to determine cause.
Below these levels are events without operational significance which may rarely be investigated (e.g. the omission of an oil filler cap which, by chance, is noticed and corrected before flight). In order to gain a better understanding of the problems and factors which contribute to errors it is necessary to investigate these and operationally significant events before they possibly contribute to or cause an incident or accident in the future.

1.4 It is important to examine not just what happened, but why it happened, in order to determine the root causes and problems.

2 Maintenance Error Management System

2.1 The previous issue of this Notice set out the CAD policy on Maintenance Error Management Systems (MEMS) and, prior to the requirements introduced by HKAR 145.60, encouraged maintenance organisations, in particular those maintaining large commercial air transport aircraft, to adopt MEMS concept. The JAA Maintenance Human Factors Working Group incorporated very similar guidance into their report (reproduced in UKCAA CAP 716). Both the key, and more detailed, elements are described below, in particular the importance of a 'just culture' for the successful functioning of a MEMS.

2.2 Prevailing industry best practice has shown that a MEMS should contain the following elements:

- Clearly identified aims and objectives
- Demonstrable corporate commitment with responsibilities for the MEMS clearly defined
- Corporate encouragement of uninhibited reporting and participation by individuals
- Disciplinary policies and boundaries identified and published
- An event investigation process
- The events that will trigger error investigations identified and published
- Investigators selected and trained
- MEMS education for staff, and training where necessary
- Appropriate action based on investigation findings
- Feedback of results to workforce
Analysis of the collective data showing contributing factor trends and frequencies

2.3 The aim of the scheme is to identify the factors contributing to incidents, and to make the system resistant to similar errors. Whilst not essential to the success of a MEMS, it is recommended that for large organisations a computerised database be used for storage and analysis of MEMS data. This would enable the full potential of such a system to be utilised in managing errors.

2.4 For the purpose of this Notice a maintenance error is considered to have occurred when the maintenance system, including the human element, fails to perform in the manner expected in order to achieve its safety objectives. The human element includes technicians, engineers, planners, managers, store-keepers – in fact any person contributing to the maintenance process. The foregoing definition differs from that of a human error as it demands consideration of the system failings (e.g. inadequate staffing, organisational factors, tooling availability, ambiguous manuals etc.) as well as the error committed by a person.

3 The Director-General's Assurances

3.1 It is recognised that the success of a MEMS programme is dependent on full and free investigation without fear of action by the Director-General. Accordingly, the Director-General gives the following assurances:

3.1.1 The Director-General will be checking, as part of CAD approval audit process, that the organisation's internal occurrence reporting and investigation process is functioning as described in the procedures approved by the Director-General and in line with the objectives of the programme as explained in UKCAA CAP 716. The CAD audit may involve the review of dis-identified MEMS investigations such that the foregoing can be satisfied. However, the Director-General makes the following assurances:

(a) The Director-General will, subject to sub-paragraph (b) below, not disclose the name of the person submitting the MEMS report, nor of a person to whom it relates, nor pass on a MEMS report to a third party, unless required to do so by law or unless the persons(s) concerned authorises such disclosure.

(b) The Director-General will take all reasonable steps possible to avoid disclosing the identity of the reporter or of those individuals involved in the occurrence, should any follow-up action arising from a MEMS report be taken.
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(c) The Director-General will not, as his policy, institute criminal proceedings in respect of unpremeditated or inadvertent breaches of the law or requirements which come to his attention only because they have been reported under the MEMS Scheme, except in cases involving dereliction of duty amounting to gross negligence or recklessness. Such an assurance is similar to that provided under the MOR Scheme.

3.2 As examples of what the Director-General might require, as evidence that an organisation has a working MEMS programme in accordance with HKAR 145.60(b), a CAD Airworthiness Officer may ask to see the following documents and evidence, and in order to satisfy himself, he may wish to speak to individual members of staff at any level within the organisation:

(a) A copy of the organisation's safety and disciplinary policy and determine that staff are aware of this policy, and believe that it will be, and has been, applied fairly.

(b) The procedure describing the organisation's process for reporting and investigating incidents and errors, and the types of occurrences that would normally be investigated.

(c) Evidence that occurrences meeting the criteria detailed above, have been reported, and to assure himself that occurrences are not frequently going unreported.

(d) Evidence that occurrences meeting the criteria detailed above, have been investigated, and to assure himself that occurrences are being, and have been, fairly investigated. It is hoped that an organisation would cooperate with a CAD Airworthiness Officer in putting him in touch with individuals who have been party to investigations, but only with the agreement of the individuals concerned.

(e) Within a large organisation, evidence that MEMS investigators had received appropriate training.

(f) Evidence that the organisation had acted, or was acting, upon results of MEMS investigations, based on risk assessment. This may mean that no action had been taken if a risk assessment has deemed that the
causes were unlikely, in isolation or in combination, to result in a hazardous event in the future. A CAD Airworthiness Officer would expect to see evidence of action(s) to prevent root causes, and/or to mitigate the effects of error where appropriate.

(g) Evidence of feedback to the workforce, on both occurrences and their investigation, and remedial action taken, would also be expected.

3.3 For a small organisation, the CAD Airworthiness Officer would expect evidence as described above, but on a less structured basis.

3.4 If an organisation has no evidence to offer in the form of reported and investigated occurrences, the CAD Airworthiness Officer may wish to talk to staff to assure himself that there have been no such occurrences, as opposed to occurrences going unreported and uninvestigated. The CAD Airworthiness Officer would respect staff confidences in seeking such evidence.

4 MEMS Code of Practice

4.1 The Director-General encourages organisations to adopt the following code of practice regarding a MEMS:

4.1.1 Where an occurrence reported via MEMS indicates an unpremeditated or inadvertent lapse by an employee, as described below, the Director-General would expect the employer to act reasonably, agreeing that free and full reporting is the primary aim in order to establish why the event happened by studying the contributory factors that led to the incident, and that every effort should be made to avoid action that may inhibit reporting.

4.1.2 In the context of error management it is considered that an unpremeditated or inadvertent lapse should not incur any punitive action, but a breach of professionalism may do so. As a guideline, individuals should not attract punitive action unless:

(a) the act was intended to cause deliberate harm or damage.

(b) the person concerned does not have a constructive attitude towards complying with safe operating procedures.

(c) the person concerned knowingly violated procedures that were readily available, workable, intelligible and correct.

(d) the person concerned has been involved previously in similar
lapses.

(e) the person concerned has attempted to hide their lapse or part in a mishap.

(f) the act was the result of a substantial disregard for safety.

"Substantial disregard", for this purpose, means:

- In the case of a certification authorisation holder (e.g. licensed engineer or certifying staff) the act or failure to act was a substantial deviation from the degree of care, judgement and responsibility reasonably expected of such a person.

- In the case of a person holding no maintenance certification responsibility, the act or failure to act was a substantial deviation from the degree of care and diligence expected of a reasonable person in those circumstances.

The degree of culpability would vary depending on any mitigating circumstances that are identified as a result of the MEMS investigation. It follows that any action taken by the organisation would also be on a sliding scale varying from corrective measures such as retraining through to dismissal of the individual.

4.1.3 In the case of incidents investigated via a MEMS, irrespective of whether or not such incidents were brought to the knowledge of the Director-General, the Director-General expects an organisation to address the problems which contributed to these incidents. The organisation should, where possible, implement appropriate measures to prevent the problem from re-occurring, or alternatively monitor future occurrences, according to the degree of risk and likelihood of re-occurrence. A supporting database is useful in these circumstances in helping to assess the frequency of occurrence and any associated trends.

4.1.4 The Director-General would expect that identified safety issues would be acted upon. If the Director-General becomes aware, by whatever means, that a significant safety problem existed and was not being addressed, he reserves the right to take appropriate action.

NOTE: The statement by an organisation that an incident is undergoing, or has undergone, a MEMS investigation, without any additional information provided to explain why the incident occurred, would not normally be an adequate basis for an MOR closure.

4.1.5 Organisations are encouraged to share their MEMS results with the
Director-General and with other maintenance organisations. It is hoped that by sharing such data the Director-General and industry can jointly develop a better understanding of maintenance error causation and develop more focused human factors strategies. However, it is appreciated that some information in a MEMS may be considered sensitive to the organisation affected, and may need to be dis-identified before being shared with other organisations.

5 Further Information

5.1 More detailed guidance information is included in UKCAA CAP 716.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.71, Issue 3, dated 30 September 2006, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI

Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CRITICAL MAINTENANCE TASKS

1. The requirements and procedures stipulated in this Airworthiness Notice have been transferred and incorporated in the HKAR 145.48 Performance of maintenance.

2. Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 72, Issue 2, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
AIRWORTHINESS CONCESSIONS IN RESPECT OF AIRCRAFT
WITHOUT A HONG KONG TYPE CERTIFICATE

1 Introduction

From time to time Hong Kong operators find it necessary to apply to the Director-General for concessions to cover the non-compliance of certain aircraft with the applicable Hong Kong Additional Requirements (formerly called 'Special Conditions') for certification on the Hong Kong Register. Some typical cases include:

(a) Aircraft being purchased and placed on the Hong Kong Register at short notice.

(b) Aircraft being dry leased (see Note 1) on a relatively long term basis (e.g. 12 months or more), but required in service before all necessary modifications can be embodied.

(c) Aircraft being dry leased on a short term basis (e.g. 6 months), for which the lead time on parts procurement may render compliance difficult.

NOTES: 1 By 'dry leased' is meant aircraft under operational control of a Hong Kong operator (i.e. subject to a direction under Article 93 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995). All such aircraft are required to meet Hong Kong certification requirements.

2 Aircraft on 'wet lease', i.e. under the control of the lessor operator, are considered the responsibility of the State in which they are registered and by whom they are operated.

This Notice summarises the design criteria which will normally be applied by the Director-General in determining whether or not, in a particular case, a concession should be granted.

2 Applicability

2.1 Aeroplanes with a Proven and Satisfactory Record
2.1.1 Definition

Aeroplanes which, according to their class, satisfy the criteria in Appendix No. 1 are considered to have a proven and satisfactory record.

2.1.2 Policy on Concessions

The aeroplane must normally be of a kind Type Certificated in Hong Kong and in principle be in compliance with all Hong Kong airworthiness standards (including Airworthiness Notices). However, subject to the criteria set out in Appendix No. 2 to this Notice, the Director-General will give consideration to granting concessions against compliance with individual requirements. Having regard to the record of the type, he may consider granting concessions for up to 6 months and, for large aeroplanes with more than 50 million hours of satisfactory service experience, this period may be increased to 12 months.

2.2 Aeroplanes other than Well Proven Types, and Helicopters

2.2.1 Definition

Aeroplanes other than those meeting the criteria of Appendix No. 1, and helicopters.

2.2.2 Policy on Concessions

The aircraft must normally be of a kind Type Certificated in Hong Kong and in principle be in compliance with all Hong Kong airworthiness standards (including Airworthiness Notices). Requests for concessions will be expected to be clearly justified in the light of the considerations in Appendix No. 2. Where concessions are granted they will be of limited duration and will not, under normal circumstances, exceed 6 months in duration.

3 Leased Aircraft

Operations and airworthiness requirements of non-Hong Kong registered aircraft under dry leasing arrangements are prescribed in CAD 360 Part One Chapter 2 paragraph 7.1. The intent of this Airworthiness Notice is to supplement the policy and to indicate that it applies equally to aeroplanes and helicopters being added to the Hong Kong Register (Paragraph 1(a) refers).
Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.74 Issue 5, dated 30 May 2005, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
Aeroplanes Considered to have a Proven and Satisfactory Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTWA kg</th>
<th>Minimum Service Experience - Hours</th>
<th>Average Fatal Accident Rate</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not exceeding 5700</td>
<td>2 million</td>
<td>Appreciably less than 10 per million hours</td>
<td>Beech 90.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DHC-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Embraer Bandeirante</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceeding 5700</td>
<td>20 million</td>
<td>Not exceeding 1 per million hours</td>
<td>Boeing 727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Boeing 737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Boeing 747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Douglas DC-9/MD80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Douglas DC10/MD11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CRITERIA APPLIED BY THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL WHEN CONSIDERING CONCESSIONS AGAINST HONG KONG CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

1 Applicant for concessions will be required to show that efforts have been made to anticipate the demand for additional capacity and that all practicable steps have been taken to comply with the Hong Kong certification standards.

2 A significant proportion of the Hong Kong fleet of one type of aircraft must not be the subject of concessions at one time. Usually, therefore, concessions will be limited to two aircraft of any given operator's fleet at any one time.

3 Subject to the conditions of above paragraphs 1 and 2, concessions will be considered for up to six months on any one aircraft, and this period may be extended to 12 months where service experience exceeds 50 million hours.

4 In considering whether a concession should be granted, account will be taken of the accident record with respect to the Additional Requirement or other requirement in question.

5 The operator's obligations to comply with operational requirements may constrain the scope of any Concession. Amongst other items:

(a) Aircraft Performance Information
- comply with Hong Kong airworthiness standards in important respects.

(b) Handling, flight deck layout, instrumentation, flight management systems and warnings
- differences within a fleet to be acceptable may require dedicated crews and relevant training.

Cancellation

This Notice Appendix cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 74 Appendix No.2 Issue 5, dated 30 May 2005, which should be destroyed.
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
Airworthiness Notice

No. 75
Issue 5
30 May 2004

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR VARIABLE PITCH PROPELLERS INSTALLED ON AIRCRAFT HOLDING A HONG KONG CERTIFICATE OF AIRWORTHINESS

1 Introduction

1.1 For most propeller types the propeller manufacturer will publish their recommended overhaul periods and any necessary overhaul / inspection instructions. The operator should observe these recommendations at the periods specified by the manufacturer unless an alternative is agreed by the Director-General and stated in the Approved Maintenance Schedule. However, there are a number of propeller types for which the manufacturer has not published overhaul lives in terms of hours or calendar period. The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to prescribe mandatory action to ensure that these propellers are maintained in a satisfactory condition, by requiring periodic inspection.

1.2 Previous issues of this Notice have allowed periodic hub and blade inspections to be carried out in place of a full overhaul for low utilisation propellers. This issue 5, in its paragraph 3.3, phases out this alternative maintenance policy.

2 Applicability

2.1 The requirements of this Notice are applicable to variable pitch propellers, variable pitch propellers which have been locked and to ground adjustable propellers. For modular propellers the calendar periods referred to in this Notice shall apply to propeller hubs and blades individually.

3 Compliance

3.1 Any overriding mandatory requirements in respect of particular propellers issued either by the Airworthiness Authority of the State of Design of a propeller, or by the Director-General will take precedence over this Notice. For the purposes of compliance with an Airworthiness Directive which specifies requirements as a function of overhaul, the bare blade inspection required by paragraph 4.2.2 shall be deemed as an overhaul.
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3.2 **Propellers with no manufacturer recommended calendar overhaul limitation**

3.2.1 For propellers where no calendar overhaul interval is recommended by the Manufacturer paragraphs 3.2.1 (a) and 3.2.1 (b) must be complied with:-

(a) At 3 years since new or overhaul or the inspection defined in paragraph 4.2.2 of this Notice, complete the hub/blade inspection specified in paragraph 4.2.1.

(b) At 6 years since new or overhaul or the inspection defined in paragraph 4.2.2 of this Notice, overhaul the propeller in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

3.2.2 On reaching the manufacturer’s recommended flight hour TBO period the propeller must be overhauled.

3.2.3 For propellers with composite blades, in the absence of any manufacturer’s overhaul periods in terms of calendar time, the composite blades should be subject to overhaul at a period not exceeding 6 years in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The 3 year inspection of paragraph 4.2.1 need not be carried out.

3.3 **Propellers with a manufacturer recommended calendar overhaul limitation**

3.3.1 Propellers which are currently maintained in accordance with paragraphs 4.2.1 (3 year inspection) and 4.2.2 (6 year bare blade inspection) of this Notice, may remain in service until the next scheduled inspection, in accordance with this Notice, at which point the following will apply:-

(a) At 3 years since inspection defined in paragraph 4.2.2 of this Notice, the propeller must either be overhauled in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions, or inspected in accordance with paragraph 4.2.1 of this Notice.

(b) On reaching 6 years since inspection defined in paragraph 4.2.2 of this Notice, the propeller must be overhauled in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. After this time the propeller shall continue to be overhauled in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions at the manufacturer’s recommended period unless varied by the Approved Maintenance Schedule.
3.4 The periods of operation or elapsed calendar time prescribed in Appendix No. 1 to this Notice shall be calculated from the date of the initial installation of the propeller on an aircraft following manufacture or complete overhaul of the propeller and may be preceded by a period of storage of up to 2 years which has been carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Periods of storage in excess of 2 years or subsequent to the initial installation shall be counted as if the propeller were installed. Where the specific manufacturer has provided information on this topic within their instructions then this should be followed.

3.5 The applicability and compliance requirements of this Notice are summarised in Appendix No. 1 to this Notice, Tables 1 and 2.

4 Propeller Inspections

4.1 The inspection of propellers required by Tables 1 or 2 shall be undertaken by an organisation approved by the Director-General for the purpose.

4.2 The inspections and re-work shall be carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and as a minimum shall include:-

4.2.1 Hub/blade inspection:-

(a) Dismantling of the propeller sufficiently to gain access to the blade root bearing assemblies.

(b) Thorough cleaning of the blade root assemblies in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

(c) Examination for pitting, fretting, corrosion, cracking and other damage of the hub, bearings, blade roots, and housing, together with replacement of any disturbed seals. All of the blade surfaces shall be examined for damage, delamination (where applicable), and the presence of corrosion, removing the paint finish as necessary. In cases where de-icer boots or overshoes are installed on the blades, a detailed examination for corrosion around their edges shall be carried out, and, if any evidence is found, the boots/overshoes shall be removed to permit a full inspection of the masked areas. Any corrosion shall be removed and the blades re-protected. In cases where de-icer boots/overshoes are removed, replacement parts shall be installed using the facilities prescribed and under conditions and procedures specified, in the relevant manufacturer's Overhaul Manual.
Non Destructive Inspection (NDI) of the hub and blade roots shall be carried out in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions except where it can be verified that NDI of the hub and blade roots has been carried out in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions within the last 4 years.

Checking the track of the propeller after refitting, then functioning throughout its operational range by means of an engine run to verify correct performance, and to establish that any vibration is within acceptance limits, in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.2.2 Bare blade inspection:-

In addition to the hub/blade inspection prescribed in paragraph 4.2.1:-

(a) Removal of all de-icing boots or overshoes and fairings.

(b) Removal of all paint and erosion protection.

(c) Removal of all blade root bushings and plugs.

(d) Inspection of the complete blade surface for the presence of corrosion. Any corrosion shall be removed and the blades re-protected and prepared for the re-installation of the blade fittings.

(e) All NDI required for overhaul of the propeller shall be carried out in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

(f) Full dimensional inspection of all blades.

5 Record of Accomplishment

A comprehensive record of the inspection and work done in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Notice shall be retained and an entry, making a reference to this record, shall be inserted in the Propeller Log Book.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.75, Issue 4, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 May 2004 AN-75 P.4
Propellers shall be maintained in accordance with (a) of the appropriate following Table, unless no calendar overhaul period is published by the propeller manufacturer. In this case they shall be maintained in accordance with (b):-

### Table 1  Propellers fitted to Commercial Air Transport, Public Transport, Aerial Work and Private Category Aircraft; MTWA of 5700 kg or above

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>Overhaul period</th>
<th>Whichever occurs first of operating hours or calendar period as published by the propeller manufacturer unless varied by the Approved Maintenance Schedule.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Overhaul period</td>
<td>Operating hours as published by the propeller manufacturer or on condition where no calendar life has been published subject to (i) and (ii) below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>Hub/blade inspection period</td>
<td>Inspect at 3 years since new or overhaul or inspection (ii) below; repeat at 1 year intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>Bare blade inspection period</td>
<td>Not to exceed 6 years since new, overhaul or last bare blade inspection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2  Propellers fitted to Commercial Air Transport, Public Transport, Aerial Work and Private Category Aircraft; MTWA below 5700 kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>Overhaul period</th>
<th>Whichever occurs first of operating hours or calendar period as published by the propeller manufacturer unless varied by the Approved Maintenance Schedule.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Overhaul period</td>
<td>Operating hours as published by the propeller manufacturer or on condition where no calendar life has been published subject to (i) and (ii) below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>Hub/blade inspection period</td>
<td>Inspect at 3 years since new or overhaul or inspection (ii) below (but may be phased to next annual check or Certificate of Airworthiness Renewal provided period does not exceed 4 years).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>Bare blade inspection period</td>
<td>Not to exceed 6 years since new, overhaul or last bare blade inspection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Hub/blade inspections and bare blade inspections are to be in accordance with the procedures of paragraph 4 of Airworthiness Notice No. 75.

**Cancellation**

This Notice Appendix cancels Notice No. 75 Appendix No.1 Issue 4 dated 31 January 2002 which should be destroyed.
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

ELECTRICAL POWER SUPPLIES FOR AIRCRAFT RADIO SYSTEMS

1 Introduction

1.1 Previous Issues of this Notice drew attention to the dangers of operation of aircraft in which the entire radio installation was supplied via a single electrical feeder circuit, and stated that Certificates of Airworthiness would not be issued or renewed in respect of aircraft certificated in the Transport Category with such systems.

1.2 Issue 2 of this Notice extended the applicability of the Notice to include all aircraft in any Category. Interpretative material has been added to give guidance on the extent of the assessment to be made.

2 Requirement

The electrical feeder arrangements shall be such that:

(a) Where more than one radio system is installed, no likely single failure (e.g. a fuse or a relay) will result in the loss of all radio systems.

NOTE: It is strongly recommended that such a failure should only result in the loss of one radio system.

(b) Where duplicate radio systems, or radio systems which can duplicate a function, are installed, no likely single failure (e.g. a fuse or a relay) will result in the loss of both systems.

3 Interpretation

3.1 In examining electrical feeder arrangements to establish compliance with paragraph 2, the examination for likely single failures should include:

(a) the mechanical and electrical aspects of the supply circuit, including the return path of the electrical supply;

(b) the location within the electrical circuit of fuses, circuit breakers and...
power switching relays, their physical location in the aircraft and the manner in which they are interconnected; and

(c) panels for integrated control of radio systems, audio integration systems, and dimmer control equipment for electronic displays.

4 **Implementation**

4.1 Single and multi-engined aircraft in any Certificate of Airworthiness Category shall comply with the requirements of paragraph 2.

4.2 The Director-General will consider applications for a waiver to this Notice in respect of multi-engined aircraft that is certificated in a Category other than Transport Category, when he can be satisfied that the aircraft is fitted with such limited radio equipment, or is restricted to operations under such limited conditions, that the loss of the electrical supply to all radio equipment would not significantly affect the safety of the aircraft during its permitted normal operation.

5 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.76 Issue 2, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 77
Issue 4
31 January 2007

COUNTER/POINTER ALTIMETERS

1 The United Kingdom Altimeter Committee in 1965 concluded the best altitude presentation was provided by the counter(pointer type instrument. The Director-General is satisfied that subsequent experience has supported this conclusion.

2 In the case of turbo-jet-engined aircraft, in which hazardous misreading of altimeters is more likely to occur, it is desirable to eliminate reliance on the less satisfactory types of presentation. Therefore, subject to the proviso of paragraph 3, all turbo-jet-engined aircraft of over 5700 kg MTWA shall, have as a minimum two approved counter pointer type instruments.

NOTE: Temporary Guidance Leaflet No. 28 of JAA Administrative & Guidance Material, Section Four identifies the unacceptable basic layouts.

3 Presentations developed for Electronic Flight Instrument System (EFIS) altimeters (e.g. tapes, bars, etc) are acceptable equivalent to counter drum-pointer displays, provided that they do not replicate the unacceptable layouts described in paragraph 2.

4 The Director-General may, under certain circumstances, accept as a minimum one of the following:

(a) One approved counter/pointer type instrument visible to both crew members, in addition to their normally positioned altimeters, or

(b) One approved counter/pointer type instrument in the Captain's normal altimeter position, in addition to the existing altimeters at other crew stations.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.77 Issue 3, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-77 P.1
31 January 2007
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 78
Issue 1
31 January 2007

SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

1 Introduction

1.1 The International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has introduced an amendment 30 to Annex 6 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation which recommends the States' Safety Programme to include air operators and maintenance organisations to implement Safety Management Systems (SMS) with effect from 23 November 2006.

1.2 This Airworthiness Notice is focused on maintenance organisations. As part of the Safety Programme, the Director-General requires maintenance organisations to implement a safety management system acceptable to the Director-General that, as a minimum,

(a) identifies safety hazards;

(b) ensures that remedial action necessary to maintain an acceptable level of safety is implemented;

(c) provides for continuous monitoring and regular assessment of the safety level achieved; and

(d) aims to make continuous improvement to the overall level of safety.

Note: For those HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisations which are also Hong Kong AOC operators, they should refer to the CAD FON 02/2006 for the relevant requirements to the operators.

1.3 From 1 January 2009, this recommendation will become an Annex 6 Standard. The Director-General has adopted a corresponding two-phased SMS implementation plan as defined in paragraph 2 of this Airworthiness Notice.

1.4 The main reason for introducing SMS to the aviation world is to improve its existing level of aviation safety through a systematic process of hazard identification and risk management. Apart from this, an SMS should also enable maintenance organisations to achieve the following benefits:
(a) reduction in incidents and accidents;
(b) minimise direct and indirect costs resulting from incidents and accidents;
(c) improved working environment resulting in better productivity and morale;
(d) facilitates integration of safety related processes and functions within organisation;
(e) gain safety recognition by customers and travelling public;
(f) reduction in insurance rate;
(g) attracts minimum external and regulatory audits;
(h) record of due diligence in event of legal or regulatory safety enquiries;
(i) exceed regulatory requirements with simultaneous bottom line and productivity gains; and
(j) create a positive, reliable and generative organisational culture.

2 SMS Standard and Applicability

2.1 With effect from 23 November 2006, all HKAR-145 approved maintenance organisations are encouraged to initiate or implement an SMS as referred in the ICAO Doc 9859. As a minimum, it should include the following:

(a) a safety policy on which the system is based;
(b) a process for setting goals for the improvement of safety and their related performance indicators;
(c) a process for internal reporting and analysing of hazards, incidents and accidents;
(d) a process for managing risks associated with hazards, incidents and accidents;
(e) a process for ensuring that personnel are trained and competent to perform their duties, including their role within the safety management system;
(f) a process to document all SMS elements, procedures and records including their relevant integration thereof;
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(g) a process for conducting periodic reviews or audits of the SMS; and clearly defined lines of safety accountability throughout the organisation, including a direct responsibility for safety on the part of the Accountable Manager.

2.2 With effect from 1 January 2009, the above SMS standard will become an HKAR-145 requirement. HKAR-145 amendments will be processed closer to the requirement date.

3 Planning and Establishing an SMS

3.1 SMS Components and Elements

The key components and the underlying elements of an SMS are:

3.1.1 Safety policy and objectives:

(a) Management commitment and responsibility;
(b) Safety accountabilities of managers;
(c) Appointment of key safety personnel;
(d) SMS implementation plan;
(e) Documentation.

3.1.2 Safety hazard identification and risk management:

(a) Hazard identification processes;
(b) Risk assessment and mitigation processes;
(c) Internal safety investigations.

3.1.3 Safety assurance:

(a) Safety performance monitoring and measurement;
(b) Audits and surveys;
(c) The management of change;
(d) Continuous improvement of the safety system.

3.1.4 Safety promotion:
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(a) Training and education;

(b) Safety communication.

3.1.5 Emergency response planning:

(a) Development of an emergency response plan.

The Director-General is in the process of producing further guidance material which will assist maintenance organisations to implement an SMS. The recommended source for further details and guidance on SMS is the ICAO Safety Management Manual (Doc 9859). Useful information may also be obtained from the UKCAA Publication, CAP 712 Safety Management Systems for Commercial Air Transport Operations.

Note: Guidance on safety management systems is contained in the ICAO Safety Management Manual (Doc 9859). A copy of this manual can be downloaded from CAD website www.cad.gov.hk or directly from the ICAO website www.icao.int/anb/safetymanagement/Documents.html.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2007  AN-78 P.4
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

CLASS C AND D CARGO OR BAGGAGE COMPARTMENT
- FIRE CONTAINMENT CAPABILITY

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes exceeding 5700 kg MTWA for which a Type Certificate in the Transport Category (Passenger or Cargo) was first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 1958 and fitted with class C or D cargo or baggage compartments exceeding 200 cubic feet in volume.

2 Introduction

2.1 The Airworthiness Standards contained in JAR-25 and BCAR Chapter D4-3, include requirements for cargo or baggage compartments which are sub-divided into five classes, namely, A, B, C, D and E. The classification of compartments is based primarily on the ease of access and the capability of the compartment to contain a fire. Class B, C, D and E cargo and baggage compartments are required to have liners in order to protect the structural integrity of the aeroplane from the effects of fire. Class B and E cargo or baggage compartments are not the subject of this Notice.

2.2 As a consequence of an in-flight fire on a public transport aeroplane, the FAA has conducted a series of tests at their Technical Centre to investigate the capability of three non-metallic liner materials: glass fibre reinforced resin, kevlar and nomex (in monolithic form) to resist flame penetration under conditions representative of actual cargo or baggage compartment fires. These tests were conducted in simulated Class C and D compartments with bulk-loaded baggage typical of that found in service.

2.3 As a result of these full-scale fire tests, the FAA determined that fire could rapidly burn through monolithic nomex or kevlar while the glass fibre reinforced resin panels proved to be satisfactory. The FAA, therefore, concluded that improved standards were warranted, since the kevlar and nomex liners had not fully met the current fire penetration requirements of FAR 25.855, i.e. the 45° Bunsen burner test.
2.4 The FAA (Docket No. 24185) amended FAR Part 25 to require this new fire test standard on all newly designed aeroplanes for which an application for a type certificate is made after the 16 June 1986. The UK has also adopted such standards through equivalent amendments to JAR-25 introduced at Change 12.

2.5 The improved standard of fire containment testing of cargo or baggage hold liners is contained in Part III of Appendix F to JAR-25, and consists of a 5 minute resistance to fire test on a representative specimen of the cargo liner panels and attachments, using a 2 gallon(US)/hour Kerosene burner (identical to that as used to show compliance with Airworthiness Notice No. 59) as the test standard.

2.6 For those aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1 already in service, or to be introduced into service, the Director-General intends, by this Notice to require, for those aeroplanes which are not fitted with glass fibre reinforced resin or aluminium alloy liners, that such aeroplanes shall be equipped with cargo or baggage compartment liners which comply with JAR 26.155(a) and (b).

2.7 The FAA has issued FAR Amendment 121-202 which prescribes requirements similar to the contents of this Notice No. 80.

2.8 Although testing has shown that aluminium alloy panels in thicknesses typical of the then current installations are not capable of fully meeting the fire containment standards of Change 12 to JAR-25 the Director-General considers their capability to be acceptable for aeroplanes certificated prior to 1 July 1989.

3 Compliance

3.1 All Class C and D cargo or baggage compartments exceeding 200 cubic feet in volume of aeroplanes defined in paragraph 1 above, shall comply with the requirements of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 In addition to meeting the existing flammability requirements of JAR 25.853, JAR-25 Appendix F, Part I or Part III, or BCAR D4-3, paragraph 6.1, as applicable, the following shall apply.

4.1.1 Class C and D cargo or baggage compartment side wall or ceiling liner panels shall be constructed of glass fibre reinforced resin, or materials which satisfy the requirements of JAR-25.855(c), or an equivalent fire test criteria agreed with the Director-General.

4.1.2 For currently certificated aeroplanes which are fitted with aluminium alloy side walls and ceiling liner panels, these panels will continue to be acceptable (see paragraph 2.8).

4.1.3 All newly designed aeroplanes for which an application for a Type
Certificate is made after the 16 June 1986 shall comply with the requirements of JAR-25, Change 12 or subsequent changes/amendments as applicable.

4.1.4 For compliance with this Notice the term 'liner' includes any design feature, such as a joint or fastener, which would affect the capability of the liner to safely contain a fire.

5 Additional Information

The current requirements of JAR-25 Appendix F, Part I, paragraph (a)(2)(iii) include floor panels for Class C and D cargo or baggage compartments.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.80 Issue 3, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-80 P.3 31 January 2002
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 81
Issue 5
30 May 2006

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

EMERGENCY POWER SUPPLY FOR
ELECTRICALLY OPERATEDGYROSCOPIC BANK AND
PITCH INDICATORS (ARTIFICIAL HORIZONS)

1 Introduction

1.1 Studies of those aircraft accidents and incidents in recent years which have involved total loss, or interruption, of generated electrical supplies on public transport aircraft, indicate that a major factor in the ability of the crew to maintain safe flight is the continuation of presentation to the pilot of reliable aircraft attitude information. Two fatal accidents since 1968 have been attributed to failure of power supplies resulting in the loss of horizon information for flight in 'blind' conditions. Incidents have also occurred which could have been catastrophic if the crew had been totally dependent on horizon instrument, rather than visual, information.

1.2 All public transport aircraft operated on the Hong Kong Register the safety of which depends on electrical services, are equipped with some form of standby or emergency electrical power supply. On many aircraft these emergency supplies are provided by batteries of sufficient capacity to maintain essential services for a flight time sufficient to reach an airfield and make a landing. However, on a number of aircraft types the adequacy and duration of these supplies is critically dependent on crew response time in recognizing the emergency, and in completing particular drills to isolate the battery supply to prevent it being discharged into loads on the main electrical system. It is considered that the ability of the crew to cope with a major interruption of electrical supplies would be improved if they had knowledge that continuity of horizon information was not totally dependent on their prompt and correct execution of emergency drills.

1.3 The purpose of this Notice is to require the retrospective modification of certain classes of aircraft to ensure that continuity of horizon information is maintained.

1.4 Aircraft types fitted with air driven gyroscopic bank and pitch indicators are exempt from the requirements of this Notice.
2 Requirement

2.1 Compliance with paragraphs 2.2 and 2.3 of this Notice, or with a CAD approved alternative providing an equivalent level of safety, is required as soon as practical but not later than 1 January 1974, for:

(a) aircraft certificated in the Transport Category for the carriage of more than 19 persons over the age of three years; and

(b) aircraft the maximum total weight authorized of which exceeds 15,000 kg.

2.1.1 Where it can be shown that an aircraft detailed in 2.1(a) or (b) will be permanently removed from service prior to the 1 January 1975, the Director-General may, on application, waive the requirements of this Notice where he is satisfied that compliance would not be justified in the circumstances of the particular case.

2.1.2 Compliance will also be required for newly constructed aircraft the maximum total weight authorized of which exceeds 5700 kg, for which a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness in the Transport Category is first issued on or after 1 January 1974.

2.2 Where it cannot be shown that in the event of a total failure of the main electrical generating system, an adequate supply will be available automatically to a suitable bank and pitch indicator for a minimum period of 30 minutes, assuming that no special crew action is taken for 10 minutes, then a separate emergency supply, independent of the aircraft electrical generating system, which will automatically supply such an instrument, and its associated lighting, for a minimum period of 30 minutes, shall be provided.

2.2.1 Where the emergency supply is provided by a separate battery it is permissible for this battery to be (trickle) charged from the main electrical generating system, provided that the installation is such that the battery cannot discharge back into the main system.

2.3 The instrument supplied in accordance with 2.2 shall be:

(a) the third instrument (standby horizon) where this is provided, or failing such provision,

(b) the bank and pitch indicator fitted to the Captain's flight instrument panel.

2.3.1 Where the third instrument is fitted it shall:
(a) operate independently of any other attitude indicating system;

(b) be so located on the instrument panel that it will be visible to, and usable by, both pilots from their normal positions;

(c) be compatible in presentation with the main attitude indicating system;

(d) be fitted with a failure warning device. Alternatively a means of indicating that the power supply to the instrument is operating correctly shall be provided.

2.3.2 Where the instrument on the Captain's flight instrument panel is utilized:

(a) the circuitry to the instrument shall be modified, as necessary, so that transfer to the emergency source of supply is automatically effected in the event of failure of the main supply;

(b) the requirements of paragraph 2.3.1(d) shall be met.

3 Additional Information

3.1 Representations have been made to the Director-General that under conditions of widespread adverse weather, or heavy traffic density at airports, a period of 30 minutes may be a less than desirable time for flight to a suitable airfield and landing, and clearly this period by itself is inadequate for long range aircraft.

3.1.1 The basis of Hong Kong certification of all long range, and of certain short/medium range, aircraft types is that after a period of interruption of electrical supplies it will be possible for the crew to re-establish sufficient normal, or emergency, generated power to support all necessary essential services, including the instrument covered by this Notice, for the remainder of the flight. The prescribed period of 30 minutes is considered to be adequate to allow for appropriate crew action for this class of aircraft.

3.1.2 For those shorter range aircraft that are totally dependent on battery power to support all essential services to the completion of the flight, a period of 30 minutes assuming a crew delay time of 10 minutes, is the mandatory minimum endurance of the emergency supply for the horizon instrument prescribed in this Notice. It is, however, strongly recommended that in circumstances where the crew do take prompt and correct actions in response to warning indications of the interruption of all generated electrical power, the aircraft installation
should include adequate battery capacity to provide a 60 minute supply for both the subject instrument and the other services essential to complete the flight and make a landing.

3.2 A number of aircraft types already comply with the requirements of this Notice, or incorporate other special features which have been considered and accepted by the Director-General as providing an equivalent level of safety.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.81, Issue 4, dated 31 January 2002, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 May 2006
AN-81 P.4
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 82
Issue 5
28 June 2019

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

ELECTRICAL GENERATION SYSTEMS – AIRCRAFT NOT EXCEEDING 5700 kg MAXIMUM TOTAL WEIGHT AUTHORISED (MTWA)

1 Introduction

1.1 Investigations into accidents and incidents involving total loss of generated electrical power to aircraft, the MTWA of which does not exceed 5700 kg, have shown certain inadequacies in the standard of failure warnings and indications provided. Experience has shown that the loss of generated electrical power can remain undetected for a significant period of time, resulting in the serious depletion of the available battery capacity and reduced duration of supplies to essential services under these conditions.

1.2 The purpose of this Notice is to publish requirements for the retrospective modification of certain aircraft to ensure that a clear and unmistakable warning of loss of generated electrical power is given, and to preserve or provide sufficient electrical energy to operate essential services for an adequate period of time in the event of such a loss occurring.

2 Requirement

2.1 For all multi-engined aircraft, the MTWA of which does not exceed 5700 kg, compliance with paragraphs 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 of this Notice, or with a CAD approved alternative providing an equivalent level of airworthiness, is required.

2.1.1 Where it can be shown that an aircraft is fitted with such limited electrical and radio equipment, or is certificated to operate under such limited conditions (e.g. VMC day only) that the loss of generated electrical power would not significantly prejudice safe flight, the Director-General will, on application, waive the requirements of this Notice where he is satisfied that compliance would not be justified in the circumstances of a particular case.
2.2 Clear visual warning shall be provided, within the pilot's normal line of sight, to give indication of, either:

(a) reduction of the generating system voltage to a level where the battery commences to support any part of the main electrical load of the aircraft, or

(b) loss of the output of each engine driven generator at the main distribution point or busbars.

2.3 The battery capacity shall be such that, in the event of a complete loss of generated electrical power, adequate power will be available for a period of not less than 30 minutes following the failure, to support those services essential to the continued safe flight and landing of the aircraft, (see paragraph 3.1). This includes an assumed period of not less than 10 minutes from operation of the warning specified in paragraph 2.2, for completion of the appropriate drills. This delay period may be reduced to not less than five minutes if the warning system is provided with "attention getting" characteristics (e.g. a flashing light). For the purpose of calculations it shall be assumed that the electrical load conditions at the time of failure warning are those appropriate to normal cruising flight at night (see paragraph 3).

2.4 Where all gyroscopic attitude reference instruments, i.e. bank and pitch indicator(s) and turn and slip indicator(s), are dependent on electrical power for their operation, at least one of these instruments shall continue to operate without crew action for the prescribed 30 minute period.

NOTES: (1) For certain aircraft types a turn and slip indicator may not be acceptable as the sole remaining attitude reference instrument.

(2) Certain aircraft are equipped with both electrically operated and air driven attitude reference instruments. In such cases the air driven instrument(s) will be accepted as providing the emergency attitude information provided that the requirements of paragraph 2.4.1 are met.

2.4.1 The instrument(s) with which the requirements of paragraph 2.4 will be met shall be clearly designated, and:

(a) shall be so located on the instrument panel that it will be visible to, and usable by, the pilot from his normal position;

(b) shall be provided with means of indicating that the power supply to the instrument is operating correctly.

2.5 Precise drills covering crew action in the event of electrical generation system failures and malfunctions shall be included in the appropriate aircraft manual(s), together with a statement of the battery endurance under specified load conditions.
3 Additional Information

3.1 When ascertaining that the installed aircraft battery capacity is adequate for compliance with paragraph 2.3, the following loads should be taken into account:

(a) Attitude information (where applicable in accordance with paragraph 2.4).

(b) Essential Radio Communication.

NOTE: For the purpose of calculations it will normally be accepted that intermittent use of a single VHF communication equipment satisfies this requirement. Utilisation on the basis of a total of 15 minutes reception plus 3 minutes transmission in the 30 minute period would be an acceptable interpretation.

(c) Essential cockpit lighting.

(d) Pitot Head Heater (applicable only to those aircraft certificated for flight in icing conditions).

(e) Any other services essential for the continued safe flight and landing of the particular aircraft.

(f) Those services that cannot readily be shed when carrying out the drills required under paragraph 2.5.

3.1.1 In order to ensure that the essential services, taken into account in accordance with paragraph 3.1, will function adequately for the prescribed period, the calculation of the duration of battery supply should normally be based on the following assumptions:

(a) Only 75% of the 'name plate' rating of the battery is available (this is to take into consideration loss of capacity with age, and a realistic state of charge).

(b) The voltage/time discharge characteristic of the battery, appropriate to the load of the listed services, is not extended beyond a battery terminal voltage of 21.5 volts on a 24 volt system, pro rata for 12 volt systems, (this is to ensure that the voltage available throughout the prescribed period is adequate for the satisfactory operation of the services).

NOTE: Only where compliance with the requirements of this Notice cannot be shown within the criteria of paragraphs 3.1 and 3.1.1, will consideration have to be given to the fitment of additional, or larger capacity, batteries to particular aircraft.
3.2 Applications for the approval of modifications necessary to ensure compliance with the requirements of this Notice should be made in the manner specified in HKAR-21.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 82 Issue 4, dated 30 May 2006, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 83  
Issue 10  
30 September 2019

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

FIRE PRECAUTIONS – AIRCRAFT TOILETS

1 Applicability

This Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aeroplane over 5,700 kg MTWA:

1.1 issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger); or

1.2 with the first Certificate of Airworthiness issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 August 2011 in Transport Category (Cargo) equipped for carriage of occupants other than crewmembers.

2 Introduction

2.1 In view of the history of in-flight fires which have occurred in the toilet compartments of large transport category aeroplanes, a survey has been conducted to re-appraise the fire precautions on the various types of aircraft used by Hong Kong operators.

2.2 The results of this survey have shown that in some instances the design of receptacles (e.g. towel dispensers, waste containers), provided within toilet areas for the carriage of flammable materials and in particular flammable waste, does not comply with the current interpretation of published airworthiness requirements. In other instances, the receptacles are not sufficiently robust to withstand the effects of wear and deterioration in service. The survey also showed that, regardless of notices prohibiting smoking in toilets, smoking does occur, and that, even when ashtrays are provided, they are often not used, and cigarette ends are deposited in other receptacles.

2.3 The purpose of this Notice is to publish requirements aimed at reducing the probability of persons smoking in toilet compartments and at minimising the potential fire hazard caused by persistent smokers.

2.4 When this Notice was first issued on 1 June 1987, the Director-General required that an inspection be completed on toilet receptacles within one
calendar month from the date of issue with repeat inspections at 1,000 hourly intervals, however, as a result of a further survey it was concluded that some aircraft toilets had been allowed to deteriorate and were therefore out of compliance.

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice:

3.1 shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of this Notice.

3.2 equipped with ‘No Smoking’ placards that cannot be illuminated shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4.3 of this Notice.

3.3 equipped with both ‘No Smoking’ placards that cannot be illuminated and ‘No Smoking’ signs that can be illuminated shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4.3 of this Notice.

3.4 equipped with ‘No Smoking’ signs that can be illuminated shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4.3 or paragraph 4.4 of this Notice.

Note: Only one type of the ‘No Smoking’ Bilingual Placards (Type A or Type B as specified in paragraphs 4.3 and 4.4 respectively) may be installed on the same individual aircraft. Mixed types are prohibited.

3.5 with a Type Certificate issued in Hong Kong on or after 1 August 2011 shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4.3 of this Notice, notwithstanding sub-paragraph 3.4.

4 Requirements

4.1 Inspection

At intervals not exceeding 72 hours elapsed time, or at such other intervals as may be agreed with the Director-General on the basis of available data, the following inspection shall be performed:

(a) All receptacles, provided within toilet areas, shall be inspected to ascertain that all entry flaps or doors still operate, fit, seal and latch correctly, ashtrays are fitted, notices installed and receptacle stowage compartment is clean with all debris removed.

(b) Any defects revealed by the inspection of (a) are corrected.

The inspection shall be included in the Maintenance Schedules using the normal procedure.
4.2 Prohibition of Smoking in Toilet Compartments

4.2.1 Smoking shall not be permitted in toilet compartments.

4.2.2 Ashtrays are required both inside and outside toilet compartments. The ashtrays shall be obviously and conveniently placed for those about to enter and those within these compartments.

4.3 ‘No Smoking’ Bilingual Placards (Type A)

‘No Smoking’ bilingual placards are required both inside and outside toilet compartments. The ‘No Smoking’ bilingual placards shall be displayed so as to be prominent for those about to enter and those within toilet compartments. The English wordings of the ‘No Smoking’ placards shall read:

"It is an offence under Hong Kong law to smoke in the toilet of the aircraft. It is also an offence to smoke in any other area of the aircraft when the 'No Smoking' notices are displayed in the aircraft or when the 'No Smoking' signs are illuminated. Offenders are liable on conviction, to a fine not exceeding HK$50,000 and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years."

and the Chinese wordings of the placards shall read:

“根據香港法例，任何時間不得在飛機的洗手間內吸煙。若飛機上顯示「不准吸煙」告示時，或當「不准吸煙」燈號亮着時，均不准在飛機內任何地方吸煙。如有違反即屬違法，一經定罪，可處最高罰款額港幣伍萬元及最長監禁期兩年。”

or:

“根据香港法例，任何时间不得在飞机的洗手间内吸烟。若飞机上显示「不准吸烟」告示时，或当「不准吸烟」灯号亮着时，均不准在飞机内任何地方吸烟。如有违反即属违法，一经定罪，可处最高罚款额港币伍万元及最长监禁期两年。”

Note: Use of Chinese traditional font or Chinese simplified font should be consistent with other bilingual placards installed on the same individual aircraft.

4.4 ‘No Smoking’ Bilingual Placards (Type B)

‘No Smoking’ bilingual placards are required both inside and outside toilet compartments. The ‘No Smoking’ bilingual placards shall be displayed so as to be prominent for those about to enter and those within toilet compartments. The English wordings of the ‘No Smoking’ placards shall read:

"It is an offence under Hong Kong law to smoke in the toilet of the aircraft.
It is also an offence to smoke in any other area of the aircraft when the 'No Smoking' signs are illuminated. Offenders are liable on conviction, to a fine not exceeding HK$50,000 and to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years."

and the Chinese wordings of the placards shall read:

“根據香港法例，在飛機內的洗手間吸煙，或當「不准吸煙」燈號亮著時在飛機內的任何其他地方吸煙，即屬犯罪。一經定罪，可處最高罰款額港幣 50,000 元及最長監禁期 2 年。”

or

“根據香港法例，在飛機內的洗手間吸煙，或當「不准吸煙」燈號亮著時在飛機內的任何其他地方吸煙，即屬犯罪。一經定罪，可處最高罰款額港幣 50,000 元及最長監禁期 2 年。”

Note: Use of Chinese traditional font or Chinese simplified font should be consistent with other bilingual placards installed on the same individual aircraft.

4.5 Alternative Chinese Phrases

Notwithstanding paragraphs 4.3 and 4.4, the Chinese phrases “亮著” and “亮着” are equivalent in Chinese traditional font.

Note: Use of these Chinese phrases shall be consistent with other bilingual placards installed on the same individual aircraft.

5 Additional Information

5.1 EASA CS 25.853(h) states that:

‘Each receptacle used for the disposal of flammable waste material must be fully enclosed, constructed of at least fire resistant materials, and must contain fires likely to occur in it under normal use. The ability of the receptacle to contain those fires under all probable conditions of wear, misalignment, and ventilation expected in service must be demonstrated by test.’

Note: Similar wording is provided in FAR 25.853(h).

5.2 For compliance to be shown, such receptacles (but see paragraph 5.4 for towel dispensers) shall be constructed of materials which are fire resistant*, and which in addition, will retain sufficient mechanical properties as to contain such a fire as may develop by burning of materials such as paper towels, as

* Fire resistant is defined in EASA CS-Definitions.
may be within the receptacle. (It should be noted that although a thermoplastic material may be 'fire resistant' it would not necessarily retain adequate mechanical properties in the case of a fire.) The receptacle shall be completely enclosed with the exception of a self-closing entry flap or door, which itself shall be rigid, and when closed, form as airtight a seal as is practicable. Entry flaps or doors shall be designed so that they remain self-closing even after exposure to a fire within the receptacle.

5.3 It is, however, permissible for receptacles to be open-topped provided that they are mounted in a cabinet which itself complies with paragraph 5.2. In this instance, the door of the cabinet shall be of a robust construction and such as to ensure an adequate seal and to withstand misuse in service. Such cabinets shall not contain other flammable materials, potential fire sources (e.g. electrical apparatus) or apertures which would either allow air to feed a fire or permit a fire to spread beyond the cabinet (e.g. through apertures provided for services).

5.4 It is accepted that some receptacles, e.g. paper towel dispensers, cannot readily be designed to meet the above requirements. In such instances, they shall be so designed and positioned within the compartment to ensure that:

(a) the likelihood of the depositing of cigarette ends, etc., into them is minimised; and

(b) a fire, which could be expected to start in another container, cannot readily spread to them; for example, a paper towel dispenser must not be positioned adjacent to, or immediately above, either the entry flap or door of a waste container or an ashtray provided in the compartment.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 83 Issue 9, dated 30 November 2011, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-83 P.5 30 September 2019
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 84
Issue 5
15 February 2009

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

AIRBORNE ILS (LOCALISER) VOR AND
VHF COMMUNICATION RECEIVERS -
IMPROVED FM BROADCAST
INTERFERENCE IMMUNITY STANDARDS

1. Introduction

1.1 The previous issue of this notice took account of actions to ensure aircraft fitted with navigation and communication receivers to meet improved FM broadcast immunity standards established by ICAO. Operators were advised that aircraft with equipment that did not meet the improved immunity standards might be subject to operational restrictions where an interference risk had been identified.

1.2 This notice is re-issued to take account of EASA standards and guidelines, to clarify the situation concerning the carriage of non-compliant equipment.

2 Equipment Standards

2.1 To counteract the expected interference problem, ICAO, in association with the aeronautical industry, developed and agreed improved performance standards for ILS localiser, VOR and VHF communication receivers (now incorporated in ICAO Annex 10, Volume I, Radio Navigation Aids, Chapter 3, paragraphs 3.1.4, 3.3.8, and Volume III, Part II Voice Communication Systems, Chapter 2, paragraph 2.3.3).

2.2 Acceptable Minimum Operational Performance Specifications, consistent with ICAO Annex 10, are shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EQUIPMENT</th>
<th>JAA</th>
<th>EUROCAE</th>
<th>RTCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILS Navigation</td>
<td>JTSO-2C36f</td>
<td>ED-46B</td>
<td>DO-195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR Navigation</td>
<td>JTSO-2C40c</td>
<td>ED-22B</td>
<td>DO-196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHF Communication</td>
<td>JTSO-2C38e</td>
<td>ED-23B</td>
<td>DO-186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 ICAO Annex 10 recommends that equipment meeting the improved immunity
performance standards should be placed into operation at the earliest possible date.

3 Requirements

3.1 Requirements for ILS localiser and VOR receivers effective from 1 January 2001:

(a) ILS localiser and VOR receivers, required to be carried by Hong Kong registered aircraft for the purposes of operations under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) in accordance with applicable airworthiness and operational regulations, must be of a type approved as complying with the improved FM broadcast immunity standard.

(b) Hong Kong registered aircraft of 5700 kg MTWA or less may continue to have non-immune ILS localiser and VOR receivers remain installed, (i.e. those carried in addition to the minimum number required by applicable airworthiness and operational regulations to meet IFR), they must be identified to the flight crew and their use restricted to Visual Flight Rule (VFR) operations.

(c) For aircraft of 5700 kg MTWA or less, an acceptable means of compliance is to placard and restrict non-immune receivers to operations permitted under the Restricted Approval Category LA Class 3 (see paragraph 3.3), irrespective of the approval category for that equipment.

3.2 Requirements for VHF communication receivers effective from 1 January 2002:

(a) VHF communication receivers, required to be carried by Hong Kong registered aircraft over 5700 kg MTWA for the purposes of operations under IFR in accordance with applicable airworthiness and operational regulations, must be of a type approved as complying with the improved FM broadcast immunity standard.

(b) Where non-immune VHF communication receivers remain installed in Hong Kong registered aircraft over 5700 kg MTWA, (i.e. those carried in addition to the minimum number required by applicable airworthiness and operational regulations to meet IFR), they must be identified so as to alert flight crew to the potential risk of interference.

(c) Hong Kong registered aircraft of 5700 kg MTWA or less may continue
to be operated under IFR with non-immune VHF communication receivers provided that the receivers are identified so as to alert flight crews to the potential risk of interference.

NOTE: The Director-General will continue to monitor reports of interference and, if necessary in the light of experience, reconsider this relaxation from the ICAO standard for VHF communication receivers carried by aircraft of 5700 kg MTWA or less.

3.3 Operating limitation must continue to be observed for ILS localiser, VOR and VHF communication receivers carried in aircraft of 5700 kg MTWA or less, and approved in the Restricted Category Light Aircraft (LA) Class 3 (see UKCAA BCAR Section R, Chapter R3-3,4 and Appendix to Chapter R3-1, 1.1.4, or CAP 208 Volume 2 – Foreword, paragraph 3.2).

NOTE: Such equipment may not be used to comply with a requirement for the mandatory carriage of radio equipment.

4. Further Information

Aircraft operators are advised to contact their equipment suppliers to obtain information on the availability of modification kits or replacement equipment meeting the improved FM immunity standards. This information is not available from the Director-General.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2 General

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has published Standards in Annex 10 Volume III Part II paragraph 2.4.1.4 the requirements of classes of emission and carrier suppression to Single Sideband (SSB) HF communication system.

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards in accordance with the Convention.

3 Introduction

3.1 The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) uses an internationally agreed system for classifying radio frequency signals. Each type of radio emission is classified according to its bandwidth, method of modulation, nature of the modulating signal, and type of information transmitted on the carrier signal. It is based on characteristics of the signal, not on the transmitter used.

3.2 The class of emission is a set of characteristics classified and symbolised according to their basic characteristics. The basic characteristics are:

- First symbol – type of modulation of the main carrier;
- Second symbol – nature of signal(s) modulating the main carrier;
- Third symbol – type of information to be transmitted.
3.3 For example, class of emission designator J3E is interpreted as J - ‘Single-sideband, suppressed carrier’, 3 - ‘A single channel containing analogue information’, E - ‘Telephony (including sound broadcasting)’. Detail of emission designator is available from ITU website www.itu.net.

4 Requirements

Requirements of classes of emission and carrier suppression for HF communication system with effective from 16 February 2009 are as follows:

(a) No new Double Side Band (DSB) equipment shall be installed. For the installed HF system equipped with DSB, the DSB function shall be deactivated or not being used by the flight crew.

(b) The system shall utilise the suppressed carrier class of emission J3E (also J7B and J9B as applicable). A3J, the old designator of J3E, is also acceptable.

NOTE: For stations directly involved in coordinated search and rescue operations using the frequencies 3023 kHz and 5680 kHz, the class of emission J3E should be used. However, since maritime mobile and land mobile services may be involved, A3E and H3E classes of emission may be used.

5 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 85, Issue 1, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 July 2009
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

Aircraft Equipment

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness for purposes other than public transport on or after 31 January 2009.

2 Introduction

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has published Standards in Annex 6 that require appropriate instruments and equipment to be installed in certain aircraft as defined in the Standards.

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards in accordance with the Convention.

2.3 The aircraft equipment required in this Airworthiness Notice shall be complied with in addition to that required in the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order (AN(HK)O) 1995 for the same circumstances of flight.

2.4 Where the requirements are duplicated in this Notice and AN(HK)O 1995, compliance with this Notice meets the respective AN(HK)O 1995 requirements.

3 Compliance for applicable aeroplanes

3.1 All aeroplanes flying for the purpose other than public transport and when flying under Instrument Flight Rules outside controlled airspace, shall be equipped according to the Scale E and Scale F of Schedule 5 of AN(HK)O 1995.
3.2 All aeroplanes flying for the purpose other than public transport and operated in accordance with Instrument Flight Rules or at night shall be equipped according to the Scale A of Schedule 6 of AN(HK)O 1995.

4 Compliance for applicable helicopters

4.1 All helicopters flying for the purpose other than public transport and operated in accordance with Instrument Flight Rules or at night shall be equipped according to the Scale A of Schedule 6 of AN(HK)O 1995.

4.2 All helicopters flying for the purpose other than public transport and operated on flights over water at a distance from land corresponding to more than 10 minutes at normal cruise speed shall be equipped according to the Scale A of Schedule 6 of AN(HK)O 1995.

4.3 All helicopters flying for the purpose other than public transport and operated on flights over designated land area determined by the state over which the helicopter flying shall be equipped according to the Scale A of Schedule 6 of AN(HK)O 1995.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

15 February 2009
AN-86 P.2
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Airworthiness Notice

No. 87
Issue 1
15 February 2009

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION
TIME-PIECE AND RATE OF CLIMB AND DESCENT INDICATOR

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered helicopters when operating in accordance with Visual Flight Rules (VFR) at night.

2 Introduction

This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 for the requirements of installation of a time-piece and a rate of climb and descent indicator for Hong Kong registered helicopters.

3 Compliance

With effect from 16 February 2009, all applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirement in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 All helicopters when operating in accordance with VFR at night shall be equipped with an accurate time-piece indicating the time in hours, minutes and seconds, and a rate of climb and descent indicator.

Note: The above-mentioned requirements may be met by combinations of instruments or by electronic displays.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-87 P.1
15 February 2009
**CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT**  
**HONG KONG, CHINA**

**Airworthiness Notice**

No. 88  
Issue 5  
8 November 2018

**THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION**

**ELECTRICAL GENERATION SYSTEMS – BUS-BAR LOW VOLTAGE WARNING**  
**SINGLE-ENGINED AIRCRAFT**  
**WITH A HONG KONG CERTIFICATE OF AIRWORTHINESS**

1 **Introduction**

1.1 When Airworthiness Notice No. 82 was introduced in June 1987, it was considered inappropriate to impose the whole or part of those requirements on single-engined aircraft. Since that time, systems which were once fitted only in the more complicated twin-engined general aviation aircraft, have now been developed and fitted to single-engined aircraft. Thus, greater reliance is being placed on the integrity of the electrical power supplies for such aircraft.

1.2 As a result of the above, Issue 1 of this Notice was published. This Notice required certain single-engined aircraft to be equipped with low voltage warning devices to give indication to the pilot of when the aircraft’s battery commences to support all or part of the electrical load of the aircraft.

1.3 Since that time, a number of incidents and accidents have continued to occur on single-engined aircraft equipped with electrically operated systems. Investigations have shown that a general misunderstanding exists as to the categories of single-engined aircraft (depending upon the level of equipment installed) that have to be equipped with low voltage warning devices.

1.4 The purpose of this Notice is to extend and clarify the need for a clear and unmistakable warning of the loss of generated electrical power (to the main bus-bar) as detailed in paragraph 2.1.1. This will be by the introduction, where necessary, of retrospective modifications.

2 **Requirements**

2.1 For all single-engined aircraft with a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness equipped with an engine driven electrical generating system, compliance with paragraphs 2.2 and 2.3, or with a CAD approved alternative, providing an equivalent level of airworthiness is required.
2.1.1 Where an aircraft is equipped to operate under day VMC conditions only and the loss of generated electrical power could not prejudice continued safe flight and landing, the Director-General on application will waive the requirement of this Notice, where he is satisfied that compliance would not be justified in the circumstances of a particular case.

2.2 A clear and unmistakable visual warning shall be provided, within the pilot's normal scan of vision, to give indication of the reduction of the voltage at the aircraft bus-bar to a level where the battery commences to support all or part of the electrical load of the aircraft.

2.3 Guidance shall be given in the appropriate aircraft manual(s) on any actions to be taken by the pilot should the warning operate. (See also paragraph 3.2.)

3 Additional Information

3.1 For aircraft with a system warning of low voltage, the recommended voltage levels for operating the warning required under paragraph 2.2 of this Notice are 25 volts to 25.5 volts for a nominal 24 volt dc system and 12.5 volts to 13 volts for a nominal 12 volt dc system.

3.2 The battery duration should be sufficient to make a safe landing and should be not less than 30 minutes, subject to the prompt completion of any drills. This duration need only be a reasonable estimate and not necessarily calculated by a detailed electrical load analysis. However, when making this estimate, only 75% of the battery nameplate capacity should be considered as available because of loss of battery efficiency during service.

3.3 Owners and operators are recommended to contact the aircraft manufacturer or main agent for information regarding suitable means of compliance with this Notice.

3.4 Owners and operators may, on application, submit proposals for their own means of compliance and should refer to the guidelines laid down in UKCAA CAP 562, Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures (CAAIP) Leaflet 24-50.

4 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.88 Issue 4, dated 30 May 2006, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-88 P.2
Airworthiness Notice

No. 94
Issue 9
28 June 2019

PERSONNEL CERTIFICATION FOR NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF AIRCRAFT, ENGINES, COMPONENTS AND MATERIALS

1 General

1.1 This Notice advises the Hong Kong aviation requirements for the training and qualification of Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) personnel, which shall be in accordance with the European Standard EN4179\(^1\), and the Approved Organisation's written practice/procedures for the authorisation of NDT personnel.

1.2 This revision of the Notice clarifies CAD policy relating to the acceptability of organisation-based schemes for the qualification of NDT personnel in accordance with European NDT personnel requirements, and is intended to recognise the competence of Level 3 qualified personnel.

1.3 The term NDT is used throughout this Notice to include, but not be limited to, all the common methods identified in EN4179 and shall also be applicable to all other NDT methods used by Approved Organisations. Other methods, not identified in EN4179, and their associated procedures will be subject to approval by the Director-General under the applicable airworthiness approval standard (HKAR-145, HKAR-1 and etc.). Definitions of other key terms used throughout this Notice are contained in paragraph 8.

2 Procedures for the Qualification of NDT Personnel

2.1 All Approved Organisations involved in any aspect of NDT shall develop and maintain procedures for the qualification and authorisation of their NDT personnel in accordance with EN4179. The organisation's procedures and written practice as defined by EN4179 shall be approved by the Nominated Level 3 (see paragraph 3). The procedures/written practice should normally be approved

\(^1\) EN4179 – Aerospace Series - Qualification and Approval of Personnel for Non-Destructive Testing.

NOTE: All reference to Standards within this Notice are to be taken as referring to the latest issue and are available from the British Standards Institution, 389 Chiswick High Road, London, W4 4AL, United Kingdom.
published as a separate document and cross-referenced in the appropriate exposition, manual or quality management system as applicable.

2.2 The Director-General currently recognises the UK national scheme for Personnel Certification in Non-Destructive Testing (PCN) administered by the British Institute of Non-Destructive Testing (BINDT) as meeting the requirements of EN4179.

2.3 NDT Personnel Certification does not relieve an Organisation of its responsibility to authorise staff to perform and certify work. Such Authorisations are to be granted in accordance with the Organisation’s Quality Procedures and be subject to audit.

2.4 In all cases the Organisation’s procedures for the training, examination and certification of NDT personnel should be subject to independent audit and review.

3 Nominated Level 3

3.1 Approved Organisations undertaking NDT in accordance with HKAR-1 or HKAR-145 must satisfy the Director-General that they have adequate numbers of suitably qualified staff to discharge the responsibilities of the approval.

3.2 Approved Organisations shall nominate in writing, using CAD Form Four and/or Form DCA 61A, supported with evidence of independent qualification, an individual responsible to the Director-General/Accountable Manager, for the technical supervision of NDT. This individual will hold NDT qualification at NDT Level 3 in the Aerospace Sector and will be referred to as the Nominated Level 3. This position shall be identified within the Organisation’s Exposition, and any change in this position advised to the Director-General.

3.3 The Director-General recognises the following independent qualifications as appropriate for the position of Nominated Level 3:

- EN4179 Level 3 as administered by a BINDT accredited Outside Agency
- PCN/AERO Level 3
- American Society for Non-Destructive Testing (ASNT) Level 3

Such an individual must also demonstrate evidence of specific knowledge and experience appropriate to the Organisation’s scope of work.

3.4 Where the Nominated Level 3 is not qualified in all NDT methods used by the Organisation, then additional personnel necessary to provide coverage shall be named in the exposition or quality manual and shall hold NDT Level 3 certification issued under those schemes detailed in paragraph 3.3.

3.5 The Director-General may accept persons external to the Organisation as the
Nominated Level 3, provided written agreement exists between the individual and the Organisation setting out the individual's responsibilities within the Organisation. The Director-General will also need to be satisfied that an externally contracted Level 3 can commit to provide sufficient man-hours to cover the technical supervision of NDT.

NOTE: Where an individual is employed by another organisation, the agreement should include the consent of the external organisation contracting out the services of the particular Level 3 person.

3.6 As a nominated individual, the Nominated Level 3 must be provided with the necessary co-operation (access to facilities, company procedures, training records, audits and inspection reports etc.) to allow that person to carry out their function under the Approval.

3.7 The Terms of Reference for the Nominated Level 3 to discharge his/her responsibilities shall include:
   a) Identify any additional NDT qualified Level 3 personnel necessary for coverage when the Nominated Level 3 is not qualified in all NDT methods used by the Organisation;
   b) Identify any additional Level 3 personnel necessary to provide adequate day-to-day coverage depending on the size/facilities of the Organisation;
   c) Approve the Organisation’s NDT procedures and written practice for the Training and Qualification of NDT personnel as meeting this requirement and EN4179 as appropriate;
   d) Review the Organisation’s written practice every 12 months to ensure that any changes in the regulations, applicable standards and the Organisation itself are reflected;
   e) Ensure that NDT procedures are reviewed every 12 months;
   f) Ensure that technical audits (both system and product) are carried out or supported by appropriately qualified personnel every 12 months in order to ensure compliance with the organisation’s written practices / procedures and this requirement, and to ensure that the acceptable standard of inspection is achieved. These audits shall form part of the approved organisation’s internal quality management system.

4 Inspections and Certification of Inspections

4.1 NDT inspections shall be carried out by personnel approved in accordance with the Organisation's written practice or procedures. Where NDT procedures and part specific instructions are specified by the organisation responsible for the design and/or manufacture of the aircraft, material, structure or component, then these must be used, except where change is permitted and authorised as defined in paragraph 5 of this Notice.

4.2 Where non-mandatory inspections are to be undertaken, for which the responsible design/manufacturing organisation has not specified part specific NDT procedures, then the NDT method, technique, procedure and instruction
shall be prepared in accordance with paragraph 5 of this Notice and approved by a Level 3 holder qualified in the applicable method.

4.3 Normally, certification of inspections will be made by persons who hold NDT Level 2 or Level 3 qualification. However, where an inspection task is determined by the Nominated Level 3 to have clearly defined acceptability and rejection criteria requiring no interpretation, then certification may be carried out by an authorised NDT Level 1 as detailed within the written practice.

4.4 Where a Level 3 is required to carry out and certify an NDT inspection then this person must either hold current Level 2 NDT qualification in the relevant method(s), or, alternatively be able to provide evidence that they have successfully completed an appropriate Level 2 practical examination and have maintained continuity in the application of practical testing as defined in the referenced standards and detailed in the written practice before the issuance of an authorisation.

4.5 The term certification as defined by EN4179 is used to denote ‘operating authorisation/approval’ and does not automatically permit an individual who meets the requirements of EN4179 to certify a CAD Form One. An organisation must authorise a suitably qualified person before that person can certify NDT inspections.

5 NDT Techniques and Instructions and their Approval

5.1 NDT technique, procedures and instructions, published and specified by the Type Certificate holder in NDT Manuals, Service Bulletins, Approved Repair Drawings etc. constitute airworthiness data.

5.2 Where the continued airworthiness data published by the Type Certificate Holder permits changes (e.g. selection of equipment model, probe type etc.) then such changes must be authorised in writing by a Level 3 qualified in the appropriate method.

5.3 Any other change to the Type Certificate Holder’s airworthiness data requires the written agreement of the Type Certificate Holder responsible for the design of the product/structure before such a change is implemented.

5.4 NDT Instructions prepared by a Level 2 Holder shall be approved by a Level 3 Holder qualified in the applicable method. Co-ordination between the Level 3 Holder and responsible Type Design Organisation must be maintained to ensure that the selected NDT inspection provides an appropriate level of defect sensitivity and probability of detection to the intended application.

5.5 The procedure for the control of all NDT techniques, procedures and instructions, including their preparation and authorisation within any CAD Approved Organisation, shall be detailed in the Organisation’s approved exposition.
6 Suppliers and Sub-contractors to Approved Organisations

6.1 For a HKAR-145 Organisation performing maintenance on any aircraft or component for which it is approved, where NDT inspections are required, they may on occasions be granted the privilege within their scope of work to utilise sub-contractors working under the quality system of the HKAR-145 Organisation. Where sub-contracting includes NDT processes, the exposition and written practice shall define how the Organisation ensures that the training and authorisation of the sub-contractors NDT personnel is controlled and satisfies HKAR-145 AMC 145.30(f). See HKAR-145 AMC 145.75 (b) and the associated AMC and guidance material.

6.2 Design and Production Organisations utilising suppliers and sub-contractors where NDT processes are employed shall detail within their written practice how the Approved Organisation ensures that training and authorisation of NDT personnel in such suppliers or sub-contractors is controlled. Organisations should refer to HKAR-21 Subpart G and applicable HKAR-1 Section 1.8 requirements regarding the surveillance of sub-contractors.

7 Other Means of Compliance

NOTE: Personnel holding a current HKAR-66 Licence may continue to undertake inspections as limited by Airworthiness Notice No. 3, paragraph 1.7(a).

For organisations seeking CAD Approval, the Director-General is prepared to consider three other methods of complying with this Notice:-

(a) Organisations within the European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA full member states)

The Director-General will accept an NAA approval under EN4179 issued by full member states of the EASA, provided that the Organisation concerned can demonstrate compliance with paragraph 3 of this Notice.

(b) Organisations outside the EASA full member states except those stipulated in paragraph 7(c)

For Organisations located outside the EASA full member states, the Director-General may consider local national qualifications alternative to EN4179 provided that they are demonstrated to be equivalent, have the approval of the local airworthiness regulating authority, and the Director-General is satisfied that no degradation of airworthiness standards is likely to occur as a result of the acceptance of such alternative arrangements.

(c) Organisations within Mainland China, Hong Kong SAR and Macau SAR

The Director-General accepts qualifications issued by National Aerospace
Definitions

Aerospace Sector: A particular section of industry or technology where specialised NDT practices are used requiring specific aerospace product related knowledge, skill, equipment or training.

Authorisation of NDT personnel/Personnel Approval: The authority of persons to perform NDT on behalf of an employer based on a written statement issued by the Approved Organisation on the recommendation of the Nominated Level 3 attesting to the individual’s competence as specified within the certificate.

Authorisation of Certifying Staff: The authority of NDT personnel to certify the completion of tasks in accordance with approved design data via a CAD Form One, issued by the Approved Organisation (see HKAR-145 AMC 145.35). All Form One certifying staff shall be referenced in the MOE, or cross referenced to a recognised register/database for certifying staff, with sample signatures/stamp number.

Authorisation (of NDT procedures): The act of signifying approval of NDT procedures by a Nominated Level 3 authorised in the method.

National Aerospace NDT Board (NANDTB): An independent organisation representing a nation’s aerospace industry chartered by the participating prime organisations and recognised by the national regulatory authorities to provide or support NDT qualification services and examinations in accordance with EN4179.

NDT Instruction: A written description of the precise steps to be followed in testing to an established standard, code, specification or NDT procedure.

NDT Method: One of the disciplines of non-destructive testing (e.g. ultrasonic, radiography, etc.) within which different techniques may exist.

NDT Procedure: A written description of all essential parameters and precautions to be observed when applying an NDT technique to a specific test, following an established standard, code or specification.

NDT Technique: A category within an NDT method, e.g. ultrasonic immersion or ultrasonic testing of composites. The employer or UK NANDTB may define specific techniques within a method.

Qualification: The proven ability of NDT personnel to meet the requirements of a given specification in terms of physical requirements, training, knowledge and experience necessary to perform the applicable NDT method.

Qualification Examination: An examination administered by an independent certifying body (e.g. PCN), or by a body authorised within the employer's EN4179 compliant written practice, which demonstrates the general, specific and practical
knowledge of the candidate.

**Type Certificate:** For the purposes of this Notice, Type Certificate includes Type Certificates, Supplementary Type Certificates, European Parts Approval (EPA) Authorisations or European Technical Standard Orders (ETSO) Authorisations.

**Written Practice:** The procedure that describes an employer’s requirements and methodology for controlling and administrating the NDT personnel qualification and authorisation/approval process.

9 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.94 Issue 8, dated 5 December 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

USE OF HIGH INTENSITY ULTRA-VIOLET LAMPS IN
FLUORESCENT PENETRANT AND MAGNETIC PARTICLE INSPECTIONS

1 Introduction

1.1 The Director-General has been advised that the condition of some high intensity 125 watt ultra-violet self filtered lamps used for fluorescent penetrant and magnetic particle inspections may be unreliable due to the emission of excessive amounts of white light which could compromise reliability of the process to find small defects.

1.2 As soon as practicable following the receipt of this Notice all high intensity 125 watt ultra-violet self filtered lamps are required to be tested for excessive white light emission before use and any lamp failing this test is to be rejected.

1.3 These ultra-violet lamps must also be periodically tested for excessive white light emission and any lamp failing this test shall be rejected.

1.4 A mercury vapour lamp incorporating a woods glass filter which will obviate this problem is available through normal suppliers of ultra-violet lamps.

2 A Suitable Test Method to Determine the Acceptability of High Intensity 125 watt Ultra-violet Lamps for Fluorescent Penetrant and Magnetic Particle Inspection with respect to White Light Emission

2.1 The test involves quantitative analysis using a white light meter calibrated to a National Standard.

2.2 It is mandatory to perform this test prior to using any such lamp for inspection purposes. This test must also be applied to lamps periodically throughout their service life.

2.3 Lamps which show evidence of having been painted shall not be used for inspection purposes.

2.4 The test must be performed in a darkened area with ambient background light levels not greater than 2 foot candles.
2.5 The test must be performed at a minimum ultra-violet intensity of 1200\(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\) (1.2mW/cm\(^2\)).

3 Equipment

3.1 One of the following white light meters calibrated to a National Standard.

   (a) Ardrox DLM 1000.
   (b) Minolta T1.
   (c) Spectronics DSE 100X. (Ely Chemical Co.)
   (d) Ardrox BC1955 – to BS667/4489
   (e) Levy Hill Mk. V – to BS667/4489
   (f) Levy Hill Mk. VI – to BS667/4489
   (g) Elyscan 2 (Ely Chemical Co.)

3.2 Ultra-violet Radiometer calibrated to a National Standard.

4 Test Procedure

NOTE: It is necessary that the reflector is new or highly polished to ensure that the maximum white light reading is obtained for each lamp.

4.1 Using the white light meter in the test position measure the ambient light level and record.

4.2 Switch on the lamp to be tested ensuring it is in a suitable reflector and allow a sufficient ‘warm-up’ period (minimum 20 minutes).

4.3 Set up the lamp housing in order that the meter head is perpendicular to the lamp, and adjust the distance away from the tip of the self filtered lamp to give an ultra-violet intensity of 1200\(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\) maximum using the ultra-violet Radiometer. If this is not practical (due to fixed lamps, etc) then position the ultra-violet Radiometer to obtain the most intense ultra-violet output at a convenient distance and record the value in \(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\).

4.4 Position the white light meter to the most intense white light area as indicated, retaining the distance set in paragraph 4.3. Record the value.

4.5 Subtract the ambient white light measurement obtained in paragraph 4.1, from the white light measurement obtained from the lamp in paragraph 4.4. Record the value.
5 Acceptance Standard

5.1 At an ultra-violet intensity of 1200\(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\), the maximum acceptable white light intensity limit varies depending on the meter used. Values for approved meters are given below:

(a) Ardrox DLM 1000 8 foot candles
(b) Minolta T1 0.3 foot candles
(c) Spectronics DSE 100X (Ely Chemical Co.) 2 foot candles
(d) Ardrox BC1955 0.9 foot candles
(e) Levy Hill Mk. V 0.9 foot candles
(f) Levy Hill Mk. VI 0.9 foot candles
(g) Elyscan 2 (Ely Chemical Co.) 0.9 foot candles

5.2 At any other ultra-violet intensity then the maximum acceptable white light intensity limit must be calculated from the formula:

\[
\frac{Y \times Z}{1200}
\]

White light intensity shall be less than \(\frac{Y \times Z}{1200}\) foot candles

Where \(Y\) = maximum ultra-violet light recorded in \(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\).

\(Z\) = acceptance limit for white light at a measured ultra-violet intensity of 1200\(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\) for the specific meter used from reference table in paragraph 5.1.

5.3 Any lamp having a white light output greater than the limit shall not be used for inspection purposes.

6 Identification

6.1 All lamps tested and accepted shall be identified in accordance with a local procedure.

NOTES:

(1) One foot candle = 10.76 lux.

(2) White light photometers are calibrated to a National Standard using Tungsten Filament lamps. The spectral output of an ultra-violet lamp is significantly different to that of a Tungsten Filament, and therefore the calibration is not valid. The major source of discrepancy in this case, will be due to emission of...
shorter wavelength light.

7  Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.95, Issue 4, dated 30 June 1999, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2002  AN-95 P.4
DISPOSITION OF SCRAP AIRCRAFT PARTS & MATERIALS

1 Purpose

The purpose of this Airworthiness Notice is to provide information and guidance to persons involved in the maintenance, sale, or disposal of aircraft parts. It provides information and guidance to prevent scrap aircraft parts and materials from being sold or acquired as serviceable parts and materials.

2 Introduction

It is common practice for owners of aircraft parts to dispose of scrap parts and materials by selling, discarding, or transferring such items. In some instances, these items have reappeared for sale in the active parts inventories of the aviation community. Misrepresentation of the status of parts and material and the practice of making such items appear serviceable could result in the use of non-conforming parts and materials.

3 Types of Parts and Materials that may be Misrepresented

Persons disposing of scrap aircraft parts and materials should consider the possibility of such parts and materials being misrepresented and sold as serviceable at a later date. Caution should be exercised to ensure that the following types of parts and materials are disposed of in a controlled manner that does not allow them to be returned to service:

3.1 Parts with non-repairable defects, whether visible or not to the naked eye.

3.2 Parts that are not within the specifications set forth by the approved design, and cannot be brought into conformance with applicable specifications.

3.3 Parts and materials for which further processing or rework cannot make them eligible for certification under a recognised released system.

3.4 Parts subjected to unacceptable modification or rework that is irreversible.

3.5 Life-limited parts that have reached or exceeded their life limits, or have missing or incomplete records.
3.6 Parts that cannot be returned to an airworthy condition due to exposure to extreme forces or heat. (See Airworthiness Notice No.97)

3.7 Principal Structural Elements (PSE) removed from a high-cycle aircraft for which conformity cannot be accomplished by complying with the mandatory requirements applicable to ageing aircraft.

4 **Methods to Prevent Misrepresentation of Scrap Parts and Materials**

4.1 Persons disposing of scrap aircraft parts and materials should, when appropriate, mutilate those parts and materials prior to release. Mutilation should be accomplished in such a manner that the parts become unusable for their original intended use, nor should they be able to be reworked or camouflaged to provide the appearance of being serviceable, such as by re-plating, shortening and re-threading long bolts, welding, straightening, machining, cleaning, polishing, or repainting.

4.1.1 Mutilation may be accomplished by one or a combination of the following procedures, but is not limited to:

(a) Grinding;
(b) Burning;
(c) Removal of a major lug or other integral feature;
(d) Permanent distortion of parts;
(e) Cutting a hole with cutting torch or saw;
(f) Melting;
(g) Sawing into many small pieces.

4.1.2 The following procedures are examples of mutilation that are often less successful because they may not be consistently effective:-

(a) Stamping (such as a stamped ‘R’ on a part);
(b) Spraying with paint;
(c) Hammer marks;
(d) Identification by tag or markings;
(e) Drilling small holes;
(f) Sawing in two pieces. Persons who rework scrap parts and materials may be skilled technicians and attempt to restore parts cut in two pieces in such a manner that the mutilation proves difficult to detect.

4.2 Persons disposing of scrap aircraft parts and materials for legitimate non-flight uses, such as training and education aids, research and development, or for non-aviation applications. In such instances, mutilation is not appropriate and the following methods should be used to prevent misrepresentation:-

4.2.1 *Permanently* marking or stamping the parts, subparts, and material as
'NOT SERVICEABLE’. (Ink stamping is not an acceptable method);

4.2.2 Removing original part number identification;

4.2.3 Removing data plate identification;

4.2.4 Maintaining a tracking or accountability system, by serial number or other individualised data, to record transferred scrap aircraft parts and materials; and

4.2.5 Including written instructions concerning disposition and disposal of such parts and materials in any agreement or contract transferring such parts and materials.

NOTE: Scrap or expired life-limited parts and materials should not be passed on to any person or organisation who may end up placing the parts and materials back in actual use, due to the criticality of parts and material failure and the potential safety threat.

4.3 Organisations handling scrap or expired life-limited aircraft parts and materials should establish a quarantine store area in which to segregate such items from active serviceable inventories and to prevent unauthorised access. Caution should be exercised to ensure that these parts and materials receive the disposition specified in this Notice.

4.4 Manufacturers producing approved aircraft parts should consider maintaining records of serial numbers for 'retired' life-limited or other critical parts. In such cases, the owner who mutilates applicable parts is encouraged to provide the original manufacturer with the data plate and/or serial number and final disposition of the part.

5 Method to Identify Misrepresented Parts

All purchasers of aircraft parts and materials should ensure that misrepresented scrap parts and materials are not received into active inventory. The following are examples of conditions to be alert for when receiving parts:-

5.1 Parts showing signs of rework which were purchased as 'new'.

5.2 Used parts showing signs of unapproved or inappropriate repair.

5.3 Parts with poor workmanship or signs of rework in the area of the part data plate, number or serial number inscription.

5.4 Used parts lacking verifiable documentation of history and approval.

5.5 Parts with prices 'too good to be true'.
5.6 Questionable part numbers, fraudulent or suspicious Technical Standard Order or FAA – Parts Manufacturer Approval markings and/or re-identification, stamp-overs or vibro-etching on the data plate.

5.7 Parts delivered with photocopied or missing CAD Form One or other acceptable maintenance release documentation.

5.8 Parts with a finish that is inconsistent with industry standards (e.g., discoloration, inconsistencies, resurfacing).

5.9 Parts purchased as new but with release documentation reflecting a status other than new.

5.10 Parts with poor documentation exhibiting incomplete or inconsistent part identity information.

5.11 Intact 'scrap' unsalvageable parts offered in bulk weight for prices higher than for mutilated parts with identical weight and content.

NOTE: Suspected Unapproved Parts Notification can be found on FAA Internet address: http://www.faa.gov/avr/sups.htm and Special Airworthiness Information Bulletins can be found on FAA Internet address: http://av-info.faa.gov.

An approved organisation or LAME who receives suspect parts should report to the Director-General as detailed in Airworthiness Notice No.19.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.96 Issue 1, dated 1 November 1996, which should be destroyed.

Albert K. Y. LAM
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 January 2002
AN-96 P.4
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 97
Issue 6
7 February 2020

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

RETURN TO SERVICE OF AIRCRAFT ITEMS* RECOVERED FROM AIRCRAFT INVOLVED IN ACCIDENTS/INCIDENTS AND UNSERVICEABLE AIRCRAFT

1 Introduction

1.1 This Notice reviews the factors involved in establishing the acceptability of aircraft items recovered from aircraft involved in accidents/incidents and unserviceable aircraft. It states the conditions to be met before such items may be returned to service.

1.2 The Director-General has been alerted that some aircraft items (including highly stressed rotating parts) have been released to service after having been recovered from aircraft involved in accidents/incidents and unserviceable aircraft even though the accident circumstances may have caused damage or changed characteristics from those of the type design. Since such items may not manifest any visual evidence of damage, distortion or changed characteristics, a serious airworthiness hazard could result from their use without special precautions being taken as detailed in this Notice.

NOTE: The subject of this Notice was first promulgated to industry by a UKCAA Letter to Operators Number 461 (revised to LTO 461/A on 18 December 1981), following informal consultation with industry and with aviation insurers.

2 Establishing Origin of Recovered Items from Aircraft Involved in Accidents/Incidents

2.1 When an aircraft has been involved in an accident/incident, the title to the salvage may pass from the insured owner to other persons (e.g. aircraft insurers) and this salvage may be offered for sale either complete or as separate aircraft items in an "as is - where is" condition. While some items may be totally unaffected by the accident/incident which caused the aircraft to

* For the purpose of this Notice the term 'items' includes all components, parts, engines and accessories.
be declared as salvage, it is essential to obtain clear evidence that this is the case. If such evidence cannot be obtained, the item may not be returned to service.

2.2 All such items must therefore be subject to competent assessment and inspection in the light of adequate knowledge of the circumstances of the accident/incident, subsequent storage and transport conditions, and with evidence of previous operational history obtained from valid airworthiness records, before overhaul and re-installation can be considered.

2.3 In particular, if a crash load is sufficient to take any part above its proof strength, residual strains may remain which could reduce the effective strength of the item or otherwise impair its functioning. Loads higher than this may of course crack the item, with an even more dangerous potential. Further, a reduction in strength may be caused by virtue of the change of a material's characteristics following overheating from a fire. It is therefore of the utmost importance to establish that the item is neither cracked, distorted or overheated. The degree of distortion may be difficult to assess if the precise original dimensions are not known, in which case there is no option but to reject the item. Any suggestion of overheating would be cause for a laboratory investigation into significant change of material properties.

2.4 The standard procedures appropriate to items removed for overhaul following normal service life may not therefore be sufficient for items from salvaged aircraft. If the information in the Manufacturer's Manual, or other technical publications, is insufficient to deal with the considerations detailed above then the manufacturer must be consulted for guidance. If the manufacturer provides the additional information, and the item can be shown to meet this, then it may be returned to service.

2.5 Where a difficulty exists in classifying the airworthiness significance of an aircraft item recovered after an accident/incident, the question should be referred to the CAD Airworthiness Office for advice. The Director-General will require full details of the circumstances of the accident/incident before a response is made to the enquiry.

3 Information Obtained from Aviation Insurers

Aviation insurers and other persons who obtain title to salvage parts may supply to salvage purchasers the details of the accident/incident leading to the aircraft, or aircraft item, being declared as salvage. It is also common practice for aviation insurers to pass over the airworthiness records to the salvage purchaser. Whilst such information and records are an essential part of the assessment, where return to service is being considered, they are not a guarantee that the item is acceptable for re-installation.
Parts Removed from Unserviceable Aircraft

4.1 Aircraft withdrawn from services are often used as a source of spare parts, a process sometimes described as “parting out”. These parts, although serviceable at the time the aircraft was placed in storage, may have been affected adversely by storage conditions, including especially environmental factors, or by the length of storage.

4.2 It is important that the part removal process be planned and controlled in a manner as close as possible to that adopted for routine maintenance tasks on in-service aircraft. The following points in particular should be considered:

4.2.1 the means by which the part is removed should be in accordance with the normal maintenance data (e.g. maintenance manual), using the tools specified;

4.2.2 adequate access equipment should be provided;

4.2.3 if conducted in the open, disassembly should cease during inclement weather;

4.2.4 all work should be carried out by appropriately qualified maintenance personnel;

4.2.5 all open connections should be blanked; and

4.2.6 a protected and enclosed quarantine storage area for the parts being removed should be provided in the immediate vicinity of the work area.

4.3 The eventual return to service of each removed part shall be performed by the Air Operator’s Certificate (AOC) holder in accordance with a procedure approved by the Director-General. The AOC holder shall identify the circumstances which would warrant the use of this procedure and notify CAD within 10 days of the use of it. An assessment on the removed part shall be conducted by the AOC in conjunction with a suitably approved HKAR-145 organisation. Subject to the results of assessment, the extent of the necessary work may be ranged from a simple visual inspection to a complete overhaul before the part is returned to service. Generally, the assessment on the removed parts shall include:

4.3.1 the Aircraft Maintenance Schedule (AMS) tasks with Failure Effect Categories (FEC) 5 or 8, mandatory requirements such as Airworthiness Directive (AD), Airworthiness Limitations Section (ALS) Parts 1 to 6;
4.3.2 the procedures of Aircraft Maintenance Manual (AMM) Chapter 10; and

4.3.3 the relevant maintenance and periodic checks, if applicable.

5 Supplementary Information

Attention is drawn to Airworthiness Notice No. 19 which also deals with the safeguards necessary for users obtaining aircraft parts in the open market, particularly in relation to the release documentation and evidence of previous history.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No.97 Issue 5, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101
Issue 1
30 September 2008

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

EQUIPMENT APPROVAL

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft to be equipped with aircraft equipment and aircraft radio equipment.

2 Introduction

2.1 For the purpose of this Notice, equipment means aircraft equipment and aircraft radio equipment as specified in articles 13 and 14 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (AN(HK)O 1995) as amended.

2.2 Articles 13 and 14 of AN(HK)O 1995 as amended require that certain equipment shall be of a type approved by the Chief Executive.

2.3 Paragraphs 3 and 4 of Appendix No. 1 to Sub-section 1.2-2 of HKAR-1 specify the airworthiness specifications such as ETSO, TSO, JTSO and UKCAA Specifications that are adopted by the Director-General.

2.4 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the above requirements and provide acceptable means of compliance.

3 Compliance

3.1 Aircraft Type Certificated in Hong Kong before 30 September 2008

With effect from 1 January 2009, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraphs 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4 of this Notice.

3.2 Aircraft Type Certificated in Hong Kong on or after 30 September 2008
With effect from 1 January 2009, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraphs 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 Airworthiness Specification Set I

Equipment shall meet one of the following airworthiness specifications:

4.1.1 CAD HTSO
4.1.2 EASA ETSO
4.1.3 JAA JTSO
4.1.4 FAA TSO
4.1.5 UK CAA Specifications
4.1.6 Other means approved by the Director-General

4.2 Airworthiness Specification Set II

Equipment shall meet one of the following airworthiness specifications:

4.2.1 CAD HTSO
4.2.2 EASA ETSO
4.2.3 JAA JTSO
4.2.4 FAA TSO
4.2.5 Other means approved by the Director-General

4.3 Associated Airworthiness Notices

In addition to this Notice, equipment shall comply with the applicable associated Airworthiness Notices 101 Series such as AN 101A, 101B, 101C, and etc.

4.4 Installation Requirements

Installation of equipment is not covered under the airworthiness specifications. Installation of equipment shall be approved in accordance with the requirements of HKAR-1 or HKAR-21.
5 Additional Information

5.1 EASA Certification Standards ETSO

EASA CS-ETSO is available at:
http://easa.europa.eu/home/rg_certspecs.html

5.2 FAA TSO

FAA TSO is available at:
http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/air%5Fcert/design%5Fapprovals/tso/

5.3 JAR-TSO

JAR-TSO is available at:
http://www.jaat.eu/publications/publications.html

5.4 UKCAA Specifications

UKCAA Specifications are available at:
http://www.caa.co.uk/application.aspx?catid=33&pagetype=65&appid=11&mode=list&type=sercat&id=23

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

PERSONNEL RESTRAINT SYSTEM

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with safety belts under Article 13, Schedule 5, Scale B of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires a safety belt, a safety belt with one diagonal shoulder strap or a safety harness to be equipped for every seat; and a child restraint device for every child under the age of 2 years on board.

2.2 For the purpose of this Notice, following definitions apply:

2.2.1 “Approved by the Director-General” means a design approval issued/validated/accepted under HKAR-21 or accepted in accordance with technical arrangements with other civil aviation authorities.

2.2.2 “Child Restraint Device (CRD)” means a device which interfaces with the aircraft seat and is designed to accommodate and restrain, or to enhance the restraint of, an infant or small child. Supplementary Loop Belt (SLB) and Child Restraint Seat (CRS) are examples of CRD.

2.2.3 “Child Restraint Seat (CRS)” means a car type seat which is fastened to a passenger seat.

2.2.4 “Forward Facing Seat (FFS)” means a seat which makes no more than an 18-degree angle with the vertical plane containing the aircraft centreline.

2.2.5 “Inflatable Restraint Seat (IRS)” means a seat which is equipped with an inflatable airbag system which may be mounted in safety belt, bulkhead or monument.

2.2.6 “Side Facing Seat (SFS)” means a seat which makes more than an 18-degree angle with the vertical plane containing the aircraft centreline.
2.2.7 “Supplementary Loop Belt (SLB)” means a webbing based infant restraint device consisting of two loops of webbing designed to interface with an aircraft seat. SLB is also commonly known as an ‘infant loop belt’ or ‘belly belt’.

3 Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraphs 4.1 to 4.6 of this Notice.

3.2 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and equipped with IRS, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.7 of this Notice.

3.3 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and equipped with SFS, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.8 of this Notice.

3.4 With effect from 1 May 2020, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice, equipped with passenger seat with one diagonal shoulder strap, and for which the first Certificate of Airworthiness is issued in Hong Kong after 1 May 2020, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.9 of this Notice.

3.5 With effect from 1 February 2021, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice and equipped with passenger seat with one diagonal shoulder strap, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.9 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 Safety Belt, One Diagonal Shoulder Strap and Safety Harness

Safety belt, one diagonal shoulder strap and safety harness shall meet the standard of EASA ETSO, FAA TSO or JAA JTSO as specified in the associated seat approval, or be approved for installation in an aircraft.

4.2 Extension Belt and Supplementary Loop Belt

Extension belt and supplementary loop belt shall be in the same or equivalent standard as the seat safety belt in sub-paragraph 4.1.

4.3 Reserved

4.4 Angles of Release for Conventional Lever Operated Release Mechanism
For aircraft fitted with safety belts where a conventional lever operated release mechanism is used, there shall be a free movement of the lever and the belt shall remain fastened until the lever attains an angle between 80 degrees and 95 degrees to its position at rest. The lever shall be spring loaded to the position it normally assumes when the belt is fastened.

4.5 Position of Buckle

The position of safety belt buckle shall be located as follows:

4.5.1 For passenger aisle seat, the buckle shall be installed away from the aisle.

4.5.2 For passenger window seat, the buckle shall be installed away from the window.

4.5.3 For passenger middle seat, the buckle shall be installed on the left hand side of the passenger.

4.5.4 Notwithstanding paragraphs 4.5.1 to 4.5.3, for single passenger seat, the buckle shall be installed on the left hand side of the passenger.

Note: Paragraph 4.5 does not apply to:
(i) aircraft with Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC) less than 20;
(ii) safety belts equipped with inflatable restraints;
(iii) seats that make more than an 18-degree angle with the vertical plane containing the aircraft centreline; or
(iv) seats with design features that would prevent the buckle to reach beyond and outside the armrest of the seat.

4.6 CRD Standards

Use of acceptable CRD in passenger seat that is a FFS and not a IRS does not require approval of the Director-General. The CRD may be supplied by the operator or passenger. CRD meeting any one of the following standards is acceptable to the Director-General:

a) European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) ETSO-C100b
b) Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) TSO-C100, TSO-C100a, TSO-C100b, or TSO-C100c
c) European Safety Standard requirements of ECE Regulation 44
d) United States Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard FMVSS 213
e) Australia / New Zealand Standard (AS/NZS) 1754
f) Kidsflysafe Inc. CARE™ harness, manufactured by Amsafe, certificated by the FAA to Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) 21.305(d)
g) SLB certificated to requirements in sub-paragraph 4.2 of this Notice
h) CRD accepted or approved by the Director-General

4.7 Inflatable Restraint Seat (IRS)

The following requirements are applicable to a passenger seat equipped with an inflatable airbag system which may be mounted in safety belt, bulkhead or monument:

4.7.1 IRS shall not be occupied by a child under the age of 12 years unless the occupancy is approved by the Director-General.

4.7.2 The use of CRD in IRS shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.7.3 The use of an extension belt in IRS shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.7.4 Limitations and usage shall be specified in the aircraft flight manual or operator’s operational procedures and made known to the cabin crew and passengers.

4.8 Side Facing Seat (SFS)

The following requirements are applicable to a passenger seat which makes more than an 18-degree angle with the vertical plane containing the aircraft centreline:

4.8.1 SFS shall not be occupied by a child under the age of 12 years unless the occupancy is approved by the Director-General.

4.8.2 The use of CRD in SFS shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.8.3 Limitations and usage shall be specified in the aircraft flight manual or operator’s operational procedures and made known to the cabin crew and passengers.

4.9 Seat with One Diagonal Shoulder Strap

The following requirements are applicable to a passenger seat equipped with one diagonal shoulder strap:

4.9.1 The seat shall not be occupied by a child under the age of 12 years unless the occupancy is approved by the Director-General.
4.9.2 The use of CRD on the seat shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.9.3 The use of an extension belt on the seat shall be approved by the Director-General.

4.9.4 Limitations and usage shall be specified in the aircraft flight manual or operator’s operational procedures and made known to the cabin crew and passengers.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101A Issue 6, dated 28 June 2019, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101B
Issue 1
30 September 2008

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

LIFE JACKET

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with lifejackets under Article 13, Schedule 5, Scale H of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 as amended.

2 Introduction

This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 as amended which requires a lifejacket be equipped with a whistle and waterproof torch.

3 Compliance

With effect from 1 January 2009, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 Whistle

In addition to requirements specified in AN 101, life jackets other than constructed and carried solely for use by children less than three years of age must be equipped with a whistle.

4.2 Whistle Specifications

The whistle shall be one-piece type and attached to the lifejacket by a cord of sufficient length of facilitate to use. In the event of the whistle being immersed, it shall be capable of being effectively operated within 5 seconds of
its removal from the water.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

Norman L.O
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101C
Issue 3
31 July 2015

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION
PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEMS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with public address systems under Article 13, Schedule 5, Scale Y(iv)(a) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, for which it is the first aircraft of the type receiving Hong Kong Type Certification or following any major modification to the cabin furnishings or layout resulting acoustical changes.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires a public address system.

2.2 The overall performance of the equipment and its installation shall be such that messages may be broadcast so as to be audible and intelligible at all passenger seats, lavatories, and flight attendant seats and work stations.

2.3 Rapid Speech Transmission Index (RASTI)

The Rapid Speech Transmission Index (RASTI) is a condensed version of the Speech Transmission Index method using a subset of the original 98 data points. The analysis is restricted to 4 or 5 modulation frequencies and only two octave bands, with centre frequencies of 500 Hz and 2 kHz, giving 9 data points. The mean of the nine values obtained is normalised in the range 0 to 1.0.

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

AN-101C P.1
31 July 2015
4 Requirement

4.1 Performance Levels

Figure 4.1.1 specifies the minimum acceptable RASTI values which shall be achieved under various flight conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Phase</th>
<th>Flight Attendants Seats</th>
<th>Flight Attendants Work Stations</th>
<th>Passenger Cabin</th>
<th>Lavatories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turbo-Jet</td>
<td>Non Turbo-Jet</td>
<td>Turbo-Jet</td>
<td>Non Turbo-Jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parked-Engines and APU Stopped</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parked-Engines Stopped and APU Running</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxying</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Approach Gear Down Flaps Extended</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.1.1  Minimum Acceptable RASTI Values

4.2 Test Equipment and Procedures

Details of the test equipment and procedures which shall be used to derive RASTI values are given in paragraph A2.3.3 of UK CAA Specification No. 15.

5 Additional Information

Nil.
6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101C Issue 2, dated 30 November 2011, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
Airworthiness Notice

No. 101D
Issue 9
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

FLIGHT RECORDERS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with flight recorders.

2 Introduction

This Airworthiness Notice is intended to specify requirements and supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires Flight Recorders to be equipped for operation.

3 Compliance

All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in the following paragraphs as applicable:

3.1 Where Scale S(i), S(ii) or S(iii) of Schedule 5 of AN(HK)O 1995 is required, the aeroplane shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.6 of this Notice.

3.2 Where Scale S(iv), S(v) or S(vi) of Schedule 5 of AN(HK)O 1995 is required, the aeroplane shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.7 or 4.8 of this Notice.

3.3 All aeroplanes for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) after 1 January 2005, of a maximum total weight authorised (MTWA) of over 5700 kg shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.8 of this Notice.

3.4 Where Scale SS(i), SS(ii) or SS(iii) of Schedule 5 of AN(HK)O 1995 is required, the helicopter shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.9 of this Notice.
3.5 All aircraft identified in paragraph 1 and installed with Flight Recorders shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.1, 4.5 and 4.13 of this Notice.

3.6 All aeroplanes certificated in Transport Category (Passenger) or Transport Category (Cargo) shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.2 of this Notice.

3.7 All aeroplanes certificated in Aerial Work, Private or Special Categories shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.3 of this Notice.

3.8 All helicopters certificated in Transport Category or Private Category shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.4 of this Notice.

3.9 Additional Cockpit Voice Recorder (CVR) and Cockpit Audio Recording Systems (CARS) Compliance

3.9.1 A CVR, installed in aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 2014, shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.11.2 and 4.11.4 of this Notice.

3.9.2 A CVR, installed in helicopters for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 2014, shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.11.2 and 4.11.4 of this Notice.

3.9.3 All aeroplanes and helicopters required to be equipped with a CVR shall comply with the requirement in paragraph 4.11.1 of this Notice.

3.9.4 All aeroplanes certificated in Transport Category of a MTWA of over 27000 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 2018 shall comply with the requirement in paragraph 4.11.3 of this Notice.

3.9.5 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1987 shall be equipped with a CVR.

3.9.6 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 27000 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 2021 shall comply with the requirement in paragraph 4.11.5 of this Notice.
4 Requirements

4.1 Flight recorders shall be constructed, located and installed so as to provide maximum practical protection for the recordings in order that the recorded information may be preserved, recovered and transcribed. Flight recorders shall meet the prescribed crashworthiness and fire protection specifications. For non-deployable flight recorder containers, they shall be painted in distinctive orange and carry reflective material to facilitate their location.

4.2 Requirements for aeroplanes certificated in Transport Category

4.2.1 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a MTWA of 5700 kg or less for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State or the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016 shall be equipped with:

a) an Flight Data Recorder (FDR) which shall record at least the first 16 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I; or

b) a Class C Airborne Image Recorder (AIR) or Airborne Image Recording System (AIRS) which shall record at least the flight path and speed parameters displayed to the pilot(s) as defined in 2.2.3 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I; or

c) an Aircraft Data Recording System (ADRS) which shall record at least the first 7 parameters listed in Table A8-3 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

Note 1: “Contracting State” is defined as any State (including the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong, being a part thereof) which is a party to the Convention on International Civil Aviation which, on 7 December 1944, was opened for signature at the International Civil Aviation Conference held at Chicago. This definition applies to the whole of this Airworthiness Notice.

Note 2: “The date of application for type certification submitted to a Contracting State” refers to the date of application of the original “Type Certificate” for the aircraft type, not the date of certification of particular aircraft variants or derivative models. This definition applies to the whole of this Airworthiness Notice.

Note 3: For aeroplanes for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State before 1 January 2016, specifications applicable to crash-protected flight recorders may be found in EUROCAE ED-112, ED-56A, ED-55 Minimum Operational Performance Specifications (MOPS).

Note 4: For aeroplanes for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2016, specifications applicable to crash-protected flight recorders may be found in EUROCAE ED-112A Minimum Operational Performance Specification (MOPS).
Note 5: Specifications applicable to lightweight flight recorders may be found in EUROCAE ED-155 Minimum Operational Performance Specification (MOPS).

Note 6: AIR or AIRS classification is defined in paragraph 6.2 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I. A Class B AIR could be a means for recording data link communications applications messages to and from the aeroplanes where it is not practical or is prohibitively expensive to record those data link communications applications messages on FDR or CVR.

Note 7: An FDR shall be capable of recording, as appropriate to the aeroplane, at least the first 16 parameters in Table A8-1 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

4.2.2 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 27000 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1989 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 32 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

4.2.3 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg, up to and including 27000 kg, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1989, shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 16 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

4.2.4 All turbine-engined aeroplanes, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued before 1 January 1989, with a MTWA of over 5700 kg, except those in paragraph 4.2.5, shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 5 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

4.2.5 All turbine-engined aeroplanes, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued on or after 1 January 1987 but before 1 January 1989, with a MTWA of over 27000 kg that are of types of which the prototype was certificated by the appropriate national authority after 30 September 1969 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 16 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

4.2.6 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued after 1 January 2005 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 78 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

4.2.7 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2023 shall be equipped with an FDR capable of recording at least the 82 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.
4.2.8 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 2250 kg, up to and including 5700 kg, for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2016 and required to be operated by more than one pilot shall be equipped with either a CVR or a CARS.

4.2.9 All aeroplanes for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016, which utilise any of the data link communications applications listed in paragraph 5.1.2 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I and are required to carry a crash-protected CVR, shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.12 of this Notice.

4.2.10 All aeroplanes which are modified on or after 1 January 2016 to install and utilise any of the data link communications applications listed in paragraph 5.1.2 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I and are required to carry a crash-protected CVR shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.12 of this Notice.

Note: This requirement is not applicable to the aeroplanes which have had data link communication applications before 1 January 2016.

4.2.11 With regard to flight crew-machine interface recordings, all aeroplanes of a maximum take-off mass of over 27000 kg for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2023 shall be equipped with a crash-protected flight recorder which shall record the information displayed to the flight crew from electronic displays, as well as the operation of switches and selectors by the flight crew as defined in Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I, shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.10 of this Notice.

4.2.12 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2016 and which are required to be equipped with both CVR and FDR, shall be equipped with two combination recorders (FDR/CVR).

4.2.13 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 15000 kg for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2016, and which are required to be equipped with both CVR and FDR, shall be equipped with two combination recorders (FDR/CVR). One recorder shall be located as close to the cockpit as practicable and the other recorder located as far aft as practicable.

4.2.14 Non-deployable crash-protected flight recorder containers shall be securely attached with an automatically activated underwater locating device operating at a frequency of 37.5 kHz. This device shall operate for a minimum of 90 days.

4.2.15 All FDRs shall retain the information recorded during at least the last 25 hours
of their operation with the exception of those installed on aeroplanes referenced in paragraph 6.1.4 for which the FDR shall retain the information recorded during at least the last 30 minutes of its operation, and in addition sufficient information from the preceding take-off for calibration purposes.

4.3 Requirements for aeroplanes certificated in Aerial Work, Private or Special Categories

4.3.1 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a MWTA of over 5700 kg for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2016 and required to be operated by more than one pilot shall be equipped with a CVR.

4.3.2 All aeroplanes for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016, which utilise any of the data link communications applications listed in paragraph 5.1.2 of Appendix 2.3–Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II and are required to carry a cockpit voice recorder (CVR), shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.12 of this Notice.

4.3.3 All aeroplanes which are modified on or after 1 January 2016 to install and utilise any of the data link communications applications listed in paragraph 5.1.2 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II and are required to carry a CVR, shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.12 of this Notice.

Note: This requirement is not applicable to the aeroplanes which have had data link communication applications before 1 January 2016.

4.3.4 Non-deployable crash-protected flight recorder containers shall be securely attached with an automatically activated underwater locating device operating at a frequency of 37.5 kHz. This device shall operate for a minimum of 90 days.

4.3.5 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2023 shall be equipped with an FDR capable of recording at least the 82 parameters listed in Table A2.3-1 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II.

4.3.6 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2005 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least 78 parameters listed in Table A2.3-1 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II.

4.3.7 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 27000 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1989 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 32 parameters listed
4.3.8 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 27000 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2021 shall be equipped with a CVR capable of retaining the information recorded during at least the last 25 hours of its operation.

4.4 Requirements for helicopters certificated in Transport or Private Category

4.4.1 All turbine-engined helicopters of a MTWA of over 2250 kg, up to and including 3175 kg for which the application for type certification was submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2018 shall be equipped with:

a) an FDR which shall record at least the first 48 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III; or

b) a Class C AIR or AIRS which shall record at least the flight path and speed parameters displayed to the pilot(s) as defined in Table A4-3 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III; or

c) an ADRS which shall record the first 7 parameters listed in Table A4-3 of Appendix 4 - Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.

4.4.2 All helicopters of a MTWA of over 3175 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 48 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.

4.4.3 All helicopters of a MTWA of over 7000 kg, or having a passenger seating configuration of more than nineteen, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1989 shall be equipped with an FDR which shall record at least the first 30 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.

4.4.4 All helicopters of a MTWA of over 7000 kg shall be equipped with a CVR. For helicopters not equipped with an FDR, at least main rotor speed shall be recorded on the CVR.

4.4.5 All helicopters for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016, which utilise any of the data link communications applications listed in paragraph 5.1.2 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III and are required to carry a CVR, shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.12 of this Notice.

4.4.6 All helicopters which are modified on or after 1 January 2016 to install and utilise any of the data link communications applications listed in paragraph
5.1.2 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III and are required to carry a CVR, shall meet the requirements in paragraph 4.12 of this Notice.

*Note: This requirement is not applicable to the helicopters which have had data link communication applications before 1 January 2016.*

### 4.4.7
All helicopters of a MTWA of over 3175 kg for which the application for type certificate is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2023 shall be equipped with an FDR capable of recording at least the first 53 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.

### 4.4.8
Non-deployable flight recorder containers shall be securely attached with an automatically activated underwater locating device operating at a frequency of 37.5 kHz. This device shall operate for a minimum of 90 days.

### 4.4.9
All applicable helicopters with FDRs shall retain the information recorded during at least the last ten 10 hours of their operation.

*Note 1: For helicopters for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State before 1 January 2016, specifications applicable to crash-protected flight recorders may be found in EUROCAE ED-112, ED-56A, ED-55 Minimum Operational Performance Specifications (MOPS).*

*Note 2: For helicopters for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2016, specifications applicable to crash-protected flight recorders may be found in EUROCAE ED-112A Minimum Operational Performance Specification (MOPS).*

*Note 3: The classes and operations of Airborne Image Recorder (AIR) and Airborne Image Recording System (AIRS) are defined in ICAO Annex 6 Part III Appendix 4-Flight Recorders paragraph 4.*

*Note 4: Specifications applicable to lightweight flight recorders may be found in EUROCAE ED-155, Minimum Operational Performance Specification (MOPS), or equivalent documents.*

*Note 5: AIR or AIRS classification is defined in paragraph 4.1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.*

### 4.5 Recording Technology

#### 4.5.1
FDRs or ADRS shall not use engraving metal foil, frequency modulation (FM), photographic film or magnetic tape.

#### 4.5.2
CVRs and CARS shall not use magnetic tape or wire.

#### 4.5.3
The documentation requirement concerning FDR and ADRS parameters provided by operators to accident investigation authorities shall be in electronic format and take account of industry specifications such as ARINC 647A.
4.5.4 Documentation concerning parameter allocation, conversion equations, periodic calibration and other serviceability/maintenance information shall be maintained by the operator. The documentation needs to be sufficient to ensure that accident investigation authorities have the necessary information to read out the data in engineering units.

4.6 UKCAA Specification 10

Applicable aeroplane shall be equipped with FDR meeting the requirements of “Flight Data Recorder Systems”, UKCAA Specification 10, Issue 1, dated 1 May 1974. Additional compliance with TSO is optional.

4.7 UKCAA Specification 10A

Applicable aeroplane shall be equipped with FDR meeting the requirements of “Flight Data Recorder for Aeroplane Accidents Investigation”, UKCAA Specification 10A, Issue 1, dated 1 June 1990. This Specification shall be used in conjunction with, EUROCAE Minimum Operational Performance Specification (MOPS), ED-55. Additional compliance with TSO is optional.

4.8 Type IA FDR Requirements

4.8.1 Applicable aeroplane shall be equipped with a Type IA FDR with a recording duration of at least 25 hours.

4.8.2 A Type IA FDR shall record the parameters required to determine accurately the aeroplane flight path, speed, attitude, engine power, configuration and operation.

4.8.3 The parameters that satisfy the requirements for a Type IA FDR are listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I. The number of parameters to be recorded shall depend on aeroplane complexity. The parameters without an asterisk (*) are mandatory parameters which shall be recorded regardless of aeroplane complexity. In addition, the parameters designated by an asterisk (*) shall be recorded if an information data source for the parameter is used by aeroplane systems or the flight crew to operate the aeroplane. However, other parameters may be substituted with due regard to the aeroplane type and the characteristics of the recording equipment.

4.9 UKCAA Specification 18

Applicable helicopter shall be equipped with FDR meeting the requirements of “Flight Data Recorder for Helicopter Accidents Investigation”, UKCAA Specification 18, Issue 1, dated 1 June 1990. This Specification shall be used in conjunction with,
EUROCAE Minimum Operational Performance Specification (MOPS), ED-55. Additional compliance with TSO is optional.

4.10 Duration and Correlation

4.10.1 The minimum flight crew-machine interface recording duration shall be at least for the last 2 hours.

4.10.2 Flight crew-machine interface recordings shall be able to be correlated to the recorded cockpit audio.

4.11 CVR and CARS Requirements

4.11.1 All CVRs shall retain the information recorded during at least the last 2 hours of its operation. Paragraph 3.9.3 of this Notice refers.

4.11.2 The CVR shall start to record prior to the aircraft moving under its own power and record continuously until the termination of the flight when the aircraft is no longer capable of moving under its own power. A means shall be provided to stop the recorder automatically as soon as possible at the completion of the flight but no later than 10 minutes after all the engines have stopped operating when the aircraft is on the ground. Paragraphs 3.9.1 and 3.9.2 of this Notice refer.

4.11.3 An alternate power source which shall automatically engage and provide 10 minutes, plus or minus one minute, of operation whenever aeroplane power to the recorder ceases, either by normal shutdown or by any other loss of power. The alternate power source shall power the CVR and its associated cockpit area microphone components. The CVR shall be located as close as practicable to the alternate power source. Paragraph 3.9.4 of this Notice refers.

Note: (1) “Alternate” means separate from the power source that normally provides power to the CVR. The use of aeroplane batteries or other power sources is acceptable provided that the requirements above are met and electrical power to essential and critical loads is not compromised.

(2) When the CVR function is combined with other recording functions within the same unit, powering the other functions is allowed.

4.11.4 All four channels of the CVR input audio are separately recorded for the whole recording duration. Paragraphs 3.9.1 and 3.9.2 of this Notice refer.

4.11.5 CVR shall retain the information recorded during at least the last 25 hours of its operation. Paragraph 3.9.6 of this Notice refers.
4.12 Data Link Communications Requirements

If data link communications applications are equipped, then

4.12.1 The data link communications messages shall be recorded on a crash-protected flight recorder;

4.12.2 The minimum recording duration shall be equal to the duration of the CVR; and

4.12.3 Data link recording shall be able to be correlated to the recorded cockpit audio.

4.13 Automatic Deployable Flight Recorder (ADFR) Requirements

4.13.1 If automatic deployable flight recorder is installed, then its container shall be painted with distinctive orange colour. Its surface visible from outside the aircraft may be of another colour. However, once the automatic deployable flight recorder is deployed, it shall be seen as orange colour. It shall carry reflective material to facilitate their location and has an integrated automatically activated Emergency Locator Transmitter.

4.13.2 The following requirements shall apply to an ADFR:

(a) deployment shall take place when the aeroplane structure has been significantly deformed;

(b) deployment shall take place when an aeroplane sinks in water;

(c) ADFR shall not be capable of manual deployment;

(d) the ADFR shall be able to float on water;

(e) the ADFR deployment shall not compromise the safe continuation of the flight;

(f) the ADFR deployment shall not significantly reduce the chance of survival of the recorder and of successful transmission by its ELT;

(g) the ADFR deployment shall not release more than one piece;

(h) an alert shall be made to the flight crew when the ADFR is no longer captive to the aircraft;

(i) the flight crew shall have no means to disable ADFR deployment when the aircraft is airborne;
the ADFR shall contain an integrated ELT, which shall activate automatically during the deployment sequence. Such ELT may be of a type that is activated in-flight and provides information from which a position can be determined; and

the integrated ELT of an ADFR shall satisfy the same requirements as an ELT required to be installed on an aeroplane. The integrated ELT shall at least have the same performance as the fixed ELT to maximise detection of the transmitted signal.

Note: If an integrated ELT of a type that is activated in flight is used within an ADFR it could be a means to comply with requirements in ICAO Annex 6 Part I paragraph 6.18 (Location of an aeroplane in distress).

4.14 Detailed Requirements of Flight Recorders

4.14.1 ICAO Annex 6 Part I Appendix 8 (Sections 1 to 6) shall be complied with.
4.14.2 ICAO Annex 6 Part II Appendix 2.3 (Sections 1 to 5) shall be complied with.
4.14.3 ICAO Annex 6 Part III Appendix 4 (Sections 1 to 5) shall be complied with.

Note 1: Airworthiness Notice No. AN 36F prescribes the inspection of flight recorder systems as stipulated in ICAO Annex 6 Part I Appendix 8 (Section 7), Part II Appendix 2.3 (Section 6) and Part III Appendix 4 (Section 6).

Note 2: Crash-protected flight recorders comprise one or more of the following systems: a flight data recorder (FDR); a cockpit voice recorder (CVR); an airborne image recorder (AIR); a data link recorder (DLR). Image and data link information may be recorded on either the CVR or the FDR.

Note 3: Lightweight flight recorders comprise one or more of the following systems: an aircraft data recording system (ADRS); a cockpit audio recording system (CARS); an airborne image recording system (AIRS); a data link recording system (DLRS). Image and data link information may be recorded on either the CARS or the ADRS.

5 Flight Recorder Data Recovery Requirements

All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 27000 kg and authorised to carry more than nineteen passengers for which the application for type certification is submitted to the Contracting State on or after 1 January 2021, shall be equipped with a means approved by the Director-General, to recover flight recorder data and make it available in a timely manner.

6 Recommendations

6.1 ICAO Annex 6 Part I – Flight Recorders

6.1.1 All aeroplanes of a MTWA over 5700 kg, required to be equipped with an
FDR and a CVR, may alternatively be equipped with two combination recorders (FDR/CVR).

6.1.2 All multi-engined turbine-powered aeroplanes of a MTWA of 5700 kg or less, required to be equipped with an FDR and/or a CVR, may alternatively be equipped with one combination recorder (FDR/CVR).

6.1.3 All turbine-engined aeroplanes of a MTWA of 5700 kg or less for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016 and required to be operated by more than one pilot should be equipped with either a CVR or a CARS.

6.1.4 All multi-engined turbine-engined aeroplanes of a MTWA of 5700 kg or less for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1990 should be equipped with an FDR which should record at least the first 16 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

6.1.5 All turbine-engined aeroplanes, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued on or after 1 January 1987 but before 1 January 1989, with a MTWA of over 5700 kg, except those in 4.2.12 of this Notice, should be equipped with an FDR which should record at least the first 9 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

6.1.6 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2023 shall be equipped with an FDR capable of recording at least the 82 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

6.1.7 All turbine-engined aeroplanes, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued before 1 January 1987, with a MTWA of over 5700 kg up to and including 27000 kg that are of types of which the prototype was certificated by the appropriate national authority after 30 September 1969 should be equipped with a CVR.
6.1.8 With regard to flight crew-machine interface recordings, all aeroplanes of a maximum take-off mass of over 5700 kg, up to and including 27000 kg, for which the application for type certification is submitted to a Contracting State on or after 1 January 2023 should be equipped with a crash-protected flight recorder which should record the information displayed to the flight crew from electronic displays, as well as the operation of switches and selectors by the flight crew, as defined in Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I.

6.1.9 All turbine-engined aeroplanes, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued before 1 January 1987, with a MTWA of over 27000 kg that are of types of which the prototype was certificated by the appropriate national authority after 30 September 1969 should be equipped with an FDR which should record, in addition to the first 5 parameters listed in Table A8-1 of Appendix 8 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part I, such additional parameters as are necessary to meet the objectives of determining:

(a) the attitude of the aeroplane in achieving its flight path; and

(b) the basic forces acting upon the aeroplane resulting in the achieved flight path and the origin of such basic forces.

6.2 ICAO Part II – Flight Recorders

6.2.1 All aeroplanes of a MTWA over 5700 kg, required to be equipped with an FDR and a CVR, may alternatively be equipped with two combination recorders (FDR/CVR).

6.2.2 All turbine-engined aeroplanes with a seating configuration of more than five passenger seats and a MTWA of 5700 kg or less for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016 should be equipped with:

(a) an FDR which should record at least the first 16 parameters in Table A2.3-1 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II; or
(b) a Class C AIR or AIRS which should record at least the flight path and speed parameters displayed to the pilot(s), as defined in 2.2.2 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II.; or
(c) an ADRS which should record at least the first 7 parameters listed in Table A2.3-3 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II.

6.2.3 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2023 should be equipped with an FDR capable of recording at least the 82 parameters listed in Table A2.3-1 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II.

6.2.4 All aeroplanes of a MTWA of over 5700 kg, up to and including 27000 kg, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1989, should be equipped with an FDR which should record at least the first 16 parameters listed in Table A2.3-1 of Appendix 2.3 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part II.

6.2.5 All turbine-engined aeroplanes with a seating configuration of more than five passenger seats and a MTWA of 5700 kg or less for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2016 and required to be operated by more than one pilot should be equipped with either a CVR or a CARS.

6.3 ICAO Part III – Flight Recorders

6.3.1 All helicopters of a MTWA of 3175 kg or less for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2018 should be equipped with:

a) an FDR which should record at least the first 48 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III; or
b) a Class C AIR or AIRS which should record at least the flight path and speed parameters displayed to the pilot(s), as defined in Table A4-3 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III; or
c) an ADRS which should record the first 7 parameters listed in Table A4-3 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.
6.3.2 All helicopters of a MTWA of over 3175 kg, up to and including 7000 kg, for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1989, should be equipped with an FDR which should record at least the first 15 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.

6.3.3 All helicopters of a MTWA of over 3175 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2023 shall be equipped with an FDR capable of recording at least the first 53 parameters listed in Table A4-1 of Appendix 4 – Flight Recorders of ICAO Annex 6 Part III.

6.3.4 All helicopters of a MTWA of over 3175 kg for which the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 1987 should be equipped with a CVR. For helicopters not equipped with an FDR, at least main rotor speed should be recorded on the CVR.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101D Issue 8, dated 31 May 2017, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101E
Issue 3
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

FIRST-AID KITS AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft that required to be equipped with first-aid kits or medical supplies under Article 13 and Schedule 5, Scale A (iii) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2 Introduction

This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires first-aid kits or medical supplies to be equipped.

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in the paragraph 1 of this Notice:

3.1 With a Certificate of Airworthiness issued in Hong Kong before 19 November 2009, shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.1 or 4.2 of this Notice, and, with effect from 19 November 2009, shall also comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.3 of this Notice;

3.2 With a Certificate of Airworthiness issued in Hong Kong on and after 19 November 2009, shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.2 thru 4.4 of this Notice; and

3.3 With effect from 19 November 2011, shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs 4.2 thru 4.4 of this Notice.
4 Requirements

4.1 First-aid kits and medical supplies

Unless otherwise accepted by the Director-General, the first-aid kits and medical supplies required to be carried in accordance with AN(HK)O Schedule 5 Scale A (iii) shall include first aid equipment of good quality, sufficient in quantity, having regard to the number of persons on board the aircraft, and including the following:

- Roller bandages, triangular bandages, adhesive plaster, absorbent gauze, cotton wool (or wound dressings in place of the absorbent gauze and cotton wool), burn dressings, safety pins;
- Haemostatic bandages or tourniquets, scissors;
- Antiseptic, analgesic and stimulant drugs;
- Splints, in case of aeroplanes the maximum total weight authorised of which exceeds 5700kg; and
- A handbook on first aid.

4.2 First-aid kits to be carried

4.2.1 For aeroplanes on commercial air transport operations, one or more first-aid kits shall be carried on board. The number of first-aid kits to be carried shall be appropriate to the Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAPSC</th>
<th>Number of First-aid kits required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 – 200</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 – 300</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 – 400</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 – 500</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 500</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 First-aid kits should be distributed as evenly as practicable throughout the passenger cabins. They should be readily accessible to cabin crew members.

4.2.3 For aeroplanes on general aviation operation, one or more accessible first-aid kits as appropriate to the MAPSC shall be carried on board.

4.2.4 For helicopters, an accessible first-aid kit shall be carried on board.
4.2.5 The following provides guidance on minimum contents of first-aid kits:

- List of contents
- Antiseptic swabs (10/pack)
- Bandage: adhesive strips
- Bandage: gauze 7.5 cm x 4.5 cm
- Bandage: triangular; safety pins
- Dressing: burn 10 cm x 10 cm
- Dressing: compress, sterile 7.5 cm x 12 cm
- Dressing: gauze, sterile 10.4 cm x 10.4 cm
- Tape: adhesive 2.5 cm (roll)
- Steri-strips (or equivalent adhesive strip)
- Hand cleanser or cleansing towelettes
- Pad with shield, or tape, for eye
- *Scissors: 10 cm
- Tape: Adhesive, surgical 1.2 cm x 4.6 m
- Tweezers: splinter
- Disposable gloves (multiple pairs)
- Thermometers (non-mercury)
- Mouth to mouth resuscitation mask with one-way valve
- First-aid manual (current edition)
- Incident record form

Note: The item marked with an asterisk may be kept separately in an appropriate secured location or in a compartment not accessible during flight when no cabin crew is required to be carried.

4.3 Universal precaution kits to be carried

4.3.1 For aeroplanes and helicopters on commercial air transport operations that required to carry cabin crew as part of the operating crew, one universal precaution kit (two for aeroplanes of MAPSC more than 250), shall be carried on board, for the use of cabin crew members in managing incidents of ill health associated with a case of suspected communicable disease, or in the case of illness involving contact with body fluids.

4.3.2 The universal precaution kit should be readily accessible to cabin crew members. If more than one kit are carried, they should be distributed as evenly as practicable throughout the passenger cabins.
4.3.3 The following provides guidance on minimum contents of universal precaution kits:

- Dry powder that can convert small liquid spill into a sterile granulated gel
- Germicidal disinfectant for surface cleaning
- Skin wipes
- Face / eye mask (separate or combined)
- Gloves (disposable)
- Protective apron
- Large absorbent towel
- Pick-up scoop with scraper
- Bio-hazard disposal waste bag
- Instructions

4.4 Medical Kit to be carried

4.4.1 For aeroplanes of MAPSC more than 100, on commercial air transport operations and a sector length of more than two hours, a medical kit shall be carried, for the use of medical doctors or other qualified persons in treating in-flight medical emergencies.

4.4.2 The medical kit, when carried, shall be stored in an appropriate secure location.

4.4.3 The following provides guidance on minimum contents of a medical kit:

(a) Equipment

- List of contents
- Stethoscope
- Sphygmomanometer (electronic preferred)
- Airways, oropharyngeal (3 sizes)
- Syringe (appropriate range of sizes)
- Needles (appropriate range of sizes)
- Intravenous catheters (appropriate range of sizes)
- Antiseptic wipes
- Gloves (disposable)
- Needle disposal box
- Urinary catheter
- System for delivering intravenous fluids
- Venous tourniquet
- Sponge gauze
- Tape – adhesive
- Surgical mask
- Emergency tracheal catheter (or large gauge intravenous cannula)
- Umbilical cord clamp
- Thermometers (non mercury)
- Basic life support cards
- Bag-valve mask
- Flashlight and batteries

(b) Medication

- Epinephrine 1:1000
- Antihistamine – injectable
- Dextrose 50% (or equivalent) – injectable: 50ml
- Nitroglycerin tablets, or spray
- Major analgesic
- Sedative anticonvulsant – injectable
- Antiemetic – injectable
- Bronchial dilator – inhaler
- Atropine – injectable
- Adrenocortical steroid - injectable
- Diuretic – injectable
- Medication for postpartum bleeding
- Sodium chloride 0.9% (minimum 250 ml)
- Acetyl salicylic acid (aspirin) for oral use
- Oral beta blocker
- If a cardiac monitor is available (with or without an automated external defibrillator), carries Epinephrine 1:10000 (can be a dilution of epinephrine 1:1000)

Note: The United Nations Conference for Adoption of a Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs in March 1961 adopted such a Convention, Article 32 of which contains special provisions concerning the carriage of drugs in medical kits of aircraft engaged in international flight.

5 Additional Information

The equipment, handbooks and instructions referred in the paragraph 4 shall not be required to be of a type approved by the Director-General. Instead, the contents of the required first-aid, universal precaution and medical kits shall be acceptable to Director-General.
6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101E, Issue 2, dated 31 July 2009, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

HELI CobIT CABIN CREW SEATS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered helicopters required to carry cabin crew under Article 18 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 as amended.

2 Introduction

This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 as amended for the requirements of installation of the cabin crew seats on helicopters.

3 Compliance

With effect from 16 February 2009, all applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 All helicopters shall be equipped with a forward or rearward facing (within 15 degrees of the longitudinal axis of the helicopter) seat, fitted with a safety harness for the use of each cabin crew member.

4.2 Cabin crew seats shall be located near floor level and other emergency exits for emergency evacuation.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

Norman LO  
Director-General of Civil Aviation

15 February 2009
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

SAFETY HARNESS

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes and helicopters required to be equipped with a safety harness for every pilot’s seat and for any seat situated alongside a pilot’s seat under Article 13 Schedule 5 Scale B of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (hereinafter referred as “the Order”).

2 Introduction

2.1 This Notice is intended to supplement the Order which requires every pilot’s seat and any seat situated alongside a pilot’s seat, a safety belt with one diagonal shoulder strap or a safety harness.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 6 that requires installation of safety harness for each flight crew seat.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and when appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

All applicable aeroplanes and helicopters identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4 Requirements

4.1 Unless otherwise specified in the Order, each flight crew seat shall be equipped with a safety harness.

Note: Safety harness includes shoulder straps and a safety belt which may be used independently.

4.2 For commercial air transport operations and international general aviation operations*, the safety harness for each pilot seat shall incorporate a device which will automatically restrain the occupant’s torso in the event of rapid deceleration.

Note: *For international general aviation operations with:
   a) Aeroplanes with a maximum certificated take-off mass exceeding 5,700 kg; or
   b) Aeroplanes equipped with one or more turbojet engines.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendation

6.1 For commercial air transport operations and international general aviation operations [See Note of 4.2 above], the safety harness for each pilot seat should incorporate a device to prevent a suddenly incapacitated pilot from interfering with the flight controls.

Note 1: This sub-paragraph is applicable to helicopters only when dual controls are fitted.

Note 2: For helicopters, depending on the design, the lock on an inertia reel device may suffice for this purpose.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101G, Issue 1, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

     Simon LI
     Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-101G P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101H
Issue 2
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

AIRBORNE COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM (ACAS)

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered turbine-engined aeroplanes required to be equipped with radio equipment under Article 14, Schedule 6 Scale J of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires Airborne Collision Avoidance System (ACAS).

2.2 Airworthiness Notice No. 24 Issue 6, which was published on 31 July 2011 contains the compliance information for ACAS and Mode S Transponder. This Airworthiness Notice for ACAS and Airworthiness Notice No. 101I for Mode S Transponder replace Airworthiness Notice No. 24 Issue 6. The compliance and requirements of this Airworthiness Notice are same as previous Airworthiness Notice No. 24.

2.3 ICAO Annex 10 Volume IV Chapter 4 defines the term of ACAS which is internationally equivalent to Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System (TCAS). TCAS provides a collision avoidance capacity which operates independently of ground based Air Traffic Control. The system provides aural and visual advisories to the flight crew to take action of potential and predicted collision threats.

2.4 FAA and EASA have released a new Technical Standard Order (TSO) TSO-C119c and ETSO-C119c respectively in corresponding to new standard of TCAS II Version 7.1.
3 Compliance

3.1 All applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice for which the individual Certificate of Airworthiness is first issued in Hong Kong or elsewhere before 1 January 2014 shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraphs 4.1 or 4.2 of this Notice.

3.2 All applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice for which the first Certificate of Airworthiness issued in Hong Kong or elsewhere on or after 1 January 2014 shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4.2 of this Notice.

3.3 With effect from 1 January 2017 all applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraphs 4.2 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 All applicable aeroplanes shall be equipped with TCAS II Version 7.0.

4.2 All applicable aeroplanes shall be equipped with TCAS II Version 7.1 meeting the standards of EASA ETSO-C119c or FAA TSO-C119c or latest changes.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendations

6.1 ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 recommends all commercial air transport aeroplanes should be equipped with TCAS II Version 7.1.

6.2 ICAO Annex 6 Part II Chapter 3 recommends all turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum certificated take-off mass in excess of 15,000 kg, or authorised to carry more than 30 passengers, for which the individual airworthiness certificate is first issued after 24 November 2005, should be equipped with TCAS II Version 7.1.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101H Issue 1, dated 30 January 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI  
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018  
AN-101H P.2
1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes equipped with Airborne Collision Avoidances System (ACAS) under Article 14, Schedule 6 Scale J of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 which requires Airborne Collision Avoidances System (ACAS) on aircraft system based on Secondary Surveillance Radar Transponder signals.

2.2 Airworthiness Notice No. 24 issue 6, which was published on 31 July 2011 contains the compliance information for ACAS and Mode S Transponder. This Airworthiness Notice for Mode S Transponder and Airworthiness Notice No. 101H for ACAS replace Airworthiness Notice No. 24 issue 6. The compliance and requirements of this Airworthiness Notice is same as previous Airworthiness Notice No. 24

2.3 Secondary Surveillance Radar (SSR) interrogation signal to assist air traffic controllers in traffic separation. The SSR interrogate all aircraft within their range, Mode S (Mode Select) establishes selective and addressed interrogations with aircraft within its coverage. Such selective interrogation improves the quality and integrity of the detection, identification and altitude reporting. Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System (TCAS) known as ACAS installed on turbine-engined aeroplane requires the altitude information supplied by Mode S transponder signals that provides aircraft information to the flight crew of potential and predicted collision threats. The TCAS II aircraft must be equipped with Mode S transponder, which provides air to air communications for coordinating the resolution maneuvers between TCAS II
equipped aircraft.

2.4 Mode S transponder provides an airborne/on-the-ground status and data source of pressure-altitude in 7.62 m (25 ft) increments that improve the effectiveness of the TCAS and air traffic services.

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 All TCAS II aeroplanes shall be equipped with Mode S transponder. The Mode S transponder shall be equipped with a data source that provides pressure-altitude information with a resolution of 7.62 m (25 ft), or better.

4.2 Mode S transponder shall be provided with the airborne/on-the-ground status if the aircraft is equipped with an automatic means of detecting such status.

5 Additional Information

ICAO Annex 6 – Operation of aircraft Part I and Part II.

6 Cancellation

This Notice together with Airworthiness Notice No. 101H cancel Airworthiness Notice No. 24 Issue 6, dated 31 July 2011, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 January 2014 AN-1011 P.2
1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with exit markings under Article 46 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (hereinafter referred as “the Order”).

2 Introduction

2.1 This Notice is intended to supplement the Order which requires that an exit shall be marked.

2.2 The requirements for “Exit Identification” were previously prescribed in Airworthiness Notice No. 56, Issue 6. Such requirements are now relocated to this Notice.

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 Exits which are not designated emergency exits shall not be identified by the emergency floor path lighting system.

4.2 The exit shall be positively identifiable to enable a passenger to proceed to it without hesitation in conditions where the exit is either open or closed. All exits likely to be available for use in an emergency shall have exit identifiers.
4.3 Exit identifiers shall be located between 18 inches and 4 feet above the cabin floor level. If it is impracticable to locate exit identifiers between 18 inches and 4 feet above the cabin floor level, exit identifiers shall not be obscured by any loose articles likely to be present in an emergency evacuation by one of the following means:

4.3.1 A placard meeting the bilingual placard requirements under Airworthiness Notice No. 7 shall be installed in the area immediately adjacent to the exit identifier; or

4.3.2 The aircraft flight manual or the cabin crew operating manual (sometimes referred as “flight attendant manual”) shall contain such instructions as cabin crew duties before take-off and before landing.

Note: The option under sub-paragraph 4.3.2 is only applicable to aircraft required to carry cabin crew by article 18(7)(a) of the Order or by its aircraft flight manual.

4.4 Where exit identifiers are mounted on cabin sidewalls, they shall be visible from the aisle with the seat next to the identifier occupied and with curtains, if installed, in stowed position. This takes account of a passenger seated next to an exit being incapacitated (a passenger slumped forward or sideways shall also be considered).

4.5 Overwing exits shall be given additional aisle cues to draw attention to their locations.

4.6 Additional aisle cues shall be provided such that they do not lead occupants into galley or other dead end zones. These aisle cues shall be of a design different from that required by sub-paragraph 4.5 of this Notice.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

29 January 2016 AN-101J P.2
1 Applicability
This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered helicopters.

2 Introduction
2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, Article 13, Schedule 5 paragraph 4(13) and Scale G(v) for the requirements of installation of landing light(s) on helicopters.

2.2 ICAO has published Standards in Part III of Annex 6 that require all helicopters when operating in accordance with VFR at night shall be equipped with landing light(s) as defined in the Standards.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance
With effect from 31 January 2015, all applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement
All helicopters when flying at night under Visual Flight Rules shall be equipped with two landing lights of which at least one is trainable in the vertical plane.
5 Additional Information


Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 July 2014 AN-101K P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION
WEATHER RADAR SYSTEM

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2 Introduction

2.1 The introduction of weather radar system will enhance safety by providing the pilot with weather conditions ahead of the helicopter.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Recommended Practices in Part III of Annex 6 that requires weather radar system to be installed in certain helicopter as defined in the Recommended Practices.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

3.1 All helicopters when carrying passengers operating at night or under instrument meteorological conditions, for which the individual Certificate of Airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 June 2016, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.
4 **Requirement**

4.1 Helicopter shall be equipped with weather radar or other significant-weather detection equipment.

Note: The weather radar or other significant-weather detection equipment shall be operative whenever such helicopter is being operated in areas where thunderstorms or other potentially hazardous weather conditions, regarded as detectable, may be expected to exist along the route. No MEL relief for this equipment is allowed under these weather conditions.

5 **Additional Information**

Nil.

6 **Recommendations**

ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 and Part III Section II Chapter 4 recommend that pressurised aeroplanes and helicopters when carrying passengers should be equipped with operative weather radar whenever such aeroplanes and helicopters are being operated in areas where thunderstorms or other potentially hazardous weather conditions, regarded as detectable with airborne weather radar, may be expected to exist along the route either at night or under instrument meteorological conditions.

7 **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Notice No.101L Issue 1, dated 31 July 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*

8 November 2018 AN-101L P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101M
Issue 2
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION
FORWARD-LOOKING WIND SHEAR WARNING SYSTEM

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered turbo-jet aeroplanes of more than 45,454 kg Maximum Take-off Weight Authorised (MTWA) or with Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC) of more than 19 passengers issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2 Introduction

2.1 The introduction of forward-looking wind shear warning system will enhance safety by providing the pilot with a timely aural and visual warning of wind shear ahead of the aircraft.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Recommended Practices in Part I of Annex 6 that requires forward-looking wind shear warning system to be installed in certain aeroplanes as defined in the Recommended Practices.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

3.1 With effective from 1 January 2016, all applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice for which the first Certificate of Airworthiness issued in Hong Kong on or after 1 January 2016, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.1 or 4.2 of this Notice.
With effective from 1 January 2017, all applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.1 or 4.2 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 Aeroplanes shall equip with a forward-looking wind shear warning system which shall be capable of providing the pilot with a timely aural and visual warning of wind shear ahead of the aircraft, and the information required to permit the pilot to safely commence and continue a missed approach or go-around or to execute an escape manoeuvre if necessary. The system shall also provide an indication to the pilot when the limits specified for the certification of automatic landing equipment are being approached, when such equipment is in use.

4.2 Aeroplanes shall equip a forward-looking wind shear warning system meeting the standards of RTCA DO-220.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendation

ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 recommends all turbo-jet aeroplanes of more than 5,700 kg MTWA or with MAPSC of more than 9 passengers should be equipped with a forward-looking wind shear warning system.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No.101M Issue 1, dated 5 December 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI

Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-101M P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101P
Issue 1
29 January 2016

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

UNDERWATER LOCATING DEVICE

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes of MTWA of over 27,000 kg on long-range over-water flights and issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category.

2 Introduction

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Standards of paragraph 6.5.3 of Chapter 6 of Annex 6 Part I that require an underwater locating device to be installed in certain aircraft as defined in the Standards.

2.2 For the purpose of this Airworthiness Notice, long-range over-water flight means a flight over a route on which the aeroplane may be over water and at more than a distance corresponding to:

(a) 120 minutes at cruising speed or 740 km (400 NM), whichever is the lesser, away from land suitable for making an emergency landing in the case of aircraft operated in accordance with:

(i) En route – one engine inoperative. The aeroplane shall be able, in the event of the critical engine becoming inoperative at any point along the route or planned diversions therefrom, to continue the flight to an aerodrome at which the Standards of paragraph 2.3 of this Notice can be met without flying below the minimum flight altitude at any point, or;

(ii) En route – two engines inoperative. In the case of aeroplanes having three or more engines, on any part of a route where the location of en-route alternate aerodrome and the total duration of the flight are such that the probability of a second engine becoming inoperative must be allowed for if the general level of safety implied by the Standards of Chapter 5 of ICAO Annex 6
Part I is to be maintained, the aeroplane shall be able, in the event of any two engines becoming inoperative, to continue the flight to an en-route alternate aerodrome and land; and

(b) 30 minutes or 185 km (100 NM), whichever is the lesser, for all other aeroplanes.

2.3 **Landing.** The aeroplane shall, at the aerodrome of intended landing and at any alternate aerodrome, after clearing all obstacles in the approach path by a safe margin, be able to land, with assurance that it can come to a stop or, for a seaplane, to a satisfactorily low speed, within the landing distance available. Allowance shall be made for expected variations in the approach and landing techniques, if such allowance has not been made in the scheduling of performance data.

3 **Compliance**

With effect from 1 January 2018, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 **Requirements**

4.1 All aircraft shall have a securely attached underwater locating device operating at a frequency of 8.8 kHz.

4.2 The automatically activated underwater locating device shall operate for a minimum of 30 days and shall not be installed in wings or empennage.

4.3 The performance requirements of underwater locating device shall meet the standards of FAA TSO-C200 or EASA ETSO-C200, or with later changes.

5 **Additional Information**

Reserved.

**Norman LO**  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*

29 January 2016  AN-101P P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA
Airworthiness Notice

No. 101Q
Issue 3
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

HELICOPTER LIFERAFT INSTALLATION

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered helicopters with individual Certificate of Airworthiness first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 1991.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, Article 13 Schedule 5, paragraphs 4(13)(a)(iv) and 4(13)(b)(v) and also Scale K(i) for the requirements of installation of liferaft(s) on helicopters.

2.2 ICAO has published Standards in Annex 6 Part III Section II (International Commercial Air Transport) paragraph 4.5 that when flying over water

2.2.1 all helicopters operating in performance Class 1 or 2 in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.5.1 of Annex 6 Part III Section II; and

2.2.2 all helicopters operating in performance Class 3 when operating beyond the distance specified in paragraph 4.5.2.2 of Annex 6 Part III Section II,

shall be equipped with liferaft.

2.3 ICAO has published Standards in Annex 6 Part III Section III (International General Aviation) paragraph 4.3 that helicopters operating over water in accordance with the provisions of 4.3.1 shall be equipped with, when not precluded by consideration related to the type of helicopter used, liferaft in sufficient numbers to carry all persons on board.
2.4 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

3.1 All applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 and operating in performance Class 1, 2 or 3 and on commercial air transport flights which are intended to fly over water at a distance from land corresponding to more than 10 minutes at normal cruise speed shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

3.2 All applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 and operating in performance Class 1 or 2 and on general aviation flights which are intended to fly over water at a distance from land corresponding to more than 10 minutes at normal cruise speed shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

3.3 All applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 and operating in performance Class 3 and on general aviation flights which are intended to fly over water at a distance beyond autorotational or safe forced landing distance from land shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

Helicopters shall be equipped with:

(a) liferaft(s) in sufficient numbers to carry all persons on board, stowed so as to facilitate their ready use in emergency, provided with such life-saving equipment including means of sustaining life as is appropriate to the flight to be undertaken; and

(b) when two liferafts are fitted, each shall be able to carry all occupants in the overload state.

Note: The liferaft overload state should have a design safety margin of 1.5 times the maximum capacity.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

8 November 2018 AN-101Q P.2
6 Recommendations

6.1 ICAO has published Recommended Practices in Annex 6 Part III, Section II paragraphs 4.5.2.6 and 4.5.2.7 and Section III paragraphs 4.3.2.4 and 4.3.2.5, which define the requirements of liferaft deployment.

6.1.1 All applicable helicopters as identified in paragraph 1 and operating on commercial air transport operations, at least 50% of the liferafts carried in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.5.2 of Annex 6 Part III Section II should be deployable by remote control. Liferafts which are not deployable by remote control and which have a mass of more than 40 kg should be equipped with some means of mechanically assisted deployment.

6.1.2 All applicable helicopters as identified in paragraph 1 and on general aviation operations, at least 50% of the liferafts carried in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.3.2 of Annex 6 Part III Section III should be deployable by remote control. Liferafts which are not deployable by remote control and which have a mass of more than 40 kg should be equipped with some means of mechanically assisted deployment.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 101Q Issue 2, dated 28 February 2017, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

AN-101Q P.3 8 November 2018
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT  
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101R  
Issue 1  
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

SURVIVAL SUIT

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered helicopters required to carry survival suits under Article 13 Schedule 5 Scale I of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (hereinafter referred as “the Order”).

2 Introduction

2.1 Article 13(3) of the Order stipulated that in any particular case the Chief Executive may direct that an aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall carry such additional or special equipment or supplies as he may specify for the purpose of facilitating the navigation of the aircraft, the carrying out of search and rescue operations, or the survival of the persons carried in the aircraft.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Standards and Recommended Practices in Part III of Annex 6 with regard to wearing of integrated survival suit.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

All applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4 Requirements

4.1 Pursuant to Schedule 5 Scale I of the Order, when flying for the purpose of public transport, a helicopter classified in its certificate of airworthiness as being of performance group A2 which is intended to fly beyond 10 minutes flying time from land, or which actually flies beyond 10 minutes flying time from land on a flight which is either in support of or in connection with the offshore exploitation, or exploration of mineral resources (including gas) when the weather report or forecasts available to the pilot in command of the aircraft indicate that the sea temperature will be less than plus 10°C during the flight or when any part of the flight is at night shall be equipped with a survival suit for each member of the crew.

4.2 Pursuant to Article 33A of the Order, each member of the crew of an aircraft registered in Hong Kong shall wear a survival suit if such a suit is required by Article 13 of this Order to be carried.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendation

ICAO Annex 6 Part III Section II Chapter 4 recommends that for helicopters on offshore operations, a survival suit should be worn by all occupants when the sea temperature is less than 10°C or when the estimated rescue time exceeds the calculated survival time. When the elevation and strength of the sun results in a high temperature hazard on the flight deck, consideration should be given to alleviating the flight crew from this recommendation.

Note: When establishing rescue time, the sea state and the ambient light conditions should be taken into consideration.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 101S
Issue 1
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

OXYGEN DISPENSING UNITS AND SUPPLY

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category and operated under the terms of an Air Operator’s Certificate for international commercial air transport operations where the operating atmospheric pressure is less than 376 hectopascals.

2 Introduction

2.1 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 Paragraphs 6.7.5 and 6.7.6 respectively for the requirement on oxygen dispensing units for an aeroplane intended to be operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is less than 376 hPa.

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

2.3 The oxygen supply and duration requirements are defined in Schedule 5, Scale L1 and/or L2 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

3 Compliance

All applicable aeroplane identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4 Requirement

4.1 A flight to be operated with a pressurised aeroplane shall not be commenced unless a sufficient quantity of stored breathing oxygen is carried to supply all the crew members and passengers, as is appropriate to the circumstances of the flight being undertaken, in the event of loss of pressurisation, for any period that the atmospheric pressure in any compartment occupied by them would be less than 700 hPa. In addition, when an aeroplane is operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is less than 376 hPa, or which, if operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is more than 376 hPa and cannot descend safely within four minutes to a flight altitude at which the atmospheric pressure is equal to 620 hPa, there shall be no less than a 10-minute supply for the occupants of the passenger compartment.

Note: The oxygen supply and duration are defined in Schedule 5, Scale L1 and/or L2 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 for pressurised aeroplane.

4.2 An aeroplane intended to be operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is less than 376 hPa, or which, if operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is more than 376 hPa, cannot descend safely within four minutes to a flight altitude at which the atmospheric pressure is equal to 620 hPa and for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued on or after 9 November 1998, shall be provided with automatically deployable oxygen equipment to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 4.1. The total number of oxygen dispensing units shall exceed the number of passenger and cabin crew seats by at least 10 per cent.

Note: Approximate altitude in the Standard Atmosphere corresponding to the value of absolute pressure used in this text is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute pressure</th>
<th>Metres</th>
<th>Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700 hPa</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 hPa</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>13000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376 hPa</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Additional Information

Nil.
6 Recommendation

ICAO Annex 6 Part I Chapter 6 recommends that an aeroplane intended to be operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is less than 376 hPa, or which, if operated at flight altitudes at which the atmospheric pressure is more than 376 hPa cannot descend safely within four minutes to a flight altitude at which the atmospheric pressure is equal to 620 hPa, and for which the individual certificate of airworthiness was first issued before 9 November 1998, should be provided with automatically deployable oxygen equipment to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 4.1. The total number of oxygen dispensing units should exceed the number of passenger and cabin crew seats by at least 10 per cent.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
This Notice gives details of a mandatory action

Lifejacket for single-engined aeroplane flying over water

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered single-engined aeroplanes when flying for the purposes other than public transport and over water beyond gliding distance from land.

2 Introduction

2.1 Hong Kong registered single-engined aeroplanes when flying for the purposes other than public transport and over water beyond gliding distance from land are required to carry lifejackets equipped with a whistle and waterproof torch under Article 13, Schedule 5, Scale H of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published a Recommendation in Annex 6 Part II Chapter 2 Paragraph 2.4.4.2 for the requirements on life jacket or individual floatation device on all single-engined landplanes.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

All applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4 Requirement

All Hong Kong registered single-engined aeroplanes are required to carry lifejackets equipped with a whistle and waterproof torch under Article 13, Schedule 5, Scale H of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendation

ICAO Annex 6 Part II Chapter 2 recommends all single-engined landplanes when taking off or landing at an aerodrome where, in the opinion of the pilot-in-command, the take-off or approach path is so disposed over water that in the event of a mishap there would be a likelihood of a ditching should carry one life jacket or equivalent individual floatation device for each person on board, stowed in a position easily accessible from the seat or berth of the person for whose use it is provided.

Note: “Landplanes” includes amphibians operated as landplanes.

Simon LI

Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-101T P.2
This Notice gives details of a mandatory action

Equipment Certification Requirements for Operational Approval

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft obtaining operational approvals, such as, PBN, RVSM, EFB, ADS-B, etc.

2 Introduction

2.1 The purpose of this Notice is to provide information on the equipment certification requirements for obtaining operational approvals.

2.2 The required equipment though not a mandatory requirement to all Hong Kong registered aircraft, however, will constitute as part of the requirements to support the grant of the associated operational approval.

3 Compliance

3.1 With effect from 1 March 2011, all applicable equipment identified in this Notice for the application of operational approval shall comply with the requirements stated in paragraph 4.

4 Requirement

4.1 Airworthiness Specification

Equipment shall meet one of the following airworthiness specifications:

4.1.1 CAD Hong Kong Technical Standard Order (HTSO)
4.1.2 EASA Technical Standard Order (ETSO)
4.1.3 JAA Technical Standard Order (JTSO)
4.1.4 FAA Technical Standard Order (TSO)
4.1.5 Other means approved by the Director-General

4.2 Associated Airworthiness Notices

In addition to this Notice, equipment shall comply with the applicable associated Airworthiness Notices 102 Series such as AN 102A, 102B, 102C, and etc.

4.3 Installation Requirements

Installation of equipment is not covered under the airworthiness specifications.

Installation of equipment shall be approved in accordance with the requirements of HKAR-1 or HKAR-21.

4.4 Operational Requirements

Compliance with the design requirements and standards mentioned in this Notice does not constitute an operational approval.

Operational approval shall be approved in accordance with the requirements of CAD 360.

5 Additional Information

(Reserved)

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

28 February 2011 AN-102 P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

REDUCED VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMA (RVSM) OPERATIONS

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness to fly in the reduced vertical separation minima operations airspace where a RVSM of 300 m (1000 ft) is applied between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive.

2. Introduction

2.1 The purpose of this notice is to provide the aircraft operators the CAD acceptance criteria to grant RVSM operational approval related to airworthiness requirement.

2.2 RVSM operations commenced in the North Atlantic airspace on 27 March 1997. They began in European airspace on 24 January 2002 and in the UK airspace on 20 April 2001. In addition, RVSM operations in the Pacific Region began in February 2000 with operations in the Caribbean Region to follow. RVSM operations in Hong Kong airspace began in October 2002.

2.3 International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Annex 6 Part I and II specify the standards for flight operation in the RVSM airspaces.

2.4 ICAO Guidance Material, Document No 9574 and JAA Administrative & Guidance Material Section One, General Part 3, Temporary Guidance Leaflet No. 6 should be used as references for the application of the RVSM operational approval.
3. **Compliance**

An aeroplane identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in the paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4. **Requirement**

   4.1 An aeroplane shall be provided with equipment which is capable of:

   a) indicating to the flight crew the flight level being flown;
   b) automatically maintaining a selected flight level;
   c) providing an alert to the flight crew when a deviation occurs from the selected flight level. The threshold for the alert shall not exceed ± 90 m (300 ft); and
   d) automatically reporting pressure-altitude.

   4.2 An aeroplane shall demonstrate a vertical navigation performance in accordance with ICAO Annex 6 Part I Appendix 4 or Part II Appendix 2.2.

5. **Additional Information**

   Operational approval shall be obtained before aircraft operates in RVSM airspace. Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute an operational approval. Application Form DCA4040 is available in CAD website www.cad.gov.hk.

6. **Cancellation**

   This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 23 Issue 1, dated 15 February 2009, which should be destroyed.

   **Norman LO**  
   *Director-General of Civil Aviation*

   31 December 2015  AN-102A P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

LOW VISIBILITY OPERATIONS
(LVO)

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and required to obtain Low Visibility Operations (LVO) operational approvals.

2. Introduction

An instrument approach and landing using precision lateral and vertical guidance is classified as Category I, category II and Category III determined by the decision height and the visibility of the operation. The purpose of this Notice is to specify the airworthiness requirements of Hong Kong registered aeroplanes to obtain Category II and Category III LVO operational approvals.

3. Compliance

Applicable aircraft operating LVO takeoffs, approaches and/or landings has to be approved by the Director-General. For the application of LVO Category (CAT) II / III operational approval, the aircraft equipages shall comply with the requirements stated in the applicable sub-paragraphs of paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4. Requirements

4.1 Category II
The airworthiness requirements are specified in JAR-AWO / EASA CS-AWO Subpart 2 or FAA AC 120-29A or equivalent.

4.2 Category III
The airworthiness requirements are specified in JAR-AWO / EASA CS-AWO Subpart 3 or FAA AC 120-28D or equivalent.

5. Additional Information

Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute an operational approval. The assessment criteria to the operational approvals will be based on CAD 359. A Job Aids for the operational approval application is provided in CAD 359 available at http://www.cad.gov.hk/english/flightstandards.html.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

29 January 2016

AN-102B P.2
1. **Applicability**

   This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and required to obtain EFB operational approvals.

2. **Introduction**

   2.1 The purpose of this Notice is to specify the airworthiness requirements of an aircraft to obtain EFB operational approval.

   2.2 EFB is a system comprising hardware and software that provides:

      2.2.1 Flight Crew access to emerging electronic flight operations data, general purpose computing and communications. Similar application may be found available to the cabin in some cases.

      2.2.2 Replacement of many of today’s paper documents.

      2.2.3 A range of implementations spanning portable electronic devices up to installed certified integrated systems.

   2.3 EFBs can be either portable or installed.

      2.3.1 A portable EFB is a portable EFB host platform, used on the flight deck, which is not part of the certified aircraft configuration.

      2.3.2 An installed EFB is an EFB host platform installed in the aircraft and considered as an aircraft part with installation covered by the aircraft airworthiness approval.
3. Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice, when using portable EFB hardware, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.2 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice, when using installed EFB resources, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.2 of this Notice.

4. Requirements

4.1 The aircraft shall be equipped with portable EFB hardware meeting the requirements of CAD 562 Section 2.3.

4.2 The aircraft shall be equipped with installed EFB resources meeting the requirements of CAD 562 Section 2.4.

5. Additional Information

5.1 EFB Operational Approval

Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute an operational approval. Depending on types of operation, the assessment criteria to the approvals will be based on CAD 562. Operator shall apply to CAD Flight Standards Office for approval to use EFB. Application form is included in CAD 562 Attachment 6.

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation

16 May 2016

AN-102C P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

EXTENDED DIVERSION TIME OPERATIONS (EDTO)

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes with two or more engines issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and required to obtain a specific approval for EDTO, which will be evidenced by a Permission specifically related to each operation.

2. Introduction

2.1 The purpose of this Notice is to specify the equipment certification requirements of an aeroplane to obtain a specific approval for EDTO.

2.2 Unless the operation has been specifically endorsed by the Director-General in the form of Permission, an aeroplane with two or more engines shall not be operated on a route where the diversion time to an en-route alternate aerodrome from any point on the route, calculated in International Standard Atmosphere (ISA) conditions and still-air conditions at the one-engine-inoperative (OEI) cruise speed for twin-engined aeroplane and at the all-engines-operative (AEO) cruise speed for aeroplane with more than two engines, exceeds a threshold time established for such operation. When the diversion time exceeds the threshold time, the operation is considered to be an EDTO.

2.2.1 The threshold time for twin-engined aeroplane which is not limited by the Certificate of Airworthiness to the carriage of less than 20 passengers [AN(HK)O Schedule 15 Regulation 4(5)] is 60 minutes.

Note: See also sub-paragraph 5.1 for twin-engined business jet.

2.2.2 The threshold time for aeroplane with more than two engines is 180 minutes.
3. **Compliance**

3.1 For twin-engined aeroplane, the aeroplane shall comply with the equipment certification requirements in sub-paragraph 4.1 or 4.2 respectively of this Notice.

3.2 For aeroplane with more than two engines, the aeroplane shall comply with the equipment certification requirements in sub-paragraph 4.3 or 4.4 respectively of this Notice.

4. **Requirements**

4.1 For twin-engined aeroplane with up to 180 minutes diversion time, the maximum diversion time as specified in the Type Certificate Data Sheet (TCDS) / Aircraft Flight Manual (AFM) / Configuration Maintenance Procedures (CMP) accordingly.

4.2 For twin-engined aeroplane with any extension beyond 180 minutes diversion time, in addition to the maximum diversion time as specified in the Type Certificate Data Sheet (TCDS) / Aircraft Flight Manual (AFM) / Configuration Maintenance Procedures (CMP), additional compliance with the airworthiness certification requirements specified in FAA AC 120-42B Appendix 2, where appropriate.

4.3 For aeroplane with more than two engines with up to 180 minutes diversion time, there are no additional EDTO airworthiness certification requirements.

4.4 For aeroplane with more than two engines with any extension beyond 180 minutes, additional compliance with the airworthiness certification requirements specified in FAA AC 120-42B Appendix 2, where appropriate.

5. **Additional Information**

5.1 EDTO for twin-engined business jet

Operators wishing to operate twin-engined aeroplane for more than 90 minutes flying time in still air at the all power units economical cruising speed from a suitable en-route alternate aerodrome and which are limited by the certificate of airworthiness to the carriage of less than 20 passengers, shall submit their proposed procedures together with a safety assessment of the aeroplane one engine inoperative capability to the Flight Standards Office of the CAD, requesting an exemption to the AN(HK)O Schedule 15 Regulation 4(5).
6. **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 102D, Issue 1, dated 31 October 2016, which should be destroyed.

**Captain Victor LIU**

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 102E
Issue 1
28 February 2011

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

PERFORMANCE BASED NAVIGATION (PBN)

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes of more than 5700 kg MTWA issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and require to obtain PBN operational approvals.

2. Introduction

2.1 The continuing growth of aviation increases demands on airspace capacity therefore emphasizing the need for optimum utilization of available airspace. Improved operational efficiency derived from the application of area navigation techniques has resulted in the development of navigation applications in various regions worldwide and for all phases of flight.

2.2 Requirements for navigation applications on specific routes or within a specific airspace must be defined in a clear and concise manner. This is to ensure that the flight crew and the air traffic controllers are aware of the on-board area navigation system capabilities in order to determine if the performance of the system is appropriate for the specific airspace requirements.

2.3 A set of aircraft and aircrew requirements is needed to support PBN operations within a defined airspace. There are two kinds of navigation specification, area navigation (RNAV) and required navigation performance (RNP), as defined by ICAO Document Doc 9613.

2.4 A navigation specification that includes a requirement for on-board navigation performance monitoring and alerting is referred to as an RNP specification. One not having such requirements is referred to as an RNAV specification. An area navigation system capable of achieving the performance requirement of an RNP specification is referred to as an RNP system.
2.5 Although RNAV and RNP systems are similar, the fundamental difference between the two systems is that RNP operations require Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) as a primary navigation sensor while GNSS is optional for RNAV operations.

2.6 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to provide information on equipages for obtaining PBN operational approvals. Compliance with the equipage requirements mentioned in this Notice does not constitute an operational approval.

3. Compliance

3.1 Applicable aircraft operating into the specific airspace has to be approved by the Director-General of Civil Aviation. For the application of different PBN operational approvals, the aircraft equipages shall comply with the requirements stated in the applicable sub-paragraphs of paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4. Requirements

4.1 RNAV 10
RNAV equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part B Chapter 1 paragraph 1.3.4.

4.2 RNAV 5
RNAV equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part B Chapter 2 paragraph 2.3.3.

4.3 RNAV 1 and RNAV 2
RNAV equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part B Chapter 3 paragraph 3.3.3.

4.4 RNP 4
RNP equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part C Chapter 1 paragraph 1.3.3.

4.5 Basic-RNP 1
RNP equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part C Chapter 3 paragraph 3.3.3.

4.6 RNP APCH
RNP equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part C Chapter 5 paragraph 5.3.3.
4.7 RNP AR APCH
RNP equipages comply with ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 Part C Chapter 6 paragraph 6.3.3.

5. Additional Information

5.1 PBN Operational Approvals
The assessment criteria to the approvals will be based on ICAO Document Doc 9613 PBN Manual Volume 2 or equivalent standards. Application forms and job aids are available in website www.cad.gov.hk.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

AUTOMATIC DEPENDENT SURVEILLANCE BROADCAST (ADS-B) OUT

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness.

2. Introduction

2.1 Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B) is a surveillance system that uses Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS), aircraft avionics, and ground infrastructures to accurately and quickly transmit flight information between aircraft and Air Traffic Services or between aircraft and aircraft.

2.2 ADS-B is a technology that enables the automatic broadcast of aircraft identity, present position, altitude, and other parameters. These transmissions are made at half-second intervals on a line-of-sight radio datalink. The position and altitude are derived from a GNSS receiver and pressure altitude encoder respectively carried onboard the aircraft.

2.3 ADS-B is now being introduced around the world. Numerous trials have proven the potential and benefit of ADS-B. ADS-B can be used to support the application of 5 NM separation minimum by ATC for enroute and terminal operations as good as radar. ADS-B could also support 3 NM approach separation as per ICAO Circular 326 ‘Assessment of ADS-B and Multilateration Surveillance to Support Air Traffic Services and Guidelines for Implementation’.
2.4 The ICAO Asia-Pacific Air Navigation Planning and Implementation Regional Group (APANPIRG) has decided to use the 1090 MHz (Mode S) Extended Squitter datalink for automated aircraft reports in the Asia-Pacific Region. ICAO has also issued a number of technical and operational standards to support its introduction.

NOTE: Details of the implementations of ADS-B services in Hong Kong Flight Information Region (FIR) can be obtained from the Aeronautical Information Publication published by the Hong Kong Civil Aviation Department.

3. Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice, flying within Hong Kong FIR at or above FL 290, shall be installed with ADS-B equipages complying with the requirements in paragraph 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3 of this Notice with effect from 8 December 2016.

3.2 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice with MTWA exceeding 5,700 kg or having a maximum cruising true airspeed capability greater than 250 knots and,

   3.2.1 the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 8 June 2018 shall be installed with ADS-B equipages complying with the requirements in paragraph 4.3 of this Notice with effect from 8 June 2018,

   3.2.2 the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) before 8 June 2018 shall be installed with ADS-B equipages complying with the requirements in paragraph 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3 of this Notice with effect from 31 January 2023.

3.3 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice with MTWA not exceeding 5,700 kg and,

   3.3.1 the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 8 June 2018 shall be installed with ADS-B equipages complying with the requirements in paragraph 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3 of this Notice with effect from 8 June 2018,
3.3.2 the individual certificate of airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) before 8 June 2018 shall be installed with ADS-B equipages complying with the requirements in paragraph 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3 of this Notice with effect from 31 January 2023.

3.4 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice installed with ADS-B equipages not complying with the requirements of paragraph 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3 shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4.4 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 ADS-B equipages comply with ‘RTCA DO-260 Minimum Operational Performance Standards’, which is equivalent to ES Version 0 as specified in ICAO Annex 10, Volume IV, Chapter 3, Paragraph 3.1.2.8.6 and Chapter 2 of ICAO Doc 9871.

4.2 ADS-B equipages comply with ‘RTCA DO-260A Minimum Operational Performance Standards’, which is equivalent to ES Version 1 as specified in ICAO Annex 10, Volume IV, Chapter 3, Paragraph 3.1.2.8.6 and Chapter 3 of ICAO Doc 9871.

4.3 ADS-B equipages comply with ‘RTCA DO-260B Minimum Operational Performance Standards’, which is equivalent to ES Version 2 as specified in Chapter 4 of ICAO Doc 9871.

NOTE: To harmonise the ADS-B equipages, APANPIRG suggested to standardise the 1090 MHz ADS-B Out equipage requirements. The followings are the acceptable means of compliance to paragraphs 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3 for 1090 MHz ADS-B Out equipages.

| (a) | The ADS-B equipages that have been certified as meeting the European Union Aviation Safety Agency - Certification Considerations for the Enhanced ATS in Non-Radar Areas using ADS-B Surveillance (ADS-B-NRA) Application via 1090 MHZ Extended Squitter (AMC 20-24), or |
| (b) | The ADS-B equipages that have been certified as meeting the European Union Aviation Safety Agency – Certification Specifications and Acceptable Means of Compliance for Airborne Communications, Navigation and Surveillance (CS-ACNS) Subpart D – Surveillance (SUR) (CS-ACNS.D.ADS-B), or |
| (c) | The ADS-B equipages that have been certificated as meeting the Federal Aviation Administration – Advisory Circular No. 20-165A (or later versions) Airworthiness Approval of Automatic Dependent Surveillance – Broadcast (ADS-B) Out Systems, or |
| (d) | The ADS-B equipages that meet the equipment configuration standards in Appendix XI of Civil Aviation Order 20.18 of the Civil Aviation Safety Authority of Australia. |
4.4 ADS-B equipages shall be:

(a) deactivated; or

(b) set to transmit only a value of zero for the Navigation Uncertainty Category (NUCₚ) or Navigation Integrity Category (NIC) or Navigation Accuracy Category (NAC) or Source Integrity Level (SIL).

5 Additional Information

5.1 GNSS Receiver

As Selective Availability (SA) aware and Fault Detection and Exclusion (FDE) functionalities will improve accuracy and integrity to the position source of ADS-B, the forward fitment of these functionalities to new aircraft is highly recommended.

5.2 Operational Approval

Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute an operational approval. Operational approval may be required by other States. Operators requiring CAD operational approval shall submit the application form (DCA 4042) to the Director-General. EASA Acceptable Means of Compliance AMC 20-24 shall be used as the reference acceptance criteria for granting the approval by the Director-General.


6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 102F Issue 7, dated 31 January 2018, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI  
Director-General of Civil Aviation

28 June 2019  AN-102F P.4
1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and required to obtain GLS approach operational approvals.

2. Introduction

2.1 The purpose of this Notice is to specify the airworthiness requirements of an aircraft to obtain GLS approach operational approvals.

2.2 The Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Landing System is a precision approach and landing systems. To support precision approach operations, the GPS data received by the aircraft is corrected by an augmentation system. There are three augmentation systems defined in ICAO Annex 10: the aircraft-based augmentation system (ABAS); the satellite-based augmentation system (SBAS); and the ground-based augmentation system (GBAS).

2.3 GBAS Landing System (GLS) uses monitoring stations at airports to process signals from core constellations and broadcast corrections and approach path data to support precision approach operations.

2.4 GBAS as currently specified in ICAO Annex 10 is based on a single frequency band and provides Category (CAT) I approach capability. In future, the evolution of GBAS will extend the system to take advantage of multiple
frequencies and multiple constellations to enable operations to lower minima, ultimately to CAT IIIB.

3. **Compliance**

3.1 Applicable aircraft operating GLS approaches has to be approved by the Director-General. For the application of GLS approach Category (CAT) I operational approval, the aircraft equipages shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of this Notice.

4. **Requirements**

4.1 All applicable aircraft shall equip with GLS equipages meeting the standards of FAA TSO-C161 or EASA ETSO-C161, or with later changes.

4.2 All applicable aircraft shall equip with GLS equipages which are able to accept data from GBAS system under the standard of FAA TSO-C162 or EASA ETSO-C162, or with later changes.

5. **Additional Information**

5.1 TSO/ETSO Standard

   a. FAA TSO-C161 or EASA ETSO-C161, ‘Ground Based Augmentation System Positioning and Navigation Equipment’, defines an acceptable standard for GPS/GBAS equipment that provides precision approach capability and position/velocity/time (PVT) information to navigation management equipment.

   b. FAA TSO-C162 or EASA ETSO-C162, ‘Ground Based Augmentation System Very High Frequency Data Broadcast Equipment’, defines an acceptable standard for GPS/GBAS equipment designed to receive a very high frequency data broadcast (VDB) and output the VDB messages to GPS/GBAS positioning and navigation equipment.

5.2 Operational Approval

Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute an operational approval. The assessment criteria to the operational

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

HEAD-UP DISPLAYS (HUD) AND ENHANCED VISION SYSTEMS (EVS)

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and required to obtain HUD/EVS specific approval for operational credits.

2. Introduction

2.1 HUD and EVS are installed and operated to reduce workload, improve guidance, reduce flight technical error and enhance situational awareness and/or obtain operational credits.

2.2 The HUD can improve situational awareness by combining flight information located on head-down displays with the external view to provide pilots with more immediate awareness of relevant flight parameters and situation information while they continuously view the external scene.

2.3 EVS presents a real-time electronic image of the external scene through the use of image sensors. This information could be displayed on a head-up and/or head-down display.

2.4 The purpose of this notice is to provide aircraft operators the CAD acceptance criteria to grant HUD/EVS specific approval related to airworthiness requirement.
3. **Compliance**

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraphs 4.1 or 4.2 of this Notice.

4. **Requirement**

4.1 The HUD and/or EVS have been approved in the related Aircraft Flight Manual or its supplement.

4.2 Aircraft shall be equipped with HUD and/or EVS equipment as specified in paragraphs 1.1 and 2.1 of the Attachment H of ICAO Annex 6 Part I, and the installations of these equipment are approved under HKAR-21 process.

5. **Additional Information**

Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute a specific approval. The assessment criteria to the specific approval will be based on CAD 360 Part One Chapter 4 or CAD 361. Operator shall apply to CAD Flight Standards Office for the specific approval.

6. **Cancellation**

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 102H Issue 1, dated 31 December 2015, which should be destroyed.

---

Simon LI  
*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 102I
Issue 1
29 September 2017

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

PERFORMANCE-BASED COMMUNICATION AND SURVEILLANCE (PBCS)

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness and required to obtain PBCS operational approvals.

2. Introduction

2.1 The PBCS concept provides a framework to apply required communication performance (RCP) and required surveillance performance (RSP) specifications to ensure acceptable levels of communication and surveillance capabilities and performance of an operational system. The PBCS affects air traffic services and the aircraft operator, including associated aircraft equipage.

2.2 The PBCS concept is also intended to characterise the communication and surveillance capability, as well as its performance, through RCP and RSP specifications and ensure that systems meet these specifications.

2.3 The aircraft manufacturer or equipment supplier should demonstrate that the aircraft meets the RCP/RSP integrity criteria and associated safety requirements.

2.4 ICAO Annex 6 Parts I, II and III stipulate that operator shall meet the requirements prescribed in the RCP and RSP specifications for flight operations where a RCP/RSP specification for PBCS is prescribed. Operator is required to obtain approval for such flight operations.

2.5 The purpose of this Notice is to specify the airworthiness requirements of an aircraft to obtain PBCS operational approvals.

AN-102I P.1
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3. **Compliance**

All applicable aircraft operating into the RCP/RSP specific airspace has to be approved by the Director-General of Civil Aviation. For the application of different PBCS operational approvals, the aircraft equipages shall comply with the requirements stated in the applicable sub-paragraphs of paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 **Requirements**

4.1 **RCP 240**
RCP equipages comply with Appendix B Section 2 of ICAO Document Doc 9869 PBCS Manual.

4.2 **RCP 400**
RCP equipages comply with Appendix B Section 3 of ICAO Document Doc 9869 PBCS Manual.

4.3 **RSP 180**
RSP equipages comply with Appendix C Section 2 of ICAO Document Doc 9869 PBCS Manual.

4.4 **RSP 400**
RSP equipages comply with Appendix C Section 3 of ICAO Document Doc 9869 PBCS Manual.

**NOTE:** For a FANS 1/A CPDLC and ADS-C aircraft system, RTCA DO-306/EUROCAE ED-122 is equivalent to RCP 240, RCP 400, RSP 180 and RSP 400 specifications.

5 **Additional Information**

Compliance with the design requirements and standards of this Notice does not constitute an operational approval. Operational approval may be required by other States. Operators requiring CAD operational approval shall submit the application in writing to the Director-General. The assessment criteria to the approvals will be based on ICAO Document Doc 9869 PBCS Manual or equivalent standards.

**Simon LI**  
_Director-General of Civil Aviation_

29 September 2017  AN-102I P.2
1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes, fitted with Centre Wing Fuel Tank having a fleet average flammability exposure level exceeding 7%, of which the individual Certificate of Airworthiness was first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 February 2012.

2. Introduction

2.1. This notice provides information pertaining to the introduction of Flammability Reduction Means for preventing the development of flammable air / fuel vapour mixtures within specific fuel tanks for new production aeroplanes.

2.2. The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) investigation of the Boeing 747-131 accident on 17 July 1996 (Trans World Airlines Flight 800) determined that the probable cause of the accident was an explosion of the centre wing fuel tank, resulting from ignition of the flammable air / fuel vapour mixture in the tank. The NTSB recommendations issued after the Boeing 747 accident were to eliminate the flammability exposure of the air / fuel vapour mixture and to make improvements to the safety of specific fuel tank designs by reducing the probability of creating an ignition source within the fuel tank.

2.3. Regulators and industry have done extensive work over the past years in order to establish by which means fuel tank explosions could be prevented

- The traditional certification approach of controlling ignition sources within the fuel system has been reinforced; the relevant requirements of Part 25 were made more stringent and design reviews were conducted.
Regarding the flammability exposure of the air / fuel vapour mixture, requirements applicable to new designs were published in CS 25 and FAR 25 respectively.

2.4. The flammability exposure of current large transport aeroplanes fuel tanks were assessed for showing compliance with FAR 26.33. Aeroplanes models fitted with high flammability Centre Wing Tank were identified.

2.5. Both aeroplane manufacturers have developed or are in the process of developing, Flammability Reduction System (FRS) using nitrogen enriched air produced by air separation module filtering engine bleed air. Both manufacturers have started or will soon be starting the introduction in production of these systems on some of the affected aeroplane types.

3. Compliance

With effect from 1 February 2012, all applicable aeroplane identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice. The fleet average flammability exposure is determined in accordance with appendix N of CS 25 and appendix N of FAR 25.

Note: At the date of issuance of this Notice, the following models were identified as having a fleet average flammability exposure above 7%:
- Airbus A318, A319, A320, A321, A330 and A340
- Boeing 737, 747, 767 and 777

4. Requirements

4.1. Aeroplanes shall be fitted with an approved FRS for Centre Wing Fuel Tank.

4.2. The FRS, when installed becomes part of the aeroplane minimum configuration. It must therefore remain installed and can only be dispatched inoperative in accordance with the provisions of the approved Minimum Equipment List (MEL).

5. Addition Information


5.2. Refer to EASA Safety Information Bulletin, SIB No. 2010-10 for relevant EASA requirements.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 July 2011 AN-103 P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

CARRIAGE OF SUPERNUMERARIES IN CARGO AEROPLANE

1 Applicability

This Notice is applicable to Hong Kong registered aeroplane with the first Certificate of Airworthiness issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 August 2011 in Transport Category (Cargo) equipped for carriage of occupants other than crewmembers.

2 Introduction

2.1 Class E cargo compartments are usually remote from the flight deck and encompass the entire interior of the aeroplane. The means of controlling fires that might occur in the cargo compartment is to ensure that there are means to shut off the ventilating airflow to, or within, the compartment, and starve the fire of oxygen. Advisory material provides guidance that includes depressurising the aeroplane and maintaining an altitude that will not support combustion. For this reason, only crewmembers are permitted on board such aeroplanes.

2.2 Recent aircraft designs introduce “supernumerary compartment” in aeroplanes with Class E cargo compartment configurations. The supernumerary compartments are designed to carry a certain category of persons which are termed “supernumeraries”. Supernumeraries are instructed persons and are briefed for the duty on board they are responsible for. The approved aeroplane flight manual contains the definition and the conditions under which the supernumeraries may be carried, and provide specific instruction for pre-flight briefing.

Note: “Supernumeraries” are sometimes referred as “couriers”; and “supernumerary compartments” are sometimes referred as “courier compartments”.

2.2 The certification requirements for aeroplanes address occupants as being either “crew” or “passengers”. Due to differences in training, physical capabilities, and other factors (such as familiarity with the aeroplane), the means required to
address emergency evacuation and emergency equipment for passengers and
crewmembers differ.

2.3 Because supernumeraries are not crewmembers, it is the Director-General
position that the overall level of safety offered to the occupants of the
supernumerary compartment should be equivalent to the level of safety offered
to passengers on a normal passenger aircraft.

2.4 However, supernumeraries do hold a special status because of their training and
other factors. The Director-General, therefore, allows the carriage of
supernumeraries on cargo aeroplanes without compliance with all design
standards for passengers, provided that certain other conditions are met.
Variations have traditionally been granted from certain passenger safety
requirements such as CS/FAR 25.785(j), 25.807(d), 25.807(g)(1), 25.807(i)(1),
25.810(a)(1), 25.812(e), 25.813(b), 25.857(e) and 25.1447(c)(1).

3 Compliance

All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the
requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirement

4.1 Design Standards for Supernumeraries

In addition to design standards for cargo aeroplane, design standards for
passengers are also applicable to supernumeraries. The design standards
include:

4.1.1 Basic Design

The type certification basis as recorded in the applicable Type
Certification Data Sheet.

Note: Exemptions to the type certification basis issued by the State of Design may
be acceptable to, but are subject to validation by, the Director-General.

4.1.2 Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995

4.1.2.1 Article 13 “Equipment of Aircraft”

a. For the purpose of this Article, the “Description of
Aircraft” specified in the first column of the Table set
forth in paragraph 4 of Schedule 5 of AN(HK)O 1995
shall also include aeroplane issued with a Certificate of
Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger).
b. For the purpose of this Article, the “Circumstances of Flight” specified in the second column of the said Table shall also include ‘for the purpose of the public transport of passengers’.

Note: Requirements for flight crew compartment door specified in Scale Q of Schedule 5 need not be complied with.

4.1.2.2 Article 46 “Exits and Breaking-In Markings”
For the purpose of this Article, requirements for passengers are also applicable to supernumeraries.

4.1.2.3 Article 50 “Exhibition of Notices indicating Prohibition of Smoking”
For the purpose of this Article, requirements for passenger seats are also applicable to supernumerary seats.

4.1.3 Airworthiness Notices
Airworthiness Notices, which are applicable to Hong Kong registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger), are also applicable to aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice except otherwise noted in the individual Airworthiness Notice.

4.2 In-Flight Access to Class E Cargo Compartment
Reserved.

5 Additional Information
Nil.

6 Cancellation
This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 104 Issue 2, dated 30 January 2014, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 105
Issue 2
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

VIBRATION HEALTH MONITORING SYSTEM FOR HELICOPTER

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered helicopters with Maximum Total Weight Authorised in excess of 3,175 kg or with a Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration of more than nine for which the Certificate of Airworthiness is first issued (whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere) on or after 1 January 2018.

2 Introduction

2.1 Helicopter rotor and transmission systems are susceptible to potentially hazardous and catastrophic failure effects, due to the very nature of their design of single Load Path. For many years, the benefit of the installation of Vibration Health Monitoring System (VHMS) as a compensating provision has been realised.

2.2 International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Recommended Practices in Part III of Annex 6 that requires VHMS to be installed in certain helicopters.

2.3 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

3.1 With effect from 1 January 2018, all applicable helicopters identified in paragraph 1 shall comply with the requirements of paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4 Requirements

4.1 Helicopter shall be equipped with a VHMS.

4.2 The VHMS shall meet the standards of EASA Certification Specification CS 29.1465 and associated AMC, or be approved by the Director-General.

5 Additional information

Nil.

6 Recommendation

ICAO Annex 6 Part III Section II Chapter 4 recommends a helicopter with Maximum Total Weight Authorised in excess of 3,175 kg or with a Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration of more than nine should be equipped with a VHMS.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Notice No.105 Issue 1, dated 30 December 2016, which should be destroyed.

Simon Li
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018 AN-105 P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 106
Issue 2
31 July 2014

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

AIRCRAFT REGISTRATION PLATE LOCATION

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft required to be equipped with nationality and registration marks under Article 5 of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Airworthiness Notice is intended to supplement the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995, which requires the aircraft registration plate to be borne by aircraft registered in Hong Kong.

2.2 ICAO Annex 7 specifies the requirements for the content, materials of the aircraft identification plate and its location on the aircraft.

3 Compliance

3.1 All applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4 Requirement

4.1 All applicable aircraft shall carry an aircraft registration plate inscribed with its nationality and registration marks, together with the name and address of the registered owner of the aircraft on a fireproof metal plate.

4.2 The aircraft registration plate shall be secured to the aircraft in a prominent position near the main entrance, or

4.3 In the case of a remotely piloted aircraft, secured in a prominent position near the main entrance or compartment or affixed conspicuously to the exterior of the aircraft if there is no main entrance or compartment.

5 Additional Information

ICAO Annex 7 – Aircraft Nationality and Registration Marks.

6 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 106 Issue 1, dated 30 April 2014, which should be destroyed.

Norman LO

Director-General of Civil Aviation

31 July 2014 AN-106 P.2
THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

FACILITATION OF THE TRANSPORT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES - ACCESS TO AIR SERVICES

1 Applicability

This Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aircraft issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category (Passenger) with Maximum Approved Passenger Seating Configuration (MAPSC) of 60 or more.

2 Introduction

2.1 This Notice provides information pertaining to the Recommended Practice published in Chapter 8 of ICAO Annex 9 that Contracting States shall take the necessary steps to ensure persons with disabilities have equivalent access to air services.

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, which gives effect to the Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

With effect from 1 January 2016, all applicable aircraft identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice,

(i) with the Type Certificate (excluding amendment of Type Certificate) first issued by the primary certification authority after 1 September 2014;

(ii) with major cabin refurbishment incorporated after 1 January 2021; or

(iii) with Certificate of Airworthiness first issued in Hong Kong after 1 January 2021,
shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph 4 of this Notice.

4 Requirements

4.1 Where aircraft type, size, and configuration permit, to minimum uniform standards of accessibility with respect to equipment on board aircraft which would include movable armrests, on-board wheelchairs, accessible washrooms and suitable lighting and signs.

4.2 An on-board wheelchair shall be installed in aircraft to facilitate the movement of non-ambulant passengers within the cabin and particularly to the toilet.

4.3 Twin aisle aircraft shall have at least one spacious toilet facility enabling independent use by Persons with Reduced Mobility (PRM) requiring the use of the on-board wheelchair for mobility, while single aisle aircraft shall provide at least one toilet accessible to passengers using an on-board wheelchair.

5 Additional Information

5.1 Refer to ICAO Annex 9 - Facilitation, Chapter 8 Section III (Access to air services) for relevant requirements.

5.2 Refer to United States Department of Transport (DOT) Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 382 (Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Air Travel) for relevant FAA requirements.

Norman LO
Director-General of Civil Aviation

30 April 2015 AN-107 P.2
CIVIL AVIATION DEPARTMENT
HONG KONG, CHINA

Airworthiness Notice

No. 109
Issue 3
8 November 2018

THIS NOTICE GIVES DETAILS OF A MANDATORY ACTION

AIRCRAFT TRACKING

1 Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category and flown by operators under the terms of an Air Operator’s Certificate for international commercial air transport operations.

2 Introduction

2.1 Following the disappearance of Malaysia Airlines flight MH370, the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) has published Standards in Part 1 of Annex 6 that requires the operator to establish an aircraft tracking capability to track aeroplanes throughout its area of operations, both on normal and emergency aircraft operational conditions.

2.2 The Chief Executive of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, in exercise of the powers conferred on him by Section 2A of the Civil Aviation Ordinance, as amended which gives effect to Chicago Convention, adopts the Standards and where appropriate, Recommended Practices in accordance with the Convention.

3 Compliance

3.1 With effect from 8 November 2018, all applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraph 4.1 of this Notice.

3.2 With effect from 1 January 2021, all applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice with the individual certificate of airworthiness first issued on or after 1 January 2021, shall comply with the requirements in sub-paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of this Notice.
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4 Requirements

4.1 Aircraft Tracking

4.1.1 The operator shall establish an aircraft tracking capability to track aeroplanes throughout its area of operations.

Note: Guidance on aircraft tracking capabilities is contained in the ICAO Circular 347 - Aircraft Tracking Implementation Guidelines.

4.1.2 The operator shall track the position of an aeroplane through automated reporting at least every 15 minutes for the portion(s) of the inflight operation(s) that is planned in an oceanic area(s) under the following conditions:

4.1.2.1 the aeroplane has a maximum certificated take-off mass of over 45,500 kg and a seating capacity greater than 19; and

4.1.2.2 where an Air Traffic Services (ATS) unit obtains aeroplane position information at greater than 15 minute intervals.

Note 1: Oceanic area, for the purpose of aircraft tracking, is the airspace which overlies waters outside the territory of a State.

Note 2: See ICAO Annex 11 Chapter 2 for coordination between the operator and ATS providers regarding position report messages.

4.1.3 The operator shall establish procedures, approved by the Director-General, for the retention of aircraft tracking data to assist Search and Rescue (SAR) in determining the last known position of the aircraft.

Note: Operator shall take the responsibility when using third parties for the conduct of aircraft tracking under paragraph 4.1.

4.1.4 Notwithstanding the provisions in paragraph 4.1.2, the Director-General may, based on the results of an approved risk assessment process implemented by the operator, allow for variations to automated reporting intervals. The process shall demonstrate how operational risks specifically associated with such variations can be managed and shall include at least the following:

4.1.4.1 capability of the operator’s systems and processes;
4.1.4.2 overall capability of the aeroplane and its systems;
4.1.4.3 available means to determine the position of and communicate with the aeroplane;
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4.1.4.4 frequency and duration of gaps in automated reporting;
4.1.4.5 operator’s processes for contacting ATS units;
4.1.4.6 human factors consequences resulting from changes to flight crew procedures; and
4.1.4.7 specific mitigation measures and contingency procedures.

Note: Guidance on development, implementation and approval of the risk assessment process, including variation examples, is contained in the ICAO Circular 347 - Aircraft Tracking Implementation Guidelines.

4.2 Location of an aeroplane in distress

4.2.1 All aeroplanes of a maximum certificated take-off mass of over 27,000 kg shall autonomously transmit information from which a position can be determined at least once every minute, when in distress, in accordance with ICAO Annex 6 Part I Appendix 9.

4.2.2 The operator shall make position information of a flight in distress available to the appropriate organisations, as established by the Director-General.

Note: Operator shall take the responsibility when using third parties for the conduct of aircraft tracking when aircraft is in distress.

5 Additional Information

Nil.

6 Recommendations

6.1 ICAO Annex 6 Part 1 Chapter 3 recommends that:

6.1.1 The operator should track the position of an aeroplane through automated reporting at least every 15 minutes for the portion(s) of the inflight operation(s) under the following conditions:

6.1.1.1 the aeroplane has a maximum certificated take-off mass of over 27,000 kg and a seating capacity greater than 19; and

6.1.1.2 where an Air Traffic Services (ATS) unit obtains aeroplane position information at greater than 15 minute intervals.

6.2 ICAO Annex 6 Part 1 Chapter 6 recommends that all aeroplanes of a maximum certificated take-off mass of over 5,700 kg for which the individual certificate
of airworthiness is first issued on or after 1 January 2021, should autonomously transmit information from which a position can be determined at least once every minute, when in distress, in accordance with ICAO Annex 6 Part I Appendix 9.

7 Cancellation

This Notice cancels Airworthiness Notice No. 109 Issue 2, dated 31 January 2018, which should be destroyed.

Simon LI
Director-General of Civil Aviation

8 November 2018
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ISSUE AND REISSUE OF PERMITS TO FLY

1 Introduction

1.1 The Director-General may, in pursuance of Article 7(1)(c) of the Air Navigation (Hong Kong) Order 1995 (hereinafter referred as “the Order”), issue a Permit to Fly in respect of an aircraft which may then fly in accordance with the conditions adherence to the Permit to Fly.

1.2 This Airworthiness Notice establishes the measures to be taken to ensure that an aircraft either issued or will be issued with a Permit to Fly remains safe and fit for flight. It includes details of the maintenance to be carried out and also specifies the conditions to be met by the persons or organisations involved in such continuing airworthiness management.

NOTE: For the purpose of this Notice, a person approved or authorised by the Director-General means an organisation, association, individual or other legal entity approved or authorised by the Director-General to submit reports or recommendations in respect of aircraft eligible for Permit to Fly issue or reissue.

1.3 This Notice except as otherwise agreed by the Director-General applies only to aircraft, and their associated parts, that are not fly or eligible to fly under and in accordance with either –

(a) a Hong Kong Certificate of Airworthiness; or

(b) the A and B Conditions as specified in Schedule 2 of the Order.

1.4 The issue of a Permit to Fly will be subject to procedures appropriate to the case, i.e.:-

(a) Permit to Fly for an individual aircraft of a design that is eligible for the granting of a Type Certificate - paragraph 4.1; or
(b) Permit to Fly for an individual aircraft not eligible under (a) above - paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3;

(c) Permit to Fly for a Series aircraft - paragraph 4.4;

(d) A Permit to Fly for test purposes may be issued to enable flight evaluation of an aircraft or modified aircraft where in the opinion of the Director-General, it is not appropriate for the flight evaluation to be conducted under A or B Conditions as specified in Schedule 2 of the Order. A Permit to Fly for test purposes will not be issued unless the applicant determines and the Director-General agrees that there are no significant flight safety implications. A Permit to Fly for test purposes shall not be valid for flights other than those on which tests under the agreed or approved flight test programme are being carried out;

(e) A Permit to Fly for ferry purposes may be issued to enable an aircraft not holding a valid Permit to Fly or Certificate of Airworthiness to be ferried to a place where repairs, modifications or maintenance are to be performed. A Permit to Fly for ferry purposes will not be issued unless the applicant determines and the Director-General agrees that there are no significant flight safety implications.

2 Application for Permit to Fly

2.1 An application for a Permit to Fly shall be made in a form and manner established by the Director-General, at an early stage of the project, together with the appropriate fee required.

2.2 The necessary deposit and investigation charges are prescribed in the Hong Kong Air Navigation (Fees) Regulations.

2.3 Local flying activities (amateur or recreational flying) in Hong Kong normally take place at low flight levels and outside controlled airspace. Applicants need to demonstrate to the Director-General that prior approval has been obtained from the airfield or aerodrome before they submit their applications.

2.4 Flying associations and clubs of various natures, e.g. the Hong Kong Aviation Club and the Hong Kong Paragliding Association, have been established to facilitate smooth coordination with government departments for their activities. Applicants are strongly recommended to seek their advice before submission.

2.5 During the investigation, if it is necessary for an Officer to travel outside Hong Kong, the Director-General will require the applicant to meet the additional
costs involved.

2.6 Application for Permit to Fly for ferry purposes shall be made in a form and manner established by the Director-General, and should include the following additional information:

(a) The purpose of the flight.

(b) The proposed itinerary.

(c) The crew required to operate the aircraft.

(d) Details of non-compliance with applicable airworthiness requirements.

(e) Any restriction the applicant considered necessary for safe operation of the aircraft.

(f) Any other information considered necessary by the Director-General for the purpose of prescribing operating limitations.

(g) For operations passing over other States, an application for Exemption to Article 7 (1).

NOTE: Operator should obtain authorisations from the appropriate aviation authorities of those States prior to undertaking the flight.

3 Design basis

3.1 The applicant shall indicate the design basis on which they intend the Director-General to decide whether the aircraft qualifies for the issue of a Permit to Fly. Such bases may be that the aircraft:-

(a) is of a design that satisfies a code of Hong Kong Aviation Requirement adopted by the Director-General specifically for the purposes; or

(b) has satisfied a standard notified or is of a standard accepted by the Director-General for the purpose; or

(c) conforms to a design in respect of which a Type Certificate has been issued by the Director-General; or

(d) conforms to a design which has been accepted by a recognised National Aviation Authority for operation to fly within defined limitations and which has accumulated sufficient experience of safe operation.
operation to indicate that such aircraft are safe to fly subject to whatever conditions may be appropriate; and that the aircraft has been shown not to possess unacceptably hazardous features. See Appendix No. 1 to this Notice for details of evidence required.

NOTE: A military authority may be recognised by the Director-General for the purpose of this qualification.

4 Design substantiation

4.1 Where the application is for a Type Certificate, the basis of paragraph 3.1(a) shall be used. Evidence that the design satisfies the appropriate Hong Kong Aviation Requirement shall be submitted to the Director-General by a person approved for the purpose by the Director-General.

4.2 Where application for an individual Permit to Fly is on the basis of paragraph 3.1(b), evidence that the design satisfies the appropriate standard shall be submitted by a person who in the opinion of the Director-General is suitably qualified for the purpose.

4.3 Where application for an individual Permit to Fly is on the basis of paragraph 3.1(c) or (d), the applicant shall submit the required evidence. In respect of application on the basis of paragraph 3.1(d), see Appendix No. 1 to this Notice.

4.4 In respect of application on the basis of paragraph 3.1(c) where the applicant can show that the aircraft conforms to a design in respect of which a Type Certificate has been issued, it shall be eligible as a Series aircraft for a Permit to Fly except in the case of an used aircraft where the Director-General may require further acceptable evidence to demonstrate that its individual operational history has not invalidated its Series status.

5 Standard of construction or assembly

5.1 Aircraft constructed outside Hong Kong

(a) The Director-General may issue a Permit to Fly in respect of an aircraft imported into Hong Kong, when he is satisfied that the aircraft conforms, in respect of its design, manufacture of its parts or components and assembly, to a type design in respect of which a Hong Kong Type Certificate has been issued, or to a design meeting the requirements specified in paragraph 3.1(b) or (d).
(b) In satisfying himself on paragraph 5.1(a) above, the Director-General may accept reports from suitably qualified persons but in the case of aircraft imported on a commercial basis for sale by the public, such reports shall be made by persons approved by the Director-General for the purpose or, if necessary, may require such reports to be approved or endorsed by the appropriate National Authority.

5.2 Aircraft assembled in Hong Kong

(a) The Director-General may issue a Permit to Fly in respect of an aircraft assembled in Hong Kong from a kit of parts or components imported into Hong Kong, when he is satisfied that the aircraft conforms, in respect of its design, manufacture of its parts or components and assembly, to a type design in respect of which a Hong Kong Type Certificate has been issued, or with an aircraft of the type in respect of which a Hong Kong Type Certificate has been issued, or with an aircraft of the type in respect of which a Hong Kong Permit to Fly has been issued, or to a design meeting the specification of paragraph 3.1(d).

(b) In satisfying himself on paragraph 5.2(a) above, the Director-General may accept reports from suitably qualified persons but in the case of aircraft kits imported on a commercial basis for sale (and assembly) by the public, such reports shall be made by persons approved by the Director-General for the purpose.

(c) The aircraft shall be made available to enable the Director-General to survey it as appropriate during its construction.

6 Flight tests

6.1 Every aircraft shall be the subject of a satisfactory flight test before the issue of a Permit to Fly granted under paragraph 1.4(a), (b) or (c). The test programme shall be agreed with the Director-General prior to flying.

6.2 Except in the case of organisations approved to conduct flight tests under 'B' Conditions of Schedule 2 of the Order, in order to legalise the flying, the Director-General will as provided under paragraph 1.4(d) when satisfied with the fitness for flight of the aircraft and with the arrangements for conducting the flying, issue a Permit to Fly for test purposes. The Conditions and Limitations under which the aircraft may be flown will be specified. The period of validity will be limited to that judged necessary for the tests.

6.3 Where application for issue or reissue is made direct to the Director-General,
the flight test must be made and the report submitted by a pilot acceptable to
the Director-General. Where application is made through an organisation
approved to make recommendations to the Director-General concerning issue
or reissue, the flight test must be conducted in accordance with the procedures
of that organisation.

7 Permit Flight Release Certificate

7.1 Prior to flight on a Permit to Fly for test purposes or for ferry purposes or
whenever a flight test is necessary, a Permit Flight Release Certificate (PFRC)
shall be issued.

7.2 A PFRC shall be issued following evaluation by a person referenced in
paragraph 7.4 to certify that the aircraft is fit for flight. This evaluation should
include establishing that the aircraft conforms to a design or standard accepted
by the Director-General for the issue of a Permit to Fly and that all applicable
continuing airworthiness requirements have been satisfied. The PFRC shall be
rendered valid for a specific period to cover the required flight or a series of
flights as part of an accepted flight test programme.

7.3 A new PFRC shall be issued if maintenance is carried out between flights, if
flights are in a series.

7.4 A PFRC shall be issued only by the following:

(a) the holder of a valid HKAR-66 Type Rated Aircraft Maintenance
Licence in the appropriate Category;

(b) persons specifically authorised by the Director-General for the purpose;

(c) persons specifically approved by the Director-General when acting
within the appropriate terms of approval;

(d) persons authorised by the approved organisation when that organisation
is specifically approved by the Director-General to do so.

7.5 The PFRC shall be as follows.

\[
\text{Aircraft Type:} \quad \text{Registration No.:} \\
\text{It is hereby certified that the aircraft defined above has been inspected and is fit for flight provided it is properly loaded.}
\]
This Certificate is valid from (dd/mm/yyyy) until (dd/mm/yyyy) or until the airworthiness condition of the aircraft is altered, whichever is earlier.

Name/Signed Airframe Authorisation Ref.: Date:

Name/Signed Engine(s) Authorisation Ref.: Date:

8 Issue of Permits to Fly

8.1 The Director-General may issue a Permit to Fly when he is satisfied, on the basis of his own investigations or upon receiving a recommendation from a person approved or accepted for the purpose, that in respect of its design and construction and all other relevant matters an aircraft meets the foregoing requirements.

8.2 The Permit to Fly will specify the limitations and conditions under which the aircraft may be flown and any relevant airworthiness, operation or maintenance requirements that are to be met. The Director-General may restrict the number of occupants on board the aircraft for any particular flight purpose.

8.3 Permit to Fly will be issued for a period determined to be adequate to perform the required flight or series of flights, but will not exceed a duration of 12-month.

9 Aircraft airworthiness reviews

9.1 To satisfy the requirement for an airworthiness review of the aircraft a documented review of the aircraft records shall be carried out by the Director-General or a person approved or accepted for the purpose, in order to be satisfied that:

(a) airframe, engine, and propeller flying hours and associated flight cycles have been properly recorded;

(b) the pilots operating handbook, flight manual or permit flight conditions is applicable to the aircraft configuration and reflects the latest revision status;

(c) all the maintenance due on the aircraft according to the
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approved/accepted maintenance programme has been carried out;

(d) all known defects have been corrected, or carried forward in a controlled manner;

(e) all applicable mandatory modifications and inspections to the components or equipment as may be fitted to the aircraft, have been applied and properly recorded;

(f) all modifications and repairs applied to the aircraft have been recorded and are approved or agreed by the Director-General;

(g) all service life limited components installed on the aircraft are properly identified, recorded and have not exceeded their approved service life limit;

(h) all maintenance has been released in accordance with paragraph 16;

(i) the aircraft complies with the latest revision of its Airworthiness Approval Note, including a physical inspection of the aircraft, its equipment and any required placards and markings, and that there is no evident defect and no inconsistencies between aircraft and records;

(j) weight and balance is current and valid;

(k) noise certificate is current and valid, if required;

(l) any required flight test as referenced in paragraph 6 has been satisfactorily completed, the results recorded in the Flight Test Schedule, and any necessary action taken.

10 Responsibilities

10.1 The registered owner is responsible for the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft and shall ensure that no flight takes place unless:

(a) the aircraft is maintained in an airworthy condition; and

(b) any operational and emergency equipment fitted is correctly installed and serviceable or clearly identified as unserviceable; and

(c) the Permit to Fly remains valid; and

(d) the maintenance of the aircraft is performed in accordance with the
maintenance programme.

10.2 Any person or organisation performing maintenance shall be responsible for the tasks performed.

10.3 The pilot-in-command shall be responsible for the satisfactory accomplishment of the pre-flight inspection. This inspection may be carried out by the pilot, another qualified person or an approved maintenance organisation.

10.4 Any person approved or accepted by the Director-General for the purpose, may inspect the aircraft or its equipment or any documents relating to the aircraft, at any reasonable time.

11 Continuing airworthiness tasks

11.1 The continuing airworthiness of an aircraft and the serviceability of both operational and emergency equipment shall be ensured by:

(a) the accomplishment of pre-flight inspections; and

(b) the rectification of any defect and/or damage affecting safe operation;

(c) the accomplishment of all maintenance, in accordance with the aircraft maintenance programme described in paragraph 12;

(d) the accomplishment of any applicable:

   i) mandatory modifications and inspections (issued by the manufacturer or equivalent);

   ii) continuing airworthiness requirement established by the Director-General;

(e) the accomplishment of modifications and repairs in accordance with paragraph 13;

(f) test flights when necessary.
Aircraft scheduled maintenance programme

12.1 Maintenance of each aircraft shall be organised in accordance with an aircraft maintenance programme.

NOTE: A maintenance programme in this instance is defined as a list of maintenance tasks that will maintain the aircraft to an airworthy standard. The maintenance programme shall take account of any available manufacturer information or data.

12.2 When specified on the Permit to Fly the aircraft maintenance programme and any subsequent amendments will be approved or accepted by the Director-General.

12.3 The aircraft maintenance programme should establish compliance with:

(a) instructions issued by the Director-General;
(b) instructions for continuing airworthiness issued by the manufacturer of the aircraft/engine/propeller/components; or holders of modification and/or repair design approval, technical standard order authorisation and any other relevant approval as applicable.

12.4 The aircraft maintenance programme shall contain details, including frequency, of all maintenance to be carried out, including any specific tasks linked to the type and nature of operations.

12.5 The aircraft maintenance programme should be reviewed and amended accordingly when necessary. These reviews shall ensure that the programme continues to be valid in light of the operating experience and instructions from the manufacturer and/or Director-General.

12.6 For an aircraft that does not require an approved or accepted maintenance programme, the registered owner may make arrangements for an organisation or licensed engineer to develop the programme. In such cases the registered owner retains responsibility for its content.

Data for modifications and repairs

13.1 Modifications shall be carried out using data approved or accepted by the Director-General.
13.2 Damage shall be assessed and repairs shall be carried out using data approved or accepted by the Director-General.

14 **Aircraft continuing airworthiness record system**

14.1 The aircraft continuing airworthiness records shall consist of an aircraft logbook, engine logbook(s) or engine module log cards, and propeller logbook(s) as required by Article 15 of the Order.

14.2 The aircraft type and registration mark, the date, together with total flight time and/or flight cycles and/or landings, as appropriate, is required to be entered in the aircraft logbooks.

14.3 The aircraft continuing airworthiness records are required to contain the current:

(a) status of mandatory modifications and inspections;

(b) status of modifications and repairs;

(c) status of compliance with the maintenance programme;

(d) status of service life limited components;

(e) weight and balance report.

14.4 When requested by the Director-General, the following information relevant to any component installed is required to be recorded in the appropriate airframe, engine or propeller logbook, engine module or service life limited component log card:

(a) identification of the component; and

(b) where available, the type, serial number and registration of the aircraft to which the particular component has been fitted, along with the reference to the installation and removal of the component; and

(c) the particular component accumulated total flight time and/or flight cycles and/or calendar time, as appropriate.
14.5 All entries made in the aircraft continuing airworthiness records should be clear and accurate. When it is necessary to correct an entry, the correction should be made in a manner that clearly shows the original entry.

15 Transfer of aircraft continuing airworthiness records

15.1 The registered owner shall ensure that when an aircraft is permanently transferred from one owner to another the continuing airworthiness records described in paragraph 14, are also transferred.

16 Permit Maintenance Release

16.1 The aircraft shall be certified as fit for flight following maintenance by the issue of a Permit Maintenance Release (PMR).

16.2 The PMR shall be issued covering the particular maintenance activity carried out and is required for those maintenance tasks defined in paragraph 17.1.

16.3 The aircraft log books/worksheets shall contain particulars of the maintenance carried out and the PMR shall be as follows.

  The work recorded above has been completed to my satisfaction and in that respect the aircraft is considered fit for flight.

  Name/Signed: Authorisation Ref.: Date:

16.4 A PMR shall be issued only by the following:

(a) the holder of an appropriate category aircraft maintenance engineer’s licence granted in Hong Kong and has been specifically authorised by the Director-General for the purpose;

(b) persons specifically authorised by the Director-General for the purpose;

(c) persons specifically approved by the Director-General when acting within the appropriate terms of approval;

(d) persons authorised by the approved organisation when that organisation is specifically approved by the Director-General to do so.
16.5 For individuals that are not authorised through an approved organisation application should be made to the Director-General.

17 Maintenance

17.1 For the purposes of this Notice, maintenance is understood to mean scheduled maintenance, overhaul, modification, repair, replacement, defect rectification or compliance with mandatory modifications and inspections issued in respect of any component or equipment as may be fitted to the aircraft.

17.2 During the period of validity of the Permit to Fly the aircraft shall be maintained in an airworthy condition and, where stated on the Permit to Fly, maintenance arrangements must be agreed with the Director-General.

17.3 All publications containing continuing airworthiness information and maintenance data in foreign language(s) are required to be translated to English and/or Chinese as appropriate.

18 Duplicate inspections

18.1 A duplicate inspection (may be referred to as Independent or Second inspections) shall be carried out after any flight safety sensitive maintenance task. Maintenance tasks that involve the assembly or any disturbance of a control system that, if errors occurred, could result in a failure, malfunction, or defect endangering the safe operation of the aircraft should be considered as flight safety sensitive maintenance tasks needing a duplicate inspection.

18.2 A control system is an aircraft system by which the flight path, attitude, or propulsive force of the aircraft is changed, including the flight, engine and propeller controls, the related system controls and the associated operating mechanisms.

18.3 Duplicate inspections should be carried out by at least two persons, to ensure correct assembly, locking and sense of operation. A technical record of the inspections should contain the signatures of both persons before the relevant PMR is issued.

18.4 A duplicate inspection is an inspection first made by an authorised person signing the maintenance release who assumes full responsibility for the satisfactory completion of the work, before being subsequently inspected by a second independent competent person who attests to the satisfactory
completion of the work recorded and that no deficiencies have been found. The second independent competent person is independent if they were not involved in doing the work being inspected.

18.5 The second independent competent person is not issuing a maintenance release therefore is not required to hold certification privileges. However they should be suitably qualified to carry out the inspection. Where the work and first inspection has been carried out by an authorised person, the pilot, if agreed by the Director-General, may carry out the second inspection.

19 **Reissue of Permit to Fly**

19.1 Where an aircraft has previously held a Permit to Fly, following a satisfactory airworthiness review in accordance with paragraph 9, a Permit to Fly may be reissued for an agreed period, but will not exceed a duration of 12 months. The registered owner is responsible for making the application to the Director-General as described in paragraph 2.

19.2 Reissue will be either based on the recommendation from an organisation approved by the Director-General or from persons accepted by the Director-General for the purpose. The Director-General may undertake to conduct the airworthiness review himself.

19.3 A Permit to Fly must not be reissued or a recommendation to reissue made if there is evidence or reason to believe that the aircraft is not airworthy.

19.4 The Director-General will normally require a satisfactory air test to an agreed test programme with reference to paragraph 6 prior to reissue of the Permit to Fly.

**Simon LI**

*Director-General of Civil Aviation*
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1 Introduction

Evidence is required to substantiate Applications under paragraph 3.1(d) of AN 110 for the Issue and Reissue of Permits to Fly in respect of aircraft, the design of which, has previously been accepted by a recognised National Airworthiness Authority.

2 The following requirements apply:-

2.1 The Applicant shall submit evidence to demonstrate that the aircraft type has a safety record in service acceptable to the Director-General for its intended use.

2.2 The aircraft shall be shown by a competent person to conform to the type to which the established safety record is related.

2.3 Used aircraft should have been maintained and overhauled, and relevant records should be complete including those relating to life components.

2.4 The Applicant shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Director-General their competence to conduct or arrange for the necessary flight testing of the aircraft as required by the Director-General.

2.5 The Applicant shall be able to demonstrate their competence and resources to maintain the aircraft in accordance with paragraph 2.3, or in the case of new aircraft in a manner acceptable to the Director-General, and to ensure that any modifications necessary to maintain the standard of airworthiness are determined and incorporated.

2.6 The applicant shall be competent to observe any limitations that the Director-General may determine having regard to the safety of third parties and occupants during intended operations of the aircraft.

2.7 The aircraft shall be equipped to a standard acceptable to the Director-General for the intended purpose.
PUBLIC HEALTH RISK MITIGATION MEASURES IN RESPONSE TO COVID-19 PANDEMIC

1. Applicability

This Airworthiness Notice is applicable to all Hong Kong-registered aeroplanes issued with a Certificate of Airworthiness in Transport Category and flown by operators under the terms of an Air Operator’s Certificate for international commercial air transport operations.

2. Introduction

2.1. The Council Aviation Recovery Task Force (CART) of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) has published a document called “Take-off: Guidance for Air Travel through the COVID-19 Public Health Crisis”, in which a set of mitigation measures aimed at reducing public health risks to air passengers, aviation workers, and the travelling public were developed. The implementation of these measures will facilitate and strengthen the global recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.2. The mitigation measures are categorised into four modules, namely Airport, Aircraft, Crew and Cargo, which are specific to various aspects of air transport. This Notice addresses those applicable elements in the Aircraft module.

3. Compliance

3.1. With effect from 15 July 2020, all applicable aeroplanes identified in paragraph 1 of this Notice shall comply with the requirements in paragraph 4 of this Notice.
4. Requirements

4.1. Disinfection of Flight Deck, Cabin and Cargo Compartment

4.1.1. The operator should establish a schedule to ensure that flight deck, cabin and cargo compartment are cleaned and disinfected at an appropriate frequency to accommodate safe operations for the crew, passengers and ground staff.

4.1.2. When determining the frequency of disinfection of the flight deck, the operator should account for the separation of the flight deck from the cabin and for the frequency of crew transitions. On the other hand, when determining the frequency of disinfection of the cabin, the operator should account for the operation of the aircraft and the potential exposure of an infected person.

4.1.3. Although 70% aqueous solution of Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) is generally recommended as a disinfectant for touch surfaces, the operator should still consult the aircraft manufacturers for the recommended disinfectant and follow the instructions of the disinfectant manufacturer for proper application, ventilation, and personal protective equipment required. The operator should also consult appropriate health organisation for instruction on disinfectant application to be effective against viruses.

4.1.4. The operator should ensure that the surface to be disinfected is free from dirt and debris in order to maximise the disinfection effectiveness.

4.1.5. The operator should establish a procedure to minimise the risk of spillage of disinfectant, especially on equipment related to the safe operation of the aeroplane.

4.1.6. Some of the disinfectants are flammable. The operator should establish a procedure to prevent potential sources of ignition and pay particular attention to hidden ignition sources such as electronic boxes mounted in the cargo compartment.

4.1.7. Because the frequency of disinfection has significantly increased due to COVID-19, and there is no data on the long term effects associated with this frequent application, the operator should periodically inspect the equipment to ensure that there are no long term effects, colour shift or damage over time. If damage is observed, the operator should contact the aircraft manufacturer for guidance on alternate disinfectants. Specific care should be taken
for application on leather and other porous surfaces. The operator should validate the disinfectants for buyer furnished equipment (e.g. seats and in-flight entertainment (IFE) systems) with the disinfectant manufacturer.

4.1.8. Given the increased likelihood that switch positions may be inadvertently changed during the cleaning or disinfection process, the operator should reinforce procedures to verify that all flight deck switches and controls are in the correct position prior to operation of the aeroplane.

4.1.9. Some equipment on the flight deck may have additional disinfecting needs based on usage (e.g. oxygen masks). The operator should establish special procedure for such equipment accordingly.

4.2. Disinfection during Maintenance

4.2.1. The operator should be mindful of regular maintenance to both air systems and water systems to ensure that they continue to protect the passengers and crew from viruses. The operator should refer to the aircraft manufacturer for specific maintenance actions and intervals.

4.2.2. The operator should include access panels and other maintenance areas in the disinfection procedures to ensure a safe working environment for the maintenance personnel.

4.2.3. The operator should establish procedures to minimise the number of maintenance personnel who need to be in contact with high-touch surfaces such as access panels, door handles, switches, etc.

4.2.4. The operator should establish procedures to be applied after disinfection in order to check the flight deck, cabin and cargo compartment for the correct positioning of control handles, circuit breakers and control panel switches and knobs and for the complete closure of access panels and doors.

4.3. Air System Operations

4.3.1. Ground Operations (before chocks-off and after chocks-in)

a. The operator should avoid operations without the air conditioning packs or external pre-conditioned air (PCA) source. External air sources are not processed through a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter. The auxiliary power
unit (APU) should be permitted to be used at the gate to enable the aircraft’s air conditioning system to be operated, if equivalent filtration from PCA is not available.

b. If the aircraft has an air recirculation system, but does not have HEPA filters installed, the operator should make reference to documents published by the aircraft manufacturer or consult them about the recirculation system setting.

c. The operator should operate the fresh air and recirculation systems to exchange the volume of cabin air before boarding by considering the following:

i. For aircraft with air conditioning system installed, run the air conditioning packs (with bleed air provided by APU or engines) or supply air via external PCA source at least 10 minutes prior to the boarding process, throughout boarding and during disembarkation.

ii. For aircraft with HEPA filters installed, run the recirculation system to maximise flow through the filters.

iii. For aircraft without air conditioning system installed, keep aircraft doors (passenger door, service door and cargo door) open during turnaround time to facilitate cabin air exchange.

4.3.2. MEL Dispatch

a. Fully operational air conditioning packs and recirculation fans provide the best overall cabin ventilation performance. The operator should minimise dispatch with packs inoperative and, for aircraft equipped with HEPA filter, minimise dispatch with recirculation fans inoperative.

b. Some aircraft have better airflow performance with all outflow valves operational. The operator should consult the aircraft manufacturer about the ventilation performance of the aircraft with outflow valves inoperative and the limitations associated with the dispatch in such situation.

4.3.3. Filter Maintenance

a. The operator should follow the normal maintenance procedures as specified by the aircraft manufacturer. Take note of special protection and handling of filters when changing them.
b. The operator should consult the aircraft manufacturer if additional sanitisation procedures and/or personal health protection are needed to avoid microbiological contamination in the filter replacement area.

5. Additional Information

Nil.

6. Recommendations

Nil.

Captain Victor LIU

Director-General of Civil Aviation
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